Case 2:12-cv-00416-MMD-GWF Document 114 Filed 06/16/14 Page 1 of 18		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7	* * *	
8	REGINA C. HONEY, individually and as	Case No. 2:12-cv-00416-MMD-GWF
9	natural parent of ADDISON M. HONEY, a minor, and LUCAS R. HONEY, a minor;	
10	ADAM D. HONEY, individually and as natural parent of ADDISON M. HONEY, a	ORDER
11	minor, and LUCAS R. HONEY, a minor, Plaintiffs,	(Def.'s Motion for Summary Judgment – dkt. no. 85; Plf.'s Motion for Summary Judgment – dkt. no. 87)
12	V.	
13	DIGNITY HEALTH, a California non-profit corporation, doing business as ST. ROSE	
14	DOMINICAN HOŠPITAL-SIENNA CAMPUS; CONEXIS BENEFIT	
15	ADMINISTRATORS LP, a Texas limited partnership; CONEXIS LLC, a California	
16	limited liability partnership; PAYFLEX SYSTEMS, USA, INC., a Nebraska	
17	corporation; DOE Entities I-V; and ROE individuals VI-X.	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	I. SUMMARY This dispute involves the notification provisions of the Consolidated Omnibus	
21	Budget Reconciliation Act ("COBRA"). Plaintiffs Regina C. Honey, Addison M. Honey,	
22	Lucas R. Honey, and Adam D. Honey (collectively, "Plaintiffs") allege that Defendant	
23	Dignity Health ("Dignity") failed to provide timely and adequate notice regarding Plaintiffs'	
24	right to continue participation in Dignity's group health plan following Dignity's	
25 26	termination of Regina's employment. Plaintiffs allege they suffered various harms due to	
26 27	the delayed notice, and request the maximum statutory damages detailed in section	
27 28	502(c) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(c).	
20		County Not (Enton), 20 0.0.0. 3 1102(0).

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Before the Court are the parties' cross motions for summary judgment (dkt. nos. 85, 88), and Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Sur-Reply (dkt. no. 103). For the 2 reasons discussed below, the Motion for Leave to File Sur-Reply is granted, and the 3 parties' cross motions for summary judgment are granted in part and denied in part. 4

5 11.

1

BACKGROUND

The following facts are undisputed. Dignity provides health, vision, and dental 6 insurance coverage to its employees through an "employee welfare benefit plan" (the 7 "Plan"), as that term is used in 29 U.S.C. § 1002(1). (Dkt. no. 85-1, Ex. A.) Dignity is both 8 the "sponsor" and the "administrator" of the Plan, as those terms are used in 29 U.S.C. § 9 1002(16). (Dkt. no. 85.) Until December 31, 2010, Dignity contracted with Defendant 10 Conexis, LLC ("Conexis") to administer Dignity's compliance with COBRA's notification 11 provisions for the Plan. (Dkt. no. 86, Ex. B.) After December 31, 2010, Dignity contracted 12 with Defendant Payflex Systems, USA, Inc. ("Payflex") for the same services. (Dkt. no. 13 14 86, Ex. C.)

Dignity hired Regina on April 8, 2008, to work as a registered nurse. (Dkt. no. 88-15 1 ¶ 2.) Regina participated in Dignity's group health, vision, and dental plans with 16 Addison as a covered beneficiary. (Id.) Adam was also a covered beneficiary for the 17 dental portion of the Plan only. (Id.) Because Regina and Adam were not married until 18 December 31, 2010, Adam was covered under the Plan as a Legal Domiciled Adult. (Id.) 19

20

Regina's First Termination Α.

In March, 2010, Regina began experiencing signs of pre-term labor and her 21 doctor ordered bed rest. (Id. ¶ 4.) Regina requested leave between March 26 and April 22 12, 2010, to comply with the doctor's orders. (Id.) However, when Regina attempted to 23 return to work after her symptoms had subsided, she was instructed not to come in, and, 24 on April 22, 2010, Dignity informed Regina that her employment and benefits were 25 terminated (the "First Termination"). (Id. ¶ 5.) Regina received a COBRA notification 26 regarding her right to continue her health care benefits on May 19, 2010. (Id.) 27

28

|||

1

B. Regina's Second Termination

After filing a successful grievance against Dignity over the First Termination, Dignity reinstated Regina, and Regina returned to work on June 10, 2010. (*Id.* ¶ 6.) However, on June 14, 2010, Regina again experienced symptoms of pre-term labor and her doctor again ordered bed rest for the remainder of her pregnancy and for a six-week postpartum period. (*Id.* ¶¶ 7, 9.) Regina remained on bed rest until her son, Lucas, was born on July 27, 2010. (*Id.*)

At the end of her postpartum period, Regina informed her employer that she was 8 medically cleared to begin working again on September 7, 2010, but she was never 9 scheduled to return to work. (Dkt. no. 88-4.) In the following days, Regina attempted 10 several times to reach a representative in Dignity's Human Resources ("HR") 11 Department regarding her return to work, but she was unable to do so. (Dkt. no. 88-1 ¶¶ 12 11-14.) Regina also attempted to add Lucas to the Plan, but she could not access her 13 self-service benefits account. (Id. ¶ 12; Dkt. no. 85-2, Ex. D, 45:1-47:21.) On September 14 22, 2010, Regina was finally able to speak to someone in HR, who informed her that 15 Dignity had retroactively terminated both her employment and her benefits, with effective 16 dates of June 22, 2010, and June 30, 2010, respectively. (Dkt. no. 88-1 ¶ 15.) On 17 September 28, 2010, Regina received correspondence from Dignity dated September 18 15, 2010, formally notifying her of her termination. (Id. ¶ 16; Dkt. no. 88-6.) 19

