

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

KIMBERLEE WILLIAMS, et al.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

BASF CATALYSTS LLC, et al.

Defendants.

No. 2:11-cv-01754 (ES) (JAD)
CIVIL ACTION

**PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM OF LAW
IN SUPPORT OF PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF
THE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

COHEN, PLACITELLA & ROTH, P.C.

Christopher M. Placitella, Esq.

Michael Coren, Esq.

Jared M. Placitella, Esq.

Eric S. Pasternack, Esq.

127 Maple Ave

Red Bank, New Jersey 07701

(Tel): (732) 747-9003

(Fax): (732) 747-9004

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction1

II. Factual Background3

A. Emtal Talc and the Johnson Mine3

B. Historical asbestos litigation.3

C. Plaintiffs’ class action claims.4

D. The initial proceedings in this Court.5

E. The Third Circuit decision.6

F. The proceedings in this Court following remand.....7

G. Mediation efforts.10

III. Material Terms of the Settlement15

A. The Settlement Class definition.15

B. Benefits to the Settlement Class Members.....16

C. Class Counsel Fees and Litigation Cost Reimbursement.16

D. Non-monetary benefits to the settlement Class.....17

E. The Plan of Distribution.18

1. Establishment of a settlement claims facility and appointment of a Settlement Trustee, Claims Administrator and Lien Administrator.....19

2. Proposed distribution of the Settlement Fund among Class Members.22

a. Payments under the Plan’s Part A program.23

b. Payments under the Plan’s Part B program.25

Table 1.....29

Hypothetical Part B Payment Share Estimates*29

c. Payments under the Plan’s Part C discretionary EIF program.29

F. The Plan of Notice.....33

IV. Argument38

A. The Parties are entitled to a presumption that the settlement is fair.41

1. The proposed settlement is the product of good faith, extensive arm’s length negotiations.41

2. Extensive discovery and proceedings before the Third Circuit, District Court, and Special Discovery Master preceded the settlement.43

3. The proponents of the settlement are experienced in similar litigation...44

B. The complexity of these proceedings and advanced stage of litigation support approving the Settlement.44

1. Complexity, Expense, and Likely Duration of the Litigation.....46

2. Reaction of the Class to the Settlement.48

3. Stage of the Proceedings and Amount of Discovery Completed.....49

C. Risks to continued litigation also support approving the Settlement.....50

1. The availability of witnesses at trial.51

2. Defenses relating to Underlying Lawsuits.53

3. Establishing Damages.55

4. Ascertaining the Proposed Class.....58

5. “Science Day” And The Emtal Talc Testing Record.....59

D. Other factors also support approving the Settlement.61

1. Ability of Defendants to Withstand a Greater Judgment.....61

2. Range of Reasonableness of the Settlement in Light of the Best Possible Recovery and All Attendant Risks of Litigation.62

3. *Prudential* Factors.64

C. The Court should conditionally certify the Settlement Class.67

1. Numerosity.....69

2. Commonality.....70

3. Typicality.71

4. The Representative Plaintiffs’ interests fully align with those of the putative Settlement Class as they made the same fraud, fraudulent concealment and conspiracy claims under New Jersey law.....73

a. Representative Plaintiffs.73

b. Class Counsel.....77

5. The Court should conditionally certify a settlement class under Rule 23(b)(3) for monetary relief.78

a. Common questions of law and fact predominate.....78

b. Superiority.....82

D. The proposed form and method of class notice satisfy due process.83

E. The plan of distribution treats class members equitably relative to each other.....86

V. Conclusion89

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cases

Amchem Prods v. Windsor,
521 U.S. 591 (1997)..... 75, 79, 81

Baby Neal v. Casey,
43 F.3d 48 (3d Cir. 1994)..... 72, 73

Class Plaintiffs v. City of Seattle,
955 F.2d 1268 (9th Cir. 1992)87

County of Essex v. First Union Nat’l Bank,
89 A.2d 600 (N.J. 2006).....56

Dewey v. Volkswagen Aktiengesellschaft,
681 F.3d 170 (3d Cir. 2012).....74

Ehrheart v. Verizon Wireless,
609 F.3d 590 (3d Cir. 2010).....39

Gates v. Rohm & Haas Co.,
248 F.R.D. 434, 439 (E.D. Pa. 2008).....42

Girsh v. Jepson,
541 F.2d 153 (3d Cir. 1975)..... passim

Grunewald v. Kasperbauer,
235 F.R.D. 599 (E.D.Pa. 2006).....85

In re Baby Prods. Antitrust Litig.,
708 F.3d 163 (3d Cir. 2013).....46

In re Cendant Corp. Litig.,
264 F.3d 201 (3d Cir. 2001)..... 41, 46, 66, 76

In re CIGNA Corp. Sec. Litig.,
No. 02-8088,
2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 51089 (E.D. Pa. July 13, 2007).....42

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.