

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

IN RE: DIRECT PURCHASER
INSULIN PRICING LITIGATION

Case No. 3:20-cv-3426-BRM-LHG

Document Filed Electronically

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

Motion return date: May 3, 2021

**PHARMACY BENEFIT MANAGER DEFENDANTS' REPLY BRIEF
SUPPORTING THEIR RULE 12(b)(6) MOTIONS TO DISMISS THE
FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

(Counsel Listed on Next Page)

Thomas P. Scrivo
O'TOOLE SCRIVO, LLC
14 Village Park Road
Cedar Grove, NJ 07009
T: (973) 239-5700
tscrivo@oslaw.com

Brian D. Boone (*pro hac vice*)
ALSTON & BIRD LLP
101 S. Tyron St., Ste. 4000
Charlotte, NC 28280
T: (704) 444-1000
brian.boone@alston.com

John M. Snyder (*pro hac vice*)
ALSTON & BIRD LLP
950 F. Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20004
T: (202) 239-3300
john.snyder@alston.com

D. Andrew Hatchett (*pro hac vice*)
ALSTON & BIRD LLP
1201 W. Peachtree St. NW, Ste. 4900
Atlanta, GA 30309
T: (404) 881-7000
andrew.hatchett@alston.com

*Attorneys for Defendants OptumRx, Inc., Optum, Inc., OptumRx Holdings, LLC,
United Healthcare Services, Inc., and UnitedHealth Group*

Kevin H. Marino
John D. Tortorella
**MARINO, TORTORELLA &
BOYLE, P.C.**
437 Southern Boulevard
Chatham, New Jersey 07928
T: (973) 824-9300
F: (973) 824-8425
kmarino@khmarino.com
jtortorella@khmarino.com

Enu A. Mainigi (*pro hac vice*)
Daniel M. Dockery (*pro hac vice*)
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
725 Twelfth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
T: (202) 434-5000
F: (202) 434-5029
emainigi@wc.com
ddockery@wc.com

*Attorneys for Defendants CVS Health Corporation, CaremarkPCS Health LLC,
Caremark LLC, and Caremark RX LLC*

Drew Cleary Jordan
**MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS
LLP**
502 Carnegie Center
Princeton, NJ 08540-6289
T: (202) 739-5962
F: (609) 919-6701
drew.jordan@morganlewis.com

Jason R. Scherr (*pro hac vice*)
Patrick A. Harvey (*pro hac vice*)
**MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS
LLP**
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004
T: 202.739.3000
F: 202.739.3001
jr.scherr@morganlewis.com
patrick.harvey@morganlewis.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Express Scripts Holding Company, Express Scripts
Inc., and Medco Health Solutions, Inc.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	vi
INTRODUCTION	1
ARGUMENT	1
I. PLAINTIFFS FAIL TO PLAUSIBLY ALLEGE A SECTION 1 CLAIM AGAINST THE PBMS.	1
A. Plaintiffs have not alleged facts about who agreed with whom and about what.....	2
B. Plaintiffs fail to plead facts plausibly suggesting that the PBMs joined an overarching conspiracy.....	2
1. Allegations of parallel pricing by the Manufacturers do not plausibly suggest an agreement involving the PBMs.....	3
2. Allegations about vertical rebate arrangements do not plausibly suggest an “overarching” price-fixing conspiracy.	4
3. Allegations that the PBMs benefited from higher WAC prices do not plausibly suggest an “overarching” conspiracy.	6
4. The Court’s RICO analysis in the Indirect Purchaser action is inapposite.....	7
C. Plaintiffs have not plausibly alleged an agreement involving the PBMs that would be subject to <i>per se</i> liability.	8
II. THE ROBINSON-PATMAN ACT CLAIM FAILS ON ITS FACE.	10
A. Plaintiffs do not allege that a bribe crossed the buyer-seller line.	10
B. There are no factual allegations plausibly suggesting that PBMs owed a duty of fidelity to their health-insurer clients.	12
C. Plaintiffs do not allege an antitrust injury.....	14

III. THE RICO CLAIMS FAIL THE APPLICABLE PLEADING STANDARDS.15

A. Plaintiffs fail to allege predicate acts of racketeering.....15

B. Plaintiffs fail to allege proximate causation or injury.....18

C. Plaintiffs fail to plausibly allege that each PBM operated and managed a RICO enterprise’s affairs rather than its own affairs.....22

D. Plaintiffs fail to allege the existence of a RICO enterprise distinct from the alleged racketeering.24

CONCLUSION25

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.