

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

BCBSM, Inc., Health Care Service
Corporation, Molina Healthcare, Inc., and
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Florida, Inc.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Celgene Corporation and Bristol-Myers
Squibb Corporation,

Defendants.

Case No: 0:20-cv-02071

HON. SUSAN RICHARD NELSON
HON. ELIZABETH COWAN
WRIGHT

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL
JURISDICTION, OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO TRANSFER VENUE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ii

INTRODUCTION 1

FACTS 3

ARGUMENT 7

 I. The Court must make a threshold ruling on its subject matter jurisdiction before it can determine whether it has the power to decide Defendants’ other motions 7

 A. Legal Standard 7

 B. Before deciding any other motions, the Court should first determine that it has subject matter jurisdiction 8

 II. This Court has specific personal jurisdiction over Defendants, or, at the bare minimum, fact discovery is needed to establish personal jurisdiction. 10

 A. Legal Standard 10

 B. Plaintiffs plead sufficient facts to establish personal jurisdiction over Defendants 12

 C. If additional proof is necessary to establish personal jurisdiction, the Court should permit Plaintiffs to take jurisdictional discovery 15

 III. Defendants’ motion to transfer the action to the District of New Jersey should be denied 16

 A. Legal Standard 16

 B. An analysis of the relevant factors demonstrates that venue is properly laid in this District 18

 1. The convenience of the parties does not favor transfer 18

 2. The convenience of the witnesses does not favor transfer 20

 3. The interests of justice do not favor transfer 21

 a. Factor No. 1: Plaintiffs’ choice of forum 21

 b. Factor No. 2: Docket congestion in the proposed transferee court 22

 c. Factor No. 3: Judicial Economy 23

 d. Factor No. 4: Familiarity with the applicable law 26

CONCLUSION 27

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Advanced Logistics Consulting, Inc. v. C. Enyeart LLC,
 CNo. 09-cv-720 (RHK/JJG), 2009 WL 1684428 (D. Minn. June 16, 2009) 18

Ablstrom v. Clarent Corp.,
 No. 02-cv-0789, 2002 WL 31856386 (D. Minn. Dec. 19, 2002) 19

Andersen v. Sportmart, Inc.,
 179 F.R.D. 236 (N.D. Ind. 1998) 12

Atlas IP, LLC v. Boston Scientific Corp.,
 No. 14-cv-20596, 2014 WL 11910629 (S.D. Fla. July 9, 2014) 24

Bae Systems Land & Armaments L.P. v. Ibis Tek., LLC,
 124 F. Supp. 3d 878 (D. Minn. 2015) 18, 20

Bandemer v. Ford Motor Company,
 931 N.W.2d 744 (Minn. 2019), *cert granted*, 140 S. Ct. 916 (Jan. 17, 2020) 10

Bristol-Myers Squibb v. Superior Court of California,
 137 S. Ct. 1773 (2017) 9, 10, 11

Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz,
 471 U.S. 462 (1985) 11

Caddy Prods., Inc. v. Seating Concepts, LLC,
 No. 05-cv-1231 JRT/FLN, 2006 WL 1085144 (D. Minn. Apr. 24, 2006) 26

Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. v. Peaslee,
 88 F.3d 152 (2d Cir. 1996) 8

Codapro Corp. v. Wilson,
 997 F. Supp. 322 (E.D.N.Y. 1998) 9

Cosmetic Warriors Ltd. v. Abrahamson,
 723 F. Supp. 2d 1102 (D. Minn. 2010) 19

Cunningham v. Bombay Productions, Inc.,
 309 F. Supp. 2d 835 (S.D. W.Va. 2004) 9

Dakota, Minnesota & Eastern R.R. Corp. v. Schieffer,
 715 F.3d 712 (8th Cir. 2013) 8

