Case 1:19-cv-00566-NGG-SJB Document 157-7 Filed 04/20/23 Page 1 of 226 PageID #: 10473

EXHIBIT 16

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ANTHONY OLIVER, TERRY GAYLE
QUINTON, SHAWN O'KEEFE, ANDREW
AMEND, SUSAN BURDETTE, GIANNA
VALDES, DAVID KOSKOWITZ,
ZACHARY DRAPER, NATE THAYER,
MICHAEL THOMAS REID, ALLIE
STEWART, ANGELA CLARK, JOSEPH
REALDINE, RICKY AMARO, ABIGAIL
BAKER, JAMES ROBBINS IV, EMILY
COUNTS, DEBBIE TINGLE, NANCITAYLOR MADDUX, SHERIE
MCCAFFREY, MARILYN BAKER, WYATT
COOPER, ELLEN MAHER, SARAH GRANT
and GARY ACCORD on behalf of themselves
and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AMERICAN EXPRESS COMPANY and AMERICAN EXPRESS TRAVEL RELATED SERVICES COMPANY, INC.,

Defendants.

EXPERT REPORT OF ERIC EMCH, PHD

January 16, 2023

PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION



Table of contents

1. Introduction	1
I.A. Qualifications	1
I.B. Scope of charge	2
I.C. Summary of opinions	2
II. Delevent industry heekground	_
II. Relevant industry background	
II.A.1. General purpose credit and charge cards ("GPCC cards")	
II.A.2. Debit cards	
II.A.3. Other forms of payment	
II.B. GPCC card differentiation	13
II.B.1. Types of cardholdersII.B.2. Rewards	
II.C. GPCC card acceptance and payment processing	18
II.C.1. Open-loop and closed-loop networksII.C.2. Merchant fees	18 20
II.D. Amex pricing to merchants and consumers	27
II.D.1. Amex negotiations with merchants for acceptance have essentially eliminated the Amex merchant discount premium in the United States II.D.2. Amex competes vigorously with other GPCC networks for consumer usage	
II.E. Amex policies at issue in this litigation	
III. Market definition	
III.A. Overview of market definition	
III.B. Dr. Lamb's market definition	
III.C. Implications of the market's two-sidedness	
III.D. Competition from outside the GPCC transactions market constrains GPCC networks	
III.D.1. Dr. Lamb errs in dismissing debit as a meaningful competitive constraint on GPCCIII.D.2. Debit provides a competitive check on GPCC pricing	
III.D.2. Debit provides a competitive check on GPCC pricing III.D.3. Evolution of other forms of payment including electronic alternatives to traditional GPCC and debit cards	
IV. Market power	
IV.A. Dr. Lamb's arguments in support of Amex's market power	
IV.A.1. Dr. Lamb's argument that the fact that Amex merchant discount fees "are not determined by cost" demonstrates antitrust market power is incorrect	
IV.A.2. Dr. Lamb's argument that Amex's imposition of profitable price increases on merchants	00
demonstrates antitrust market power is incorrect	67
IV.A.3. Dr. Lamb's argument that Amex's ability to price discriminate against merchants demonstrates antitrust market power is incorrect	72
IV.A.4. Cardmember loyalty does not create substantial and sustained market power	
IV.B. Indirect evidence is not consistent with Amex holding antitrust market power	
IV.B.1. Amex's shares are inconsistent with an inference of substantial and sustained market power IV.B.2. Amex's	
	94
IV.C.	00
IV.C.1	96
1V. U. 1.	97



IV.C.2.	
	10
/. Dr. Lamb's findings of anticompetitive effects from Amex's NDPs are conceptually and factually flawed	1 [.]
V.A. Dr. Lamb's argument that Amex's NDPs forestall competition	
V.A.1. Dr. Lamb's theory of foreclosure	
V.A.2. Dr. Lamb's examples of foreclosed competition	
V.A.3. Dr. Lamb's predictions of anticompetitive effects from Amex NDPs	
V.B. Dr. Lamb's analysis of steering and its implications are incorrect	1
V.B.1. A framework to assess the costs and benefits to merchants of steering	
V.B.2. Other considerations regarding merchant steering	12
V.C. Dr. Lamb's theory that merchant steering would cause networks to bid down merchant fees is speculative	1:
V.D. Dr. Lamb misinterprets the implications of Discover's lack of success with a low merchant fee business model	1:
V.D.1. During the class period, Amex's NDPs did not preclude the strategy Discover attempted in the 1990s	
V.D.2. Dr. Lamb has not established that Amex's NDPs inhibited Discover's entry and expansion in	12
V.D.3. NDPs may make Discover a stronger competitor, not a weaker one	
V.E. Dr. Lamb misinterprets the implications of Amex's use of NDPs to respond to Visa and Mastercard preference campaigns	13
V.F. The Australian experience does not support Dr. Lamb's theory of anticompetitive harm	13
V.F.1. Surcharging in Australia has been excessive and harmful to consumers	13
VI. Dr. Lamb's claim that issuers would decrease annual fees net of rewards when faced with lower merchant fees is conceptually and factually flawed	1،
VI.A. Dr. Lamb's claim that issuers would decrease annual fees net of rewards when faced with lower merchant fees is inconsistent with established economic theory	14
VI.B. Evidence cited by Dr. Lamb does not support his claim that issuers would decrease annual fees net of rewards when faced with lower merchant fees	14
VI.C. Dr. Lamb's claim that issuers would decrease annual fees net of rewards when faced with lower merchant fees is contradicted by	
	1
VI.D. Dr. Lamb's claim that issuers would decrease annual fees net of rewards when faced with lower merchant fees is contradicted by the empirical evidence	1
VI.D.1. Annual fees net of rewards increased in Australia following regulations that reduced credit card merchant fees	1
VI.D.2. Issuing banks increased debit card fees and reduced rewards in response to lower debit card merchant fees following the Durbin Amendment	
Appendix A. Curriculum Vitae of Eric Emch, PhD	A
Appendix B. Materials relied upon	B
Appendix C. Additional figures	C-2
Appendix D. Regression of two-sided price for VR targets	D
Appendix E. Public sources for cobranded credit cards among Qualifying Merchants	E



List of figures

Figure 1: Purchase volumes and shares for major payment methods in the United States in 2021	5
Figure 2: Purchase volume shares for major payment methods in the United States, 2000–2021	6
Figure 3: Cobranded credit cards among Qualifying Merchants during the class period	10
Figure 4: Market share among PIN debit networks in the United States, 2002–2018	11
Figure 5: Shares of PIN and signature debit purchase volumes in the United States for the top 50 US debit card issuers, 2016–2021	
Figure 6: Extracts of merchant statement (GP_OLVR_000005)	21
Figure 7: Extracts of merchant statement (GP_OLVR_0000496)	23
Figure 8: Acquirer fees by merchant size	25
Figure 9: Visa and Mastercard average GPCC interchange rates and cobrand presence at selected Qualifying Merchants in 2021	26
Figure 10: Amex's US premium over Visa/Mastercard and Discover credit card rates, 2004–2021	28
Figure 11: Comparison of Amex Platinum Card, Chase Sapphire Preferred Card, and CapitalOne Venture 2 Rewards Card	X 33
Figure 12: Amex US net merchant discount rate, cardmember rewards, and two-sided price for merchants targeted by Amex's VR initiative, 2004–2017	70
Figure 13: Discover merchant discount rates for Qualifying Merchants in 2021	75
Figure 14: Share of Amex cardmembers who concentrated their GPCC spend on Amex in a given month	81
Figure 15: Amex corporate net incentive payments and net card fees, 2003–2017	86
Figure 16: Amex's share of US credit card charge volume, 1990–2021	88
Figure 17: US charge volume for GPCC by network (billions), 1990–2021	89
Figure 18: US charge volume shares for major credit card networks, 1990–2021	90
Figure 19: US credit cards in force (millions), 1990–2021	91
Figure 20: Total US charge volume for credit, debit, and prepaid cards (billions), 1990–2021	92
Figure 21: Total charge volume shares for credit, debit, and prepaid cards, 1990–2021	93
Figure 22: Payment card shares, 2021	93
Figure 23: Amex US gross and net discount rates based on P&L data, 2000–2021	98
Figure 24: Amex's US internal discount rate and estimated all-in merchant discount rate	99
Figure 25: Amex US gross merchant discount rates for top retail merchants, 2003–2021	100
Figure 26: Amex US gross merchant discount rates for top Qualifying Merchants, 2003–2021	101
Figure 27: Amex US gross merchant discount rate adjusting for merchant segment mix, 2004–2021	102
Figure 28: Amex US rewards as a fraction of charge volume, 2000–2021	103
Figure 29: Amex US rewards and cardmember services less cardmember fees as a fraction of charge volume, 2000–2021	105
Figure 30: Amex US two-sided price, 2000-2021	106
Figure 31: Amex's share of US credit card charge volume, 1990–2021	109
Figure 32: Acceptance locations by payment network in the United States (millions), 1990–2021	110
Figure 33: Critical walk-away rates for scenarios posited by Dr. Lamb	122



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

