

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

BCBSM, INC., HEALTH CARE SERVICE
CORPORATION, MOLINA
HEALTHCARE, INC., AND BLUE CROSS
AND BLUE SHIELD OF FLORIDA, INC.,

Case No. 0:20-cv-02071-SRN-ECW

Plaintiffs,

v.

CELGENE CORPORATION AND
BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM OF LAW
IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....iii

I. INTRODUCTION..... 1

II. BACKGROUND 3

A. Statutory and Regulatory Guidelines..... 3

B. Celgene’s patent misconduct. 4

C. Celgene filed serial patent litigations, often resulting in “pay-for-delay” settlements and abused the Citizen Petition process. 5

III. LEGAL STANDARD 7

IV. ARGUMENT 8

A. Plaintiffs assert viable antitrust theories. 8

1. Plaintiffs’ antitrust allegations must be viewed as a whole. 8

2. Celgene’s unreasonable refusal to provide Thalomid and Revlimid samples states a valid antitrust claim..... 12

3. Celgene used impermissible serial litigation and fraud on the PTO to preserve its monopoly as part of an overall anticompetitive scheme... 13

a. Serial litigation. 13

b. *Walker Process* fraud. 17

4. Plaintiffs suffered antitrust injury..... 20

a. Plaintiffs plead that Celgene’s blocking patents are invalid, despite not being required to do so. 21

B. Plaintiffs’ state law antitrust claims are well pled..... 23

1. Plaintiffs assert valid antitrust claims. 23

2. Plaintiffs allege sufficient effects on intrastate conduct. 25

C. Plaintiffs adequately allege consumer protection and unjust enrichment claims..... 26

1.	The Complaint satisfies Rule 8’s notice pleading standard.....	26
2.	Plaintiffs allege requisite intrastate conduct.	27
3.	Celgene’s various consumer protection arguments fail.	29
4.	Plaintiffs have adequately pleaded unjust enrichment.	32
a.	Statutory remedies do not preclude unjust enrichment claims.	32
b.	<i>Illinois Brick</i> does not bar Plaintiffs’ claims.....	33
c.	Plaintiffs adequately allege direct benefits conferred on Celgene.	34
D.	Plaintiffs’ claims for each Thalomid and Revlimid purchase made within the limitations periods are timely.	35
1.	Plaintiffs’ claims are timely under the “continuing violation doctrine.”	36
E.	HCSC’s off-label promotion allegations state a claim for relief.....	39
1.	Celgene perpetrated a multi-pronged off-label marketing scheme.....	40
2.	Brown <i>Qui Tam</i> Litigation	43
3.	HCSC and Prime	44
4.	HCSC’s Claims are Properly Pled	45
a.	HCSC properly alleges a claim for fraud.....	45
b.	HCSC properly alleges a claim for negligent misrepresentation.	48
c.	HCSC properly alleges a claim for unjust enrichment.	51
V.	CONCLUSION.....	51

..

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Abbott Labs. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.,
432 F. Supp. 2d 408 (D. Del. 2006) 11

Abels v. Farmers Commodities Corp.,
259 F.3d 910 (8th Cir. 2001) 45

Adam A. Weschler & Son, Inc. v. Klank,
561 A.2d 1003 (D.C. 1989) 29

Adams Public School District v. Asbestos Corporation, Ltd.,
7 F.3d 717 (8th Cir. 1993) 39

Arthur v. Microsoft Corp.,
676 N.W.2d 29 (Neb. 2004) 28

Aquilar v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC,
289 F. Supp. 3d. 1000 (D. Minn. 2018) 39

Aspen Skiing Co. v. Aspen Highlands Skiing Corp.,
472 U.S. 585 (1985) 12

Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly,
550 U.S. 544, 547 (2007) 7, 26

Berkey Photo, Inc. v. Eastman Kodak Company,
603 F.2d 263 (2d Cir. 1979) 36

Blankenship v. USA Truck, Inc.,
601 F.3d 852 (8th Cir. 2010) 7

Braden v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.,
588 F.3d 585, 594 (8th Cir. 2009) 7

Brunswick Corp. v. Pueblo Bowl-O-Mat, Inc.,
429 U.S. 477 (1977) 20

...

California v. ARC Am. Corp.,
490 U.S. 93 (1989) 34

California Motor Transp. Co. v. Trucking Unlimited,
404 U.S. 508, 512 (1972) 13

Cheremie Servs., Inc. v. Shell Deepwater Prod., Inc.,
35 So. 3d 1053, 1057 (La. 2010)..... 30

Ciardi v. Hoffmann-LaRoche, LTD,
No. 993244, 2000 WL 33162197 (Mass. Super. Sept. 29, 2000) 24

Ciardi v. F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Ltd.,
762 N.E.2d 303 (Mass. 2002)..... 24, 28

City Ctr. Realty Partner, LLC v. Macy's Retail Holdings, Inc.,
Civ. No. 17-CV-528 (SRN/TNL), 2017 WL 4081896
(D. Minn. Sep. 13, 2017)..... 33

City of New Bedford v. Lloyd Inv. Associates, Inc.,
292 N.E.2d 688 (Mass. 1973).....App. B

Cont'l Ore Co. v. Union Carbide & Carbon Corp.,
370 U.S. 690 (1962) 11

Cowell v. Palmer Twp.,
263 F.3d 286 (3d Cir. 2001)..... 36

Cuozzo Speed Technologies, LLC v. Lee,
136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016) 19

Dahl v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.,
742 N.W.2d 186 (Minn. Ct. App. 2007) 51

Daigle v. Ford Motor Co.,
713 F. Supp. 2d 822 (D. Minn. 2010) 32

Double D Spotting Serv., Inc. v. Supervalu, Inc.,
136 F.3d 554 (8th Cir. 1998)..... 8

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.