

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
: DERRICK PALMER, KENDIA MESIDOR, :  
: BENITA ROUSE, ALEXANDER ROUSE, :  
: BARBARA CHANDLER, LUIS PELLOT- :  
: CHANDLER, and DEASAHNI BERNARD, :  
: Plaintiffs, : Case No. 1:20-cv-2468-BMC  
: vs. : **Oral Argument Requested**  
: AMAZON.COM, INC., and AMAZON.COM :  
: SERVICES LLC, :  
: Defendants. :  
-----X

**DEFENDANTS' MEMORANDUM OF LAW  
IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO DISMISS**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION .....	1
LEGAL STANDARD.....	3
ARGUMENT.....	3
I.    The Court Should Dismiss Plaintiffs’ Section 200 And Public-Nuisance Claims Because OSHA Has Primary Jurisdiction Over Workplace Safety.....	3
II.   Plaintiffs’ Requests For Injunctive Relief Are Moot And Plaintiffs Lack Standing To Seek Injunctive Relief With Respect To Amazon’s Productivity Policies .....	8
III.  Plaintiffs Fail To State A Section 200 Claim.....	9
A.   The OSH Act Preempts Plaintiffs’ Section 200 Claim.....	10
B.   Plaintiffs Fail To Plausibly Allege That Amazon’s Practices Caused Their Risk Of Exposure To COVID-19 .....	11
IV.  Plaintiffs Fail To State A Public-Nuisance Claim .....	14
A.   Plaintiffs Cannot Bring A Private Action For Public Nuisance .....	14
B.   Plaintiffs Do Not Allege Interference With Any Public Right.....	17
C.   Plaintiffs Do Not Plausibly Allege Actual Or Proximate Cause .....	18
V.   The Court Should Dismiss Employee-Plaintiffs’ Public-Nuisance And Section 200 Claims Because They Are Barred By New York’s Workers’ Compensation Statute .....	19
VI.  The Court Should Dismiss Plaintiffs’ Putative Class Claims For Unpaid Or Untimely Paid Wages Under Section 191 .....	21
A.   Quarantine Benefits And Supplemental Pay Are Not “Wages” Under Section 191.....	22
B.   Plaintiffs Lack Standing To Challenge Hypothetical Future Underpayments .....	24
C.   Plaintiffs Cannot Bring A Section 191 Claim Based On A Purported Statutory Right, As Opposed To A Contractual Right.....	25
CONCLUSION.....	25

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<b>Cases</b>	<b><u>Page(s)</u></b>
<i>532 Madison Ave. Gourmet Foods, Inc. v. Finlandia Ctr., Inc.</i> , 96 N.Y.2d 280 (2001) .....	14, 15, 16, 17
<i>A.S.M. v. Warden, Stewart Cty. Detention Ctr.</i> , No. 7:20-cv-62, 2020 WL 2988307 (M.D. Ga. June 3, 2020) .....	7
<i>Acevedo v. Consol. Edison Co. of N.Y.</i> , 596 N.Y.S.2d 68 (1st App. Div. 1993) .....	20
<i>In re AFL-CIO</i> , No. 20-1158, 2020 WL 3125324 (D.C. Cir. June 11, 2020) .....	5
<i>Andryeyeva v. N.Y. Health Care, Inc.</i> , 33 N.Y.3d 152 (2019) .....	23
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009) .....	3, 14, 19
<i>Ass'n of Flight Attendants-CWA, AFL-CIO v. Huerta</i> , 785 F.3d 710 (D.C. Cir. 2015) .....	7
<i>Atlas Roofing Co. v. OSHRC</i> , 430 U.S. 442 (1977) .....	11
<i>In re Babb</i> , 264 N.Y. 357 (1934) .....	21
<i>Bardere v. Zafir</i> , 477 N.Y.S.2d 131 (1st App. Div. 1984) .....	20
<i>Becker v. Schwartz</i> , 46 N.Y.2d 401 (1978) .....	11
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007) .....	3, 14, 19
<i>Bernhardt v. Pfizer, Inc.</i> , No. 1:00-cv-4042, 2000 WL 1738645 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 22, 2000) .....	6
<i>BHC Nw. Psychiatric Hosp., LLC v. Sec'y of Labor</i> , 951 F.3d 558 (D.C. Cir. 2020) .....	11
<i>Burlew v. Am. Mut. Ins.</i> , 63 N.Y.2d 412 (1984) .....	21

