

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
WESTERN DIVISION**

IN RE: T-MOBILE CUSTOMER DATA) MDL No. 3019
SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION)
) Master Case No. 4:21-md-03019-BCW
)
)
)

**PLAINTIFFS' SUGGESTIONS IN SUPPORT OF UNOPPOSED
MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL AND TO
DIRECT NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT TO THE CLASS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. BACKGROUND 2

III. THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND BENEFITS PLAN 5

 A. The Settlement Class..... 5

 B. Benefits of the Settlement..... 6

 1. Cash Payments 6

 2. Identity Defense Services and Restoration Services..... 7

 3. Information Security Spending 8

 C. Provision of Notice to the Settlement Class 8

 D. Opt-Out and Objection Procedures 9

 E. Release Provisions 11

IV. LEGAL STANDARDS 12

V. THE SETTLEMENT APPROVAL PROCESS..... 13

VI. THE SETTLEMENT SATISFIES THE RULE 23(E) FACTORS 14

 A. Plaintiffs and Their Counsel Have Adequately Represented the Settlement Class..... 15

 B. The Settlement Agreement Was Negotiated at Arm’s Length 16

 C. The Relief Provided for the Settlement Class is Fair, Reasonable, and Adequate 17

 1. The Value of Settlement Benefits 17

 2. The Costs, Risks, and Delay of Trial and Appeal..... 18

 3. The Effectiveness of Administration 19

 4. The Experience and Views of Counsel..... 21

 5. The Proposed Fees, Expenses, and Service Awards..... 22

 D. The Proposal Treats Settlement Class Members Equitably 23

VII. CLASS CERTIFICATION FOR SETTLEMENT PURPOSES IS APPROPRIATE..... 24

A.	The Rule 23(a) Requirements Are Satisfied	25
1.	Numerosity.....	25
2.	Commonality.....	25
3.	Typicality	26
4.	Adequacy of Representation.....	27
B.	The Rule 23(b)(3) Requirements Are Satisfied	28
1.	Predominance.....	29
2.	Superiority.....	30
VIII.	APPOINTMENT OF CLASS COUNSEL AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVES.....	31
IX.	THE PROPOSED NOTICE PLAN SHOULD BE APPROVED.....	32
X.	RELATED ACTIONS AND PROCEEDINGS SHOULD BE STAYED OR ENJOINED UNLESS CLASS MEMBERS OPT OUT OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS	33
XI.	PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR INTERMEDIATE DEADLINES AND FINAL APPROVAL HEARING.....	35
XII.	CONCLUSION.....	36

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Alpern v. UtiliCorp United, Inc.,
84 F.3d 1525 (8th Cir. 1996) 27

Amchem Prods. Inc. v. Windsor,
521 U.S. 591 (1997)..... 24, 27, 29

Amgen, Inc. v. Conn. Ret. Plans & Tr. Funds,
568 U.S. 455 (2013)..... 29

Armstrong v. Bd. of Sch. Dirs. of Milwaukee,
616 F.2d 305 (7th Cir. 1980) 21

Ashley v. Reg’l Transp. Dist.,
No. 05-cv-01567, 2008 WL 384579 (D. Colo. Feb. 11, 2008)..... 16

Bellows v. NCO Fin. Sys., Inc.,
No. 07-CV-01413, 2008 WL 5458986 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 10, 2008) 13

Butler v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.,
727 F.3d 796 (7th Cir. 2013) 30

Carnegie v. Household Int’l., Inc.,
376 F.3d 656 (7th Cir. 2004) 31

Carpe v. Aquila, Inc.,
224 F.R.D. 454 (W.D. Mo. 2004)..... 25, 27

Carson v. Am. Brands, Inc.,
450 U.S. 79 (1981)..... 19

Cohn v. Nelson,
375 F. Supp. 2d 844 (E.D. Mo. 2005)..... 12

Comcast Corp. v. Behrend,
569 U.S. 27 (2013)..... 25

Cromeans v. Morgan Keegan & Co.,
303 F.R.D. 543 (W.D. Mo. 2014)..... 25

DeBoer v. Mellon Mortg. Co.,
64 F.3d 1171 (8th Cir. 1995) 27

Eisen v. Carlisle & Jacquelin,
417 U.S. 156 (1974)..... 32

<i>Gordon v. Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-01415-CMA-SKC, 2019 WL 6972701 (D. Colo. Dec. 16, 2019).....	18, 19
<i>Huyer v. Buckley</i> , 849 F.3d 395 (8th Cir. 2017)	22
<i>In re Anthem, Inc. Data Breach Litig.</i> , 327 F.R.D. 299 (N.D. Cal. 2018).....	passim
<i>In re Baldwin-United Corp. (Single Premium Deferred Annuities Ins. Litig.)</i> , 770 F.2d 328 (2d Cir. 1985).....	34
<i>In re BankAmerica Corp. Sec. Litig.</i> , 210 F.R.D. 694 (E.D. Mo. 2002)	21
<i>In re Brinker Data Incident Litig.</i> , No. 3:18-cv-686-TJC-MCR, 2021 WL 1405508 (M.D. Fla. Apr. 14, 2021)	18
<i>In re CenturyLink Sales Pracs. & Sec. Litig.</i> , No. CV 17-2832, 2020 WL 869980 (D. Minn. Feb. 21, 2020)	34, 35
<i>In re Equifax Inc. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.</i> , No. 1:17-MD-2800-TWT, 2020 WL 256132 (N.D. Ga. Mar. 17, 2020)	17
<i>In re Equifax Inc. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.</i> , 999 F.3d 1247 (11th Cir. 2021)	24
<i>In re Gen. Motors Corp. Pick-Up Truck Fuel Tank Prods. Liab. Litig.</i> , 55 F.3d 768 (3d Cir. 1995).....	12
<i>In re Glenn W. Turner Enters. Litig.</i> , 521 F.2d 775 (3d Cir. 1975).....	35
<i>In re Hannaford Bros. Co. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.</i> , 293 F.R.D. 21 (D. Me. 2013).....	18
<i>In re Life Time Fitness, Inc. Telephone Consumer Prot. Act (TCPA) Litig.</i> , 847 F.3d 619 (8th Cir. 2017)	22
<i>In re Marriott Int'l, Inc., Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.</i> , No. 19-MD-2879, 2022 WL 1396522 (D. Md. May 3, 2022).....	18
<i>In re Premera Blue Cross Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.</i> , No. 3:15-md-2633-SI, 2019 WL 3410382 (D. Or. July 29, 2019)	31
<i>In re School Asbestos Litig.</i> , No., 83-0268, 1991 WL 61156 (E.D. Pa. Apr. 16, 1991).....	34

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.