20

C. Regina's Attempts to Procure COBRA Notice

During her September 22, 2010, conversation with Dignity's HR representative, 21 Regina stated that she had yet to receive a notification or information regarding her 22 continued benefits under COBRA; she expressly requested the notification, conveying 23 the urgency she felt as a result of medical bills related to her high-risk pregnancy. (Dkt. 24 no. 88-1 ¶ 15.) The September 28, 2010, termination letter included an attachment 25 explaining the availability of COBRA coverage. (Dkt. no. 85-2, Ex. E.) However, the letter 26 did not provide a form for Plaintiffs to elect COBRA coverage. (Id.) Rather, the letter 27 simply stated that enrollment information would be sent separately. (Id.) On September 28

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

ર

30, 2010, Regina again called and left a message for Dignity's HR representative,
informing her that no COBRA notification had arrived. (Dkt. no. 88-1 ¶ 17.) Regina
received no response. (*Id.*)

On November 2, 2010, Regina and Adam attended a union meeting to discuss 4 the issues surrounding her termination. (Id. ¶ 18.) At that meeting, Regina informed 5 Dignity's representatives that she still had not received a COBRA notice. (Id. ¶ 19.) One 6 of those representatives, Ms. Spencer, promised to look into the matter. (Id.) On 7 November 8, 2010, Regina followed up with Ms. Spencer by email regarding her inquiry. 8 (Id. at ¶ 21.) The following week, on November 15, 2010, Ms. Spencer wrote back and 9 informed Regina that "[a]fter researching the COBRA issue, you were contacted based 10 on the records. Unfortunately, there is really nothing else I can do." (Dkt. no. 88-8.) 11 Regina made several more attempts to procure the COBRA notice. (Dkt. no. 88-1 ¶¶ 22-12 25.) On November 30, 2010, Regina received an email from Dignity's HR representative, 13 which stated, "[w]e are contacting Conexis right now to have them issue the missed 14 Cobra notification." (*Id.*; Dkt. no. 88-12.) 15

16

D. The COBRA Notices

Conexis issued the required COBRA notification in connection with Regina's 17 Second Termination in a correspondence dated December 7, 2010. (Dkt. no. 85-2, Ex. 18 F.) Although the correspondence was addressed "[t]o Participant and/or any Covered 19 Dependents," the correspondence listed only Regina and Addison as participants and/or 20 beneficiaries. (Id.) Additionally, the coverage premiums were set out only for Regina and 21 Addison. (Id.) The notice did make a request to "[p]lease verify our records are accurate 22 and make changes as necessary;" however, the notice was silent about Adam and 23 Lucas. (Id.) 24

On January 18, 2011, Regina contacted Conexis to elect benefits for herself and Addison. (Dkt. no. 88-1 ¶ 27.) However, Regina was informed that Conexis was no longer Dignity's co-administrator and that she needed to contact Payflex. (*Id.*) Regina did so, and was told that she was not in Payflex's system. (*Id.*) Payflex then issued a

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

separate COBRA notice on January 24, 2011. (*Id.* ¶ 28.) Like the Conexis notice, the Payflex notice only described coverage options for Regina and Addison. (*Id.*; dkt. no. 88-16.)

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

2

3

E. Regina's Reinstatement

On May 1, 2011, Regina, her union, and Dignity entered into a settlement agreement, resolving Regina's grievance regarding her Second Termination. (Dkt. no. 85-2, Ex. H.) Under the Agreement, Dignity reinstated Regina's employment, paid Regina \$600.00 to cover out-of-pocket medical expenses, and paid or wrote off all Plaintiffs' outstanding medical bills for the period between Regina's Second Termination and her reinstatement. (*Id.*; Dkt. no. 85-2 at 173.)

11

10

F. This Lawsuit

Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint (the "FAC") on March 22, 2013, 12 claiming violations of COBRA's notice provisions and breach of fiduciary duties. (Dkt. no. 13 45.) The Court dismissed the breach of fiduciary duty claim pursuant to the parties' 14 stipulation. (Dkt. no. 91.) The parties also stipulated to dismiss with prejudice Defendants 15 Conexis and Payflex. (Dkt. nos. 102, 105.) Plaintiffs and Dignity now both move for 16 summary judgment on the remaining claim for failure to provide the required COBRA 17 notification. (Dkt. nos. 85, 88.) Plaintiffs have also filed a motion seeking the Court's 18 permission to file a Sur-Reply to Dignity's Motion for Summary Judgment. (Dkt. no. 103.) 19

20

III. MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUR-REPLY

Plaintiffs request permission to file a Sur-Reply in response to an alleged attack on Regina's credibility in Dignity's Reply to its Motion for Summary Judgment. The disagreement involves whether Regina elected COBRA coverage after she obtained adequate notice, or whether she allowed the coverage to lapse.

In its various filings, Dignity has consistently argued that Plaintiffs could not have suffered prejudice because, even after they received COBRA notice, they did not elect coverage. Dignity offers as support Regina's deposition testimony that she let the coverage lapse and began negotiating a reinstatement in February 2011. (Dkt. no. 85.)

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

5

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.