	Case 2:21-cv-00184 Documen	t 1 Filed 02/02/21 Page 1 of 32
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH United States Attorney District of Nevada Nevada Bar. No. 13644 ALLISON C. REPPOND Assistant United States Attorney U.S. Attorney's Office 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Ph: 702-388-6336 Email: allison.reppond@usdoj.gov Attorneys for the United States	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
11 12	United States of America,	Case No.: 2:21-cv-00184
12		
14	Plaintiff, v.	Complaint
15 16 17 18 19	PCPLV LLC d/b/a Pinnacle Compounding Pharmacy, Ofir Ventura, Cecilia Ventura, Brandon Jimenez, Robert Gomez, Gomez & Associates, Inc., Rock'n Rob Enterprises, Amir Shalev, D.P.M., AS Enterprises, Inc., and Ivan Lee Goldsmith, M.D.; Defendants.	
20	The United States of America ("the United States" or the Government"), on behalf	
21 22	of the United States Department of Defense ("DOD") and the United States Department of	
22	Veterans Affairs ("VA"), brings this action against defendants PCPLV LLC d/b/a Pinnacle	
24	Compounding Pharmacy, Ofir Ventura, Cecilia Ventura, Brandon Jimenez, Robert Gomez	
25	Gomez & Associates, Inc., Rock'n Rob Enterprises, Amir Shalev, D.P.M., AS Enterprises,	
26	Inc., and Ivan Lee Goldsmith, M.D. (collectively referred to herein as "Defendants"), and	
27	alleges as follows:	
28		

I. Introduction

1. This is a civil action brought by the United States against the defendants under the False Claims Act ("FCA"), 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733, and the common law, to recover treble damages sustained by, and civil penalties and restitution owed to, the United States for Defendants' illegal conduct.

2. Between February 2015 and November 2015, Defendants Ofir Ventura and Cecilia Ventura (collectively referred to herein as "the Venturas") and Brandon Jimenez ("Jimenez"), were all co-owners and members of PCPLV LLC d/b/a Pinnacle Compounding Pharmacy ("Pinnacle"). The owners and members of Pinnacle knowingly and willfully engaged in illegal kickback schemes pursuant to which they caused Pinnacle to pay substantial kickbacks to various third-party marketers in exchange for those marketers arranging for the referral of prescriptions for compounded drugs¹ to Pinnacle. The kickbacks paid to these marketers consisted of a substantial share of the revenue that the marketers' referrals generated for Pinnacle. These arrangements violated the Anti-Kickback Statute ("AKS"), 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b).

3. Defendant Robert Gomez, in his individual capacity and as owner of Gomez & Associates, Inc., and Rock'n Rob Enterprises (collectively referred to herein as "Gomez"), was among the third-party marketers that knowingly and willfully received illegal kickbacks from Pinnacle through his corporate entities. Pursuant to those agreements, Gomez carried out campaigns to directly solicit patients, including TRICARE beneficiaries and beneficiaries of the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Department of Veterans Affairs ("CHAMPVA"), to accept prescriptions for compounded medications, and

 ¹ Compounding is a practice in which a licensed pharmacist combines, mixes, or alters ingredients
 of a drug in response to a prescription to create a medication tailored to the medical needs of an
 individual patient. *See* U.S. Food & Drug Administration, *Compounding and the FDA: Questions and Answers*, https://www.fda.gov/drugs/human-drug-compounding/compounding-and-fda questions-and-answers (last visited Jan. 28, 2021). For example, a patient with an allergy to a
 particular ingredient in a commercially-available medication may benefit from a compounded
 medication in certain circumstances. *Id.* Compounded medications often come in the form of
 topical creams or sprays. Compounded medications are typically much more expensive than
 commercially-available medications.

arranged for those prescriptions to be referred to Pinnacle, which agreed to pay substantial 1 kickbacks in return. Some patients were even offered financial compensation by third-party 2 marketers, including Gomez, for agreeing to accept prescriptions for compounded medicine. 3 Gomez, through his corporate entities, paid illegal kickbacks to patients in exchange for 4 their agreement to try compounded medications from Pinnacle. Gomez also knowingly and 5 willfully paid illegal kickbacks to physicians directly in exchange for the physicians' writing 6 prescriptions for compounded mediations to be referred to Pinnacle, including Ivan Lee 7 Goldsmith, M.D. ("Goldsmith"), Amir Shalev, D.P.M. ("Shalev"), some of which were 8 9 paid to AS Enterprises, Inc. ("AS Enterprises"), a corporation in which Shalev served as a director at all relevant times herein. 10

