

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISCTRICK OF NEW YORK

X

FRIENDS NYC, EMMA KADAR-PENNER and
MARY MEYER,

Plaintiffs,

CIVIL COMPLAINT

Case No.: 21 civ 5920

-against-

Jury Trial Demanded

PAYPAL HOLDINGS INC.,

Defendant.

X

Plaintiffs, FRIENDS NYC, EMMA KADAR-PENNER and MARY
MEYER, by their attorneys, GOUCHEV LAW, as and for their Verified
Complaint respectfully allege as follows:

PARTIES AND VENUE

1. