#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA MINNEAPOLIS DIVISION

MARIA MALO,	§	
	§	
PLAINTIFF,	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. <u>0:21-cv-2123</u>
	§	
VS.	§	
	Ş	JURY DEMANDED
TORAX MEDICAL, INC., AND	§	
ETHICON, INC.	§	
	§	
DEFENDANTS.	§	

#### PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Maria Malo files this, her Original Complaint, against Defendants Torax Medical, Inc. and Ethicon Inc., and respectfully states follows:

#### I.

#### **PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

Defendant's Torax Medical, Inc. and Ethicon, Inc. manufactured a defective medical device such that Plaintiff suffered significant injury. Here, a defectively manufactured LINX was surgically implanted in Plaintiff to control her gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). After the LINX was implanted in Plaintiff, Defendants became aware of the manufacturing defect in Plaintiff's LINX. Defendants recalled Plaintiff's LINX as well as numerous other LINX devices in the United States and European Union. Moreover, Defendants admit that Plaintiff's LINX was defectively manufactured.

Here, Plaintiff seeks to vindicate her rights at law for having to experience a severe recurrence of her GERD symptoms and undergo another invasive surgery to remove the defective LINX.

#### II.

#### PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Maria Malo is a resident of the State of Texas.

2. Defendant Torax Medical, Inc. (Torax) is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business in Shoreview, Minnesota. Torax may be served with process through its registered agent, The Corporation Trust Company at 1209 Orange St., Wilmington, Delaware 19801, or wherever it may be found. While headquartered in Minnesota, Torax's medical devices, including the LINX, are distributed, marketed, sold, and used on medical patients in all fifty United States, including Minnesota, and the European Union. Therefore, Torax is subject to personal jurisdiction in the State of Minnesota.

3. Ethicon, Inc. (Ethicon) is a New Jersey corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business in the State of New Jersey. Ethicon may be served with process through its registered agent Johnson & Johnson, at One Johnson & Johnson Plaza, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08933-0000, or its president Nefertiti Green, at Johnson & Johnson, Rt. 22 West, Somerville, New Jersey 08876, or wherever she may be found. While headquartered in New Jersey, Ethicon's medical devices, including the LINX, are distributed, marketed, sold, and used on medical patients in all fifty United States, including Minnesota, and the European Union. Therefore, Ethicon is subject to personal jurisdiction in the State of Minnesota.

#### III.

#### **JURISDICTION & VENUE**

4. This Court has jurisdiction over this proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1).

The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00.

5. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1) and (2).

#### IV.

#### FACTS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

6. This case arises from the defective manufacturing by Defendants Torax and Ethicon of a medical device known as the "LINX Reflux Management System" ("LINX"). LINX is a titanium bead-and-wire ring surgically implanted around a patient's lower esophageal sphincter (LES) to augment the LES and prevent acid reflux. These devices can only be implanted surgically, and they are used to treat gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) which is a disease predominately suffered by the elderly.



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>https://www.jnj.com/innovation/johnson-johnson-medical-innovations-reshaping-future-surgery</u>

#### CASE 0:21-cv-02123-ECT-BRT Doc. 1 Filed 09/27/21 Page 4 of 16

7. The LINX required pre-market approval by the Food & Drug Administration prior to it being placed in the stream of commerce and used on patients in the United States and the European Union. Specifically, in December 2010, Defendant Torax applied for this pre-market approval, including its manufacturing process, and this approval was granted on March 22, 2012. The LINX is considered a "restricted" device, meaning it is subject to numerous FDA regulations regarding the manufacture, distribution, and marketing of the device.

8. Defendant Ethicon, Inc. (Ethicon) is the parent-corporation for Defendant Torax and participated in the manufacture, distribution, and post-market surveillance of the LINX.

9. On May 31, 2018, Defendant Torax initiated a recall of numerous LINX due to "an out of specification condition" which would allow "a bead component to separate from an adjacent wire link."<sup>2</sup> This means that the LINX device, normally a continuous loop, would become discontinuous and open due a defect resulting from improper manufacture.



Torax has become aware of an out of specification condition which may affect a small number of devices and allow a bead component to separate from an adjacent wire link. This condition may result in a discontinuous or open LINX device.

This recall, classified as a Class 2 recall, is considered by the FDA as "a method of removing...products that are in violation of laws" administered by the FDA. FDA records show that there were 9,131 LINX devices in the stream of commerce as of May 2018.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Exhibit "A" – Notice of Recall

#### CASE 0:21-cv-02123-ECT-BRT Doc. 1 Filed 09/27/21 Page 5 of 16

10. A 17-bead LINX was surgically implanted in Plaintiff on June 1, 2018. This LINX was subject to the recall described in  $\P$  6.

11. Plaintiff the defective LINX was removed on December 2, 2020.

12. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants Torax and Ethicon manufactured the LINX which was implanted in Plaintiff and subsequently failed due to a manufacturing defect. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants Torax and Ethicon placed Plaintiff's LINX device into the stream of commerce. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants Torax and Ethicon are corporations who regularly design, test, assembly, manufacture, sell, and distribute medical devices intended for human use.

V.

#### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

#### A. <u>Manufacturing Defect As to Defendant Torax – Strict Liability</u>

13. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs and would show the Court that she is entitled to recover from, in strict liability for product defect, from Defendant Torax for the defective manufacture of the LINX device surgically-implanted in Plaintiff.

14. Specifically, the LINX implanted in Plaintiff was manufactured in violation of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, the Medical Device Amendments, and federal regulations promulgated under these laws and administered by the FDA. The device implanted in Plaintiff was manufactured in deviation from the manufacturing specifications approved by the FDA and provided by Defendant Torax for its pre-market approval. Plaintiff's LINX was also manufactured in deviation of Current Good Manufacturing Practice requirements. The LINX was also defectively manufactured in violation of Minnesota law that parallels federal requirements.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.