

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

AMAZON.COM, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ATTORNEY GENERAL LETITIA JAMES, in
her official capacity as the Attorney General of
the State of New York,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:21-cv-767 (BMC)

Oral Argument Requested

**PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS AMENDED COMPLAINT OR,
IN THE ALTERNATIVE, STAY PROCEEDINGS**

Jason C. Schwartz (*pro hac vice*)
Lucas C. Townsend (*pro hac vice*)
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel.: (202) 955-8500
jschwartz@gibsondunn.com
ltownsend@gibsondunn.com

Mylan L. Denerstein
Zainab N. Ahmad
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166
Tel.: (212) 351-4000
mdenerstein@gibsondunn.com
zahmad@gibsondunn.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Amazon.com, Inc.

July 9, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	1
BACKGROUND	3
I. Amazon’s Responses To COVID-19 Have Been Industry-Leading.	3
II. The OAG Began An Unwarranted Investigation Into Federally Regulated Matters.	4
III. When The OAG Made Overreaching Demands, Amazon Filed This Action To Protect Its Federal Rights.	5
LEGAL STANDARD	5
ARGUMENT	6
I. This Court Has Subject-Matter Jurisdiction.	6
II. Abstention Doctrines Do Not Warrant Dismissing Or Staying Amazon’s Claims.	7
A. <i>Younger</i> Abstention Is Inappropriate.	8
B. <i>Wilton</i> Abstention Is Inappropriate.	12
III. Amazon Has Stated Claims For Relief.	16
A. Amazon Adequately Alleges That The NLRA Preempts The OAG’s Attempts To Regulate Claims Of Retaliation Against Employees Who Protested Working Conditions.	16
B. Amazon Adequately Alleges That The OSH Act Preempts The OAG’s Attempts To Regulate COVID-19 Workplace Safety.	20
C. Amazon Adequately Alleges That The OAG’s Attempts To Regulate COVID-19 Workplace Safety Are Under OSHA’s Primary Jurisdiction.	23
CONCLUSION.....	25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
Cases	
<i>ACE Am. Ins. Co. v. Bank of the Ozarks</i> , 2014 WL 4953566 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 30, 2014).....	15
<i>Amalgamated Ass’n of St., Elec. Ry. & Motor Coach Emps. of Am. v. Lockridge</i> , 403 U.S. 274 (1971).....	20
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	6
<i>Atlas Roofing Co. v. OSHRC</i> , 430 U.S. 442 (1977).....	23
<i>Belknap, Inc. v. Hale</i> , 463 U.S. 491 (1983).....	18
<i>Bridges v. Kelly</i> , 84 F.3d 470 (D.C. Cir. 1996).....	11
<i>Brillhart v. Excess Ins. Co.</i> , 316 U.S. 491 (1942).....	13
<i>Bud Antle, Inc. v. Barbosa</i> , 45 F.3d 1261 (9th Cir. 1994).....	9
<i>Cedar Rapids Cellular Tel., L.P. v. Miller</i> , 280 F.3d 874 (8th Cir. 2002).....	13
<i>Chaulk Servs., Inc. v. Mass. Comm’n Against Discrimination</i> , 70 F.3d 1361 (1st Cir. 1995).....	8, 9, 10, 17
<i>Cullen v. Fliegner</i> , 18 F.3d 96 (2d Cir. 1994).....	8, 10, 11
<i>Diamond “D” Constr. Corp. v. McGowan</i> , 282 F.3d 191 (2d Cir. 2002).....	12
<i>Dittmer v. Cty. of Suffolk</i> , 146 F.3d 113 (2d Cir. 1998).....	13, 14
<i>Domnister v. Exclusive Ambulette, Inc.</i> , 2008 WL 2157115 (E.D.N.Y. May 21, 2008).....	17
<i>Dow Jones & Co. v. Harrods Ltd.</i> , 346 F.3d 357 (2d Cir. 2003).....	13, 14

