Peter S. Pearlman
Matthew F. Gately
COHN LIFLAND PEARLMAN
HERRMANN & KNOPF, LLP
Park 80 West Plaza One
250 Pehle Avenue, Suite 401
Saddle Brook, New Jersey 07663
(201) 845-9600
psp@njlawfirm.com
mfg@njlawfirm.com

Liaison Counsel for Direct Purchaser Class

[Additional Counsel on Signature Page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE: DIRECT PURCHASER INSULIN PRICING LITIGATION	Case No. 3:20-cv-3426 (BRM)(LHG)
This Document Relates To:) FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
All Actions) Demand for Jury Trial)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Sum	Summary of the Case1				
II.	Jurisdiction and Venue					
III.	The Parties					
	A.	Plaintiffs	5			
	B.	Defendants	5			
IV.	Factual Background					
	A.	The Prevalence of Diabetes in the U.S	13			
	B.	The Development and Importance of Analog Insulins	13			
	C.	Analog Insulin Brands are Therapeutically Interchangeable	15			
	D.	The Participants in the Distribution and Sale of Pharmaceuticals.	16			
	E.	The Power of the PBMs.	17			
	F.	PBMs Control Drug Formularies	20			
	G.	Federal and State Government Investigations of Insulin Pricing and "Rebates."	26			
V.	Defendants' Kickback Scheme - PBMs Solicit and Receive Kickbacks for Formulary Placement From the Manufacturers That Were Not					
		nent for Services Actually Rendered				
VI.	Defendants' Price-Fixing Scheme					
	A.	Manufacturer Defendants Increased the Insulin Drug Prices in Lockstep.	43			
	B.	In Person Meetings	47			
	C.	The Analog Insulin Markets are Highly Susceptible to Collusion.	50			



VII.	Interstate Trade and Commerce					
VIII.	Antitrust Injury52					
IX.	Fraud, Fraudulent Concealment and Equitable Tolling54					
X.	Class Action Allegations					
XI.	Relief	65				
	- Violation of the Robinson-Patman Act	65				
	Coun	t Two	- Violation of the Sherman Act	67		
	Count Three - Conspiracy to Violate the Sherman Act			70		
	Count Four - Violation of RICO, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c)			72		
	A.	Cond	uct of the RICO Enterprises' Affairs	83		
	B.	B. Defendants' Pattern of Racketeering Activity8				
		1.	Unlawful Bribery Under the Anti-Kickback Act	86		
		2.	Mail and Wire Fraud	88		
	C.		Caused by the Defendants' Bribery, Kickback and Fraud	93		
	Count Five - Violation of RICO, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d)			96		
XII.	Prayer for Relief99					
XIII.	Jury Demand99					



FWK Holdings, LLC ("FWK") and Professional Drug Company, Inc. ("PDC") (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, allege the following based upon personal knowledge as to Plaintiffs, the investigation of counsel, and information and belief. Plaintiffs believe that substantial evidentiary support exists for the allegations set forth herein.

I. Summary of the Case

- 1. Defendants herein are the drug companies Eli Lilly and Company ("Eli Lilly"), Novo Nordisk Inc. ("Novo") and Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC ("Sanofi") (together, the "Manufacturer Defendants"), and pharmacy benefit managers ("PBMs") CVS Health Corporation, CaremarkPCS Health, LLC, Caremark LLC, Caremark Rx LLC, Express Scripts Holding Company, Express Scripts, Inc., Medco Health Solutions, Inc., UnitedHealth Group Inc., United Healthcare Services, Inc., Optum, Inc., OptumRx Holdings, LLC, and OptumRx, Inc. (together, the "PBM Defendants").
- 2. Plaintiffs bring this class action to recover for the injuries caused by Defendants' unlawful practices in connection with the marketing, pricing, sale and distribution of the long-acting analog insulins, Lantus® ("Lantus") and Levemir®

¹ The PBM Defendants and Manufacturer Defendants are jointly referred to herein as "Defendants."



("Levemir") and the rapid-acting analog insulins, NovoLog® ("NovoLog") and Humalog® ("Humalog") that began in 2009 and have continued thereafter.

NovoLog, Humalog, Lantus and Levemir are collectively referred to herein as the "Insulin Drugs."

- 3. First, the PBM Defendants solicited and the Manufacturer Defendants paid bribes and kickbacks not for services rendered, but to induce the PBMs to include the Insulin Drugs on health benefit providers' "formularies" controlled by the PBMs formularies that determine whether and to what extent the nation's health benefit providers pay for their insureds to receive life sustaining insulins in violation of Section 2(c) of the Robinson-Patman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 13(c).
- 4. Second, in order to pay for these kickbacks, Defendants contracted, combined or conspired to fix, maintain and stabilize the price of the Insulin Drugs at supra-competitive levels in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1.
- 5. Third, Defendants operated an enterprise that secured the sale of the Insulin Drugs at artificially inflated prices through a pattern of racketeering activity. Such unlawful conduct included, among other things: publishing artificially increased prices and systematically making false representations through the U.S. mail and interstate wires that the operation of the formulary system (controlled by the PBM Defendants) and the pricing mechanism for the



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

