

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

AMAZON.COM, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ATTORNEY GENERAL LETITIA JAMES, in  
her official capacity as the Attorney General of  
the State of New York,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:21-cv-767 (BMC)

**Oral Argument Requested**

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF  
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Jason C. Schwartz (*pro hac vice*)  
Lucas C. Townsend (*pro hac vice*)  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
Tel.: (202) 955-8500  
jschwartz@gibsondunn.com  
ltownsend@gibsondunn.com

Mylan L. Denerstein  
Zainab N. Ahmad  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
200 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10166  
Tel.: (212) 351-4000  
mdenerstein@gibsondunn.com  
zahmad@gibsondunn.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Amazon.com, Inc.*

June 18, 2021

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
PRELIMINARY STATEMENT .....	1
BACKGROUND .....	4
LEGAL STANDARD.....	5
ARGUMENT .....	6
I.    The OAG’s Attempt To Regulate Claims Of Retaliation Against Employees Who Protested Working Conditions Is Preempted By The NLRA And Falls Within The Exclusive Jurisdiction Of The NLRB. ....	6
A.    The NLRA Protects And Prohibits The Activity The OAG Purports To Regulate. ....	7
B.    The Controversy The OAG Seeks To Regulate Is Identical To One That Could Have Been Brought (And Was Brought) Before The NLRB.....	9
C.    The Local Interest Exception To NLRA Preemption Is Inapplicable.....	11
II.   The OSH Act Preempts The OAG From Regulating Amazon’s Occupational Safety Measures In Response To COVID-19.....	13
III.  The OAG’s Attempt To Regulate Amazon’s Health-And-Safety Response To COVID-19 Impermissibly Intrudes On OSHA’s Primary Jurisdiction.....	18
IV.  The Court Should Grant A Declaratory Judgment. ....	22
V.   Amazon Is Entitled To Injunctive Relief.....	23
A.    Amazon Has Been Irreparably Harmed By The OAG’s Unlawful Exercise Of Regulatory Authority And Remedies At Law Are Inadequate. ....	23
B.    The Public Interest And Balance Of Hardships Favor Injunctive Relief.....	25
CONCLUSION.....	25

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>In re AFL-CIO</i> , 2020 WL 3125324 (D.C. Cir. June 11, 2020).....	20
<i>In re AFL-CIO</i> , No. 20-1158 (D.C. Cir. May 29, 2020).....	14
<i>AFT v. OSHA</i> , No. 20-73203 (9th Cir. Dec. 31, 2020).....	20
<i>Am. Transit Ins. Co. v. Bilyk</i> , 2021 WL 216673 (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 21, 2021).....	5
<i>Amalgamated Ass'n of St., Elec. Ry. &amp; Motor Coach Emps. of Am. v. Lockridge</i> , 403 U.S. 274 (1971).....	6
<i>Andrewsikas v. Supreme Indus., Inc.</i> , 2021 WL 1090786 (D. Conn. Mar. 22, 2021).....	9, 13
<i>Arcadian Health Plan, Inc. v. Korfman</i> , 2010 WL 5173624 (D. Me. Dec. 14, 2010).....	23
<i>Atlas Roofing Co. v. OSHRC</i> , 430 U.S. 442 (1977).....	17
<i>Batista v. Union of Needleworkers</i> , 2000 WL 1760923 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 30, 2000).....	9
<i>Bergen Reg'l Med. Ctr., L.P. v. Health Prof'ls</i> , 2005 WL 3216549 (D.N.J. Nov. 29, 2005).....	11
<i>Bud Antle, Inc. v. Barbosa</i> , 45 F.3d 1261 (9th Cir. 1994).....	9
<i>Carley v. Gentry</i> , 2021 WL 2276458 (D. Nev. June 3, 2021).....	21
<i>Case v. Ivey</i> , 2021 WL 2210589 (M.D. Ala. June 1, 2021).....	21
<i>Casumpang v. Hawaiian Com. &amp; Sugar Co.</i> , 712 F. App'x 709 (9th Cir. 2018).....	12
<i>Chamber of Commerce of U.S. v. Edmondson</i> , 594 F.3d 742 (10th Cir. 2010).....	23, 25