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<i>Ellis v. Tribune Television Co.</i> , 443 F.3d 71 (2d Cir. 2006).....	23, 24
<i>Env't Encapsulating Corp. v. City of N.Y.</i> , 855 F.2d 48 (2d Cir. 1988).....	20
<i>Farmer v. United Brotherhood of Carpenters & Joiners of Am., Local 25</i> , 430 U.S. 290 (1977).....	19
<i>Fleet Bank National Ass'n v. Burke</i> , 160 F.3d 883 (2d Cir. 1998).....	6, 7
<i>Fountain v. Karim</i> , 838 F.3d 129 (2d Cir. 2016).....	6
<i>Gade v. Nat'l Solid Wastes Mgmt. Ass'n</i> , 505 U.S. 88 (1992).....	10, 21, 22, 23
<i>Gasperino v. Larsen Ford, Inc.</i> , 426 F.2d 1151 (2d Cir. 1970).....	25
<i>Gubitosi v. Kapica</i> , 895 F. Supp. 58 (S.D.N.Y. 1995)	12
<i>Healthcare Ass'n of N.Y. State, Inc. v. Pataki</i> , 471 F.3d 87 (2d Cir. 2006).....	16, 18
<i>HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. N.Y. City Comm'n on Human Rights</i> , 673 F. Supp. 2d 210 (S.D.N.Y. 2009).....	8, 10
<i>K.D. Hercules, Inc. v. Laborers Local 78 of Laborers' Int'l Union of N. Am.</i> , 2021 WL 1614369 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 26, 2021).....	20
<i>Kanciper v. Suffolk Cty. Soc'y for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, Inc.</i> , 722 F.3d 88 (2d Cir. 2013).....	13
<i>Kolentus v. Avco Corp.</i> , 798 F.2d 949 (7th Cir. 1986)	18
<i>Linn v. United Plant Guard Workers of America, Local 114</i> , 383 U.S. 53 (1966).....	18, 19
<i>Local 926, Int'l Union of Operating Eng'rs v. Jones</i> , 460 U.S. 669 (1983).....	19
<i>Moses H. Cone Mem'l Hosp. v. Mercury Constr. Corp.</i> , 460 U.S. 1 (1983).....	2, 8

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<i>New York v. Solvent Chemical Co.</i> , 664 F.3d 22 (2d Cir. 2011).....	14, 15
<i>Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. v. Hudson-River Black River Regul. Dist.</i> , 673 F.3d 84 (2d Cir. 2012).....	13, 14, 15, 16
<i>NLRB v. Tamara Foods, Inc.</i> , 692 F.2d 1171 (8th Cir. 1982)	17
<i>Pa. Nurses Ass'n v. Pa. State Educ. Ass'n</i> , 90 F.3d 797 (3d Cir. 1996).....	18, 19, 20
<i>Paige v. Henry J. Kaiser Co.</i> , 826 F.2d 857 (9th Cir. 1987)	20
<i>Palmer v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , 498 F. Supp. 3d 359 (E.D.N.Y. 2020)	3, 10, 21, 23, 24, 25
<i>In re Pan Am. Corp.</i> , 950 F.2d 839 (2d Cir. 1991).....	9
<i>Pia v. URS Energy & Construction, Inc.</i> , 227 F. Supp. 3d 999 (S.D. Iowa 2017)	19, 20
<i>Rural Cmty. Workers All. v. Smithfield Foods, Inc.</i> , 459 F. Supp. 3d 1228 (W.D. Mo. 2020)	24
<i>San Diego Bldg. Trades Council v. Garmon</i> , 359 U.S. 236 (1959).....	2, 9, 10, 18, 19, 20
<i>Sears, Roebuck & Co. v. San Diego Cty. Dist. Council of Carpenters</i> , 436 U.S. 180 (1978).....	20
<i>Shaw v. Delta Air Lines, Inc.</i> , 463 U.S. 85 (1983).....	1, 6
<i>Socony Mobil Oil Co. v. NLRB</i> , 357 F.2d 662 (2d Cir. 1966).....	17
<i>Sprint Commc'ns, Inc. v. Jacobs</i> , 571 U.S. 69 (2013).....	7, 8
<i>Surgicore of Jersey City v. Anthem Life & Disability Ins. Co.</i> , 2020 WL 5752227 (E.D.N.Y. Sept. 25, 2020)	8, 9
<i>Vill. Green at Sayville, LLC v. Town of Islip</i> , 2019 WL 4737054 (E.D.N.Y. Sept. 27, 2019)	14

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.