Upon information and belief, the Venturas and Jimenez likewise knowingly 11 4. and willfully engaged in illegal kickback schemes pursuant to which they caused Pinnacle to 12 pay physicians directly for the referral of prescriptions for compounded drugs to Pinnacle. 13 For example, Ofir Ventura and Brandon Jimenez hosted dinners with physicians who 14 prescribed a large number of compounded drugs to Pinnacle, including Goldsmith and 15 Shalev. Upon information and belief, the Venturas and Jimenez knowingly and willfully 16 caused Pinnacle to directly pay illegal kickbacks to AS Enterprises to induce Shalev to 17 continue writing numerous prescriptions for expensive and unnecessary compounded 18 19 medications from Pinnacle. Pinnacle's third-party marketer, Gomez, also knowingly and willfully engaged in illegal kickback schemes with physicians and, through his corporations, 20 made payments directly to physicians, including Goldsmith and Shalev, in exchange for 21 these physicians writing numerous prescriptions for Pinnacle's compounded medications. 22 23 The kickbacks paid to these physicians likewise consisted of a substantial share of the revenue that the physicians' prescriptions generated for Pinnacle. These arrangements also 24 violated the Anti-Kickback Statute ("AKS"), 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b). 25

5. Through these schemes, Defendants knowingly presented and/or caused to
be presented claims to the TRICARE and CHAMPVA programs that were false or
fraudulent because they were tainted by kickbacks to marketers, physicians, and patients,

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 2:21-cv-00184 Document 1 Filed 02/02/21 Page 4 of 32

and did not arise from a valid prescriber-patient relationship and were otherwise 1 unnecessary. As a result of this conduct, Defendants are liable under the False Claims Act, 2 31 U.S.C. § 3729, et seq. (the FCA), and the federal common law. 3

II. Jurisdiction and Venue

6. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345 and 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a) and (b).

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over defendants under 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a), because they have adequate minimum contacts with the United States of America and the State of Nevada to make the assertion of personal jurisdiction sufficient to satisfy due process.

8. Venue is proper in this action in the District of Nevada under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) – (c) and 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a), because Defendants can all be found in, reside in, or have transacted business within this Court's jurisdiction, and acts that they committed in violation of the FCA, AKS and federal common law occurred within this district.

III. The Parties

9. Plaintiff in this action is the United States of America, suing on behalf of its agencies the DOD, including DOD component the Defense Health Agency ("DHA"), which administers the TRICARE program, and the VA, including VA component the Veterans Health Administration Office of Community Care ("VHAOCC"), which administers the CHAMPVA program.

10. At all times relevant herein, Defendant PCPLV LLC, d/b/a Pinnacle Compounding Pharmacy was a Nevada limited liability company with its operations based in Las Vegas, Nevada. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Pinnacle was engaged in the 23 business of providing medical care to, among others, individuals who were TRICARE and 24 CHAMPVA beneficiaries. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Pinnacle acted by and through its vice principals, Ofir Ventura, Cecelia Ventura, and Brandon Jimenez. 26

11. Defendant Ofir Ventura, who resides in Las Vegas, Nevada, was, at all times 27 relevant herein, a co-owner, member, and/or officer of Pinnacle. Ofir Ventura was actively 28

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 2:21-cv-00184 Document 1 Filed 02/02/21 Page 5 of 32

involved in managing and directing the daily operations at Pinnacle. At all times relevant herein, Ofir Ventura acted in his capacity as a vice principal of Pinnacle. Ofir Ventura is also liable in his individual capacity for the conduct alleged herein, as he knowingly and willfully made or caused to be made false claims for payment to the United States through 4 and on behalf of Pinnacle in violation of the AKS

1

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

28

12. Defendant Cecilia Ventura, who resides in Las Vegas, Nevada, was, at all 6 times relevant herein, the Manager of Pinnacle, as well as a co-owner, member, and/or 7 officer of Pinnacle. Cecilia Ventura was actively involved in managing and directing the 9 daily operations at Pinnacle, including in submitting claims for payment. At all times relevant herein, Cecelia Ventura acted in her capacity as a vice principal of Pinnacle. Cecelia Ventura is also liable in her individual capacity for the conduct alleged herein, as she knowingly and willfully made or caused to be made false claims for payment to the United States through and on behalf of Pinnacle in violation of the AKS. 13

13. Defendant Brandon Jimenez, who resides in Las Vegas, Nevada, was, at all 14 times relevant herein, a co-owner, member, and/or officer of Pinnacle. Jimenez was actively involved in managing and directing the daily operations at Pinnacle. At all times 16 relevant herein, Brandon Jimenez acted in his capacity as a vice principal of Pinnacle. Jimenez is also liable in his individual capacity for the conduct alleged herein, as he knowingly and willfully made or caused to be made false claims for payment to the United States through and on behalf of Pinnacle in violation of the AKS. 20

14. Defendant Robert Gomez, who resides in Las Vegas, Nevada, was, at all times relevant herein, the President, Secretary, Treasurer, and/or a Director, as well as a coowner, of Gomez & Associates, Inc. and Rock'n Rob Enterprises. Gomez was actively involved in managing and directing the daily operations at Gomez & Associates, Inc., and 24 Rock'n Rob Enterprises. At all times relevant herein, Robert Gomez acted in his capacity as 25 a vice principal for Rock'n Rob Enterprises and Gomez & Associates, Inc. to cause these 26 corporations to pay and receive illegal kickbacks. Gomez is also liable in his individual 27

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.