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<i>Chamber of Commerce of U.S. v. Reich</i> , 74 F.3d 1322 (D.C. Cir. 1996).....	6
<i>Chaulk Servs., Inc. v. Mass. Comm’n Against Discrim.</i> , 70 F.3d 1361 (1st Cir. 1995).....	10, 11
<i>Citineighbors Coal. of Historic Carnegie Hill v. N.Y.C. Landmarks Pres. Comm’n</i> , 2 N.Y.3d 727 (2004).....	21
<i>Consol. Freightways v. NLRB</i> , 892 F.2d 1052 (D.C. Cir. 1989).....	11
<i>Deming Hosp. Corp. v. NLRB</i> , 665 F.3d 196 (D.C. Cir. 2011).....	11
<i>Domnister v. Exclusive Ambulette, Inc.</i> , 2008 WL 2157115 (E.D.N.Y. May 21, 2008).....	7
<i>Donovan v. OSHRC</i> , 713 F.2d 918 (2d Cir. 1983).....	18
<i>eBay Inc. v. MercExchange, L.L.C.</i> , 547 U.S. 388 (2006).....	5
<i>Ellis v. Tribune Television Co.</i> , 443 F.3d 71 (2d Cir. 2006).....	18, 19, 21
<i>Farrell Lines Inc. v. Columbus Cello-Poly Corp.</i> , 32 F. Supp. 2d 118 (S.D.N.Y. 1997).....	22
<i>Gade v. Nat’l Solid Wastes Mgmt. Ass’n</i> , 505 U.S. 88 (1992).....	13, 14, 15, 18
<i>Goya Foods, Inc. v. Tropicana Prods., Inc.</i> , 846 F.2d 848 (2d Cir. 1988).....	18
<i>Gustavia Home, LLC v. Rutty</i> , 2018 WL 2198742 (E.D.N.Y. May 14, 2018).....	5
<i>Healthcare Ass’n of N.Y. State, Inc. v. Pataki</i> , 471 F.3d 87 (2d Cir. 2006).....	6
<i>Kolentus v. Avco Corp.</i> , 798 F.2d 949 (7th Cir. 1986).....	11

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<i>Kroeger v. L3 Techs., Inc.</i> , 2018 WL 1357363 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 15, 2018).....	7
<i>League of Women Voters of U.S. v. Newby</i> , 838 F.3d 1 (D.C. Cir. 2016).....	25
<i>Linn v. United Plant Guard Workers of Am., Local 114</i> , 383 U.S. 53 (1966).....	11
<i>Local 926, Int’l Union of Operating Eng’rs v. Jones</i> , 460 U.S. 669 (1983).....	12
<i>Mayes v. Kaiser Found. Hosps.</i> , 917 F. Supp. 2d 1074 (E.D. Cal. 2013).....	9
<i>Mo. Ins. Coal. v. Huff</i> , 2012 WL 6681688 (E.D. Mo. Dec. 21, 2012) .....	24
<i>Morales v. Trans World Airlines, Inc.</i> , 504 U.S. 374 (1992).....	23
<i>N.Y. State Elec. &amp; Gas Corp. v. Sec’y of Labor</i> , 88 F.3d 98 (2d Cir. 1996).....	14
<i>Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. v. Hudson River-Black River Regulating Dist.</i> , 673 F.3d 84 (2d Cir. 2012).....	22, 23
<i>Nken v. Holder</i> , 556 U.S. 418 (2009).....	25
<i>NLRB v. City Disposal Sys. Inc.</i> , 465 U.S. 822 (1984).....	8
<i>NLRB v. Lloyd A. Fry Roofing Co.</i> , 651 F.2d 442 (6th Cir. 1981) .....	8
<i>Pa. Nurses Ass’n v. Pa. State Educ. Ass’n</i> , 90 F.3d 797 (3d Cir. 1996).....	11, 12, 13
<i>Palmer v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , 498 F. Supp. 3d 359 (E.D.N.Y. 2020) .....	4, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21
<i>Platt v. Jack Cooper Transp., Co.</i> , 959 F.2d 91 (8th Cir. 1992) .....	9, 11, 12
<i>Reuters Ltd. v. United Press Int’l</i> , 903 F.2d 904 (2d Cir. 1990).....	24

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.