

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION**

ZEA VISION LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 4:21-cv-00072-NCC
)	
BAUSCH & LOMB INCORPORATED & PF CONSUMER HEALTHCARE 1 LLC,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

**DEFENDANTS' MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION
TO DISMISS OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO STAY OR TRANSFER**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT 1

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS 3

 A. The Parties 3

 B. The New York Action..... 4

IV. ARGUMENT 5

 A. PLAINTIFF’S COMPLAINT SHOULD BE DISMISSED
 BECAUSE THERE IS NO PERSONAL JURISDICTION OVER
 DEFENDANTS 5

 1. Defendants Are Not Subject to General Personal
 Jurisdiction in the Eastern District of Missouri 7

 2. Defendants Are Not Subject to Specific Personal
 Jurisdiction in this District Under Missouri’s Long-Arm
 Statute 7

 B. THE COURT SHOULD DISMISS THE COMPLAINT UNDER
 THE FIRST-TO-FILE RULE 10

 1. This Case Substantially Overlaps with the First-Filed New
 York Action 10

 2. There Are No Compelling Circumstances That Warrant
 Departure from the First-to-File Rule 12

 3. In the Alternative, This Case Should Be Stayed or
 Transferred..... 15

V. CONCLUSION..... 15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>AFTG-TG, LLC v. Nuvoton Tech. Corp.</i> , 689 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	6, 7
<i>Avigilon Corp. v. Canon, Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-11922, 2017 WL 11501011 (D. Mass. Nov. 13, 2017)	13
<i>Avocent Huntsville Corp. v. Aten Int’l Co.</i> , 552 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	2, 8, 9
<i>Basimah Khulusi M.D., LLC v. Honeywell Int’l, Inc.</i> , No. 18-00425-DGK, 2019 WL 332416 (W.D. Mo. Jan. 25, 2019).....	6
<i>Bausch & Lomb, Inc. v. ZeaVision LLC</i> , C.A. No. 6:20-cv-06452 (W.D.N.Y. 2020)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz</i> , 471 U.S. 462 (1985).....	10
<i>Brillhart v. Excess Ins. Co. of Am.</i> , 316 U.S. 491 (1942).....	14
<i>Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Super. Ct. of Cal., San Francisco Cty.</i> , 137 S. Ct. 1773 (2017).....	6
<i>Clockwork Home Servs., Inc. v. Robinson</i> , 423 F. Supp. 2d 984 (E.D. Mo. 2006).....	13
<i>Daimler AG v. Bauman</i> , 571 U.S. 117 (2014).....	2, 7
<i>Dairy Farmers of Am., Inc. v. Bassett & Walker Int’l, Inc.</i> , 702 F.3d 472 (8th Cir. 2012)	5, 6
<i>EMC Corp. v. Parallel Iron, LLC</i> , 914 F. Supp. 2d 125 (D. Mass. 2012)	14, 15
<i>Futurewei Techs., Inc. v. Acacia Res. Corp.</i> , No. 12-cv-511, 2012 WL 12905300 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 22, 2012)	14
<i>Futurewei Techs., Inc. v. Acacia Research Corp.</i> , 737 F.3d 704 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	3, 10

Genentech, Inc. v. Eli Lilly & Co.,
998 F.2d 931 (Fed. Cir. 1993).....11

Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S.A. v. Brown,
564 U.S. 915 (2011).....2, 6, 7

Int’l Shoe Co. v. Washington,
326 U.S. 310 (1945).....2, 6, 8

Maxchief Invs. Ltd. v. Wok & Pan, Ind., Inc.,
909 F.3d 1134 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....2, 6, 8

Merial Ltd. v. Cipla Ltd.,
681 F.3d 1283 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....10

Nw. Airlines, Inc. v. Am. Airlines, Inc.,
989 F.2d 1002 (8th Cir. 1993)3, 12

Shure Inc. v. Clearone, Inc.,
No. 19-cv-1343, 2020 WL 2839294 (D. Del. June 1, 2020)12

Silent Drive, Inc. v. Strong Indus., Inc.,
326 F.3d 1194 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....9

Silver Line Bldg. Prods. LLC v. J-Channel Indus. Corp.,
12 F. Supp. 3d 320 (E.D.N.Y. 2014)14

Speedfit LLC v. Woodway USA, Inc.,
53 F. Supp. 3d 561 (E.D.N.Y. 2014)12

U.S. Fire Ins. Co. v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co.,
920 F.2d 487 (8th Cir. 1990)10

Vermont Agency of Nat. Res. v. United States ex rel. Stevens,
529 U.S. 765 (2000).....5

Wells Dairy, Inc. v. Food Movers Int’l, Inc.,
607 F.3d 515 (8th Cir. 2010)5

Z-Line Designs, Inc. v. Bell’O Int’l LLC,
218 F.R.D. 663 (N.D. Cal. 2003).....14

Statutes

28 U.S.C. § 1404.....14

Lanham Act..... *passim*

Missouri Unfair Competition Law.....1, 4, 11

MO. REV. STAT. § 506.500 (2016)7

New York Unfair Competition Law1, 4, 11

Other Authorities

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2).....1, 2, 5, 15

Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(B)12

Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(c)(1)(B)12

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.