<i>Datalink Corp. v. Perkins Eastman Archs., P.C.</i> , 33 F. Supp. 3d 1068 (D. Minn. 2014)	17, 21
<i>Downing v. Goldman Phipps, PLLC</i> , 764 F.3d 906 (8th Cir. 2014)	14
<i>Eagle's Flight of Am., Inc. v. Play N Trade Franchise, Inc.</i> , No. 10-cv-1208 (RHK/JSM), 2011 WL 31726 (D. Minn. Jan. 5, 2011)	21
<i>Graff v. Qwest Communications Corp.</i> , 33 F. Supp. 2d 1117 (D. Minn. 1999)	17
<i>Gulf Oil Corp. v. Gilbert</i> , 330 U.S. 501 (1947)	17
<i>Hanson v. Denckla</i> , 357 U.S. 235 (1958)	11
<i>In re Apple, Inc.</i> , 602 F.3d 909 (8th Cir. 2010)	17, 22
<i>In re Flonase Antitrust Litig.</i> , 815 F. Supp. 2d 867 (E.D. Pa. 2011)	12
<i>In re Restasis (Cyclosporine Ophthalmic Emulsion) Antitrust Litig.</i> , 335 F.R.D. 1 (E.D.N.Y. 2020)	13
<i>In re Zetia Ezetimibe Antitrust Litig.</i> , MDL No. 2:18-md-2836, 2020 WL 5778756 (E.D. Va. Aug. 13, 2020)	13
<i>Int'l Shoe Co. v. Washington</i> , 326 U.S. 310 (1945)	10
<i>Ivey v. McKinley Med., LLC</i> , No. 08-cv-6407, 2009 WL 8712424 (D. Minn. Dec. 14, 2009)	24
<i>Johnson v. Arden</i> , 614 F.3d 785 (8th Cir. 2010)	11
<i>Johnson v. Welsh Equip., Inc.</i> , 518 F. Supp. 2d 1080 (D. Minn. 2007)	21
<i>Johnson v. Woodcock</i> , 444 F.3d 953 (8th Cir. 2006)	10
<i>Keeton v. Hustler Magazine, Inc.</i> , 465 U.S. 770 (1984)	14

...

Klatte v. Buckman, Buckman & Reid, Inc.,
995 F. Supp. 2d 951 (D. Minn. 2014)20

K–V Pharm. Co. v. J. Uriach & CIA, S.A.,
648 F.3d 588 (8th Cir. 2011) 11, 13

Lucky Break Wishbone Corporation v. Sterling Jewelers, Inc.,
No. 10-cv-4394 (PAM/JJG), 2011 WL 13233569 (D. Minn. Jan. 25, 2011).....21

Magdalena v. Toyota Motor Corporation,
No. 12-cv-20661, 2013 WL 12092086 (S.D. Fla. July 10, 2013)8

Massachusetts School of Law at Andover, Inc. v. American Bar Ass’n,
107 F.3d 1026 (3d Cir. 1997)12

My Pillow, Inc. v. LMP Worldwide, Inc.,
331 F. Supp. 3d 920 (D. Minn. 2018)21

Myers v. Casino Queen, Inc.,
689 F.3d 904 (8th Cir. 2012) 11, 15

Nelson v. Master Lease Corp.,
759 F. Supp. 1397 (D. Minn. 1991).....20

Northbrook Digital LLC v. Vendio Services, Inc.,
No. 07-cv-2250 (PJS/JJG), 2008 WL 2390740 (D. Minn. Apr. 4, 2008).....19

Nowak v. Tak How Invs., Ltd.,
94 F.3d 708 (1st Cir. 1996)11

Pederson v. Frost,
951 F.3d 977 (8th Cir. 2020)11

Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno,
454 U.S. 235 (1981)18

Powell v. I-Flow Corp.,
711 F. Supp. 2d 1012 (D. Minn. 2010)24

Radisson Hotels Int’l, Inc. v. Westin Hotel Co.,
931 F. Supp. 638 (D. Minn. 1996).....27

Reid v. The Wailers,
606 F. Supp. 2d 627 (E.D. Va. 2009).....9

Rubrgas AG v. Marathon Oil Co.,
526 U.S. 574 (1999)7, 9

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.