*Burns Jackson Miller Summit & Spitzer v. Lindner*,  
59 N.Y.2d 314 (1983) .....14

*Castillo v. Amjack Leasing Corp.*,  
924 N.Y.S.2d 156 (2d App. Div. 2011).....13

*Chevron Corp. v. Donziger*,  
833 F.3d 74 (2d Cir. 2016).....24

*City of Los Angeles v. Lyons*,  
461 U.S. 95 (1983).....9

*City of Pontiac Policemen’s & Firemen’s Ret. Sys. v. UBS AG*,  
752 F.3d 173 (2d Cir. 2014).....3

*Clapper v. Amnesty Int’l USA*,  
568 U.S. 398 (2013).....25

*Crawford v. Coram Fire Dist.*,  
No. 12-cv-3850, 2015 WL 10044273 (E.D.N.Y. May 4, 2015).....22

*DHS v. MacLean*,  
574 U.S. 383 (2015).....21

*Diamond v. Gen. Motors Corp.*,  
20 Cal. App. 3d 374 (1971) .....18

*Duffy v. Baldwin*,  
124 N.Y.S.3d 110 (3d App. Div. 2020).....15

*Ebaseh-Onofa v. McAllen Hosps., LP*,  
No. 13-14-319-CV, 2015 WL 2452701 (Tex. App. May 21, 2015).....12

*Ellis v. Tribune Television Co.*,  
443 F.3d 71 (2d Cir. 2006).....4, 5

*FCC v. Fox Television Stations, Inc.*,  
567 U.S. 239 (2012).....6

*Fresh Air for the Eastside, Inc. v. Waste Mgmt. of N.Y., LLC*,  
405 F. Supp. 3d 408 (W.D.N.Y. 2019).....14

*Gade v. Nat’l Solid Wastes Mgmt. Ass’n*,  
505 U.S. 88 (1992).....10, 11

*Greenberg v. Sutter*,  
173 Misc. 2d 774 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1997).....21

*Haire v. Bonelli*,  
870 N.Y.S.2d 591 (3d App. Div. 2008).....17

*Hartnett v. N.Y.C. Transit Auth.*,  
86 N.Y.2d 438 (1995).....20

*Hunter v. Planned Bldg. Servs., Inc.*,  
No. 715053/2017, 2018 WL 3392476 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. June 20, 2018).....25

*Hussain v. Pakistan Int’l Airlines Corp.*,  
No. 11-cv-932, 2012 WL 5289541 (E.D.N.Y. Oct. 23, 2012) .....25

*Jacobsen v. N.Y.C. Health and Hosps. Corp.*,  
No. 12-cv-7460, 2013 WL 4565037 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 28, 2013).....11

*Krenger v. Pa. R. Co.*,  
174 F.2d 556 (2d Cir. 1949).....20

*Lalima v. Consol. Edison Co. of N.Y.*,  
58 N.Y.S.3d 66 (2d App. Div. 2017).....21

*In re Lead Paint Litig.*,  
191 N.J. 405 (2007) .....18

*Leo v. Gen. Elec. Co.*,  
538 N.Y.S.2d 844 (2d App. Div. 1989).....14, 16

*Litras v. PVM Int’l Corp.*,  
No. 11-cv-5695, 2013 WL 4118482 (E.D.N.Y. Aug. 15, 2013) .....22

*Mendoza v. Perez*,  
754 F.3d 1002 (D.C. Cir. 2014).....7

*Miranda v. Bomel Constr. Co.*,  
187 Cal. App. 4th 1326 (2010) .....19

*Monaghan v. Roman Catholic Dioceses of Rockville Ctr.*,  
85 N.Y.S.3d 475 (2d App. Div. 2018).....17

*Monsanto Co. v. Geertson Seed Farms*,  
561 U.S. 139 (2010).....24

*Montauk Oil Transp. Corp. v. Tug El Zorro Grande*,  
54 F.3d 111 (2d Cir. 1995).....20

*Myers v. Hertz Corp.*,  
624 F.3d 537 (2d Cir. 2010).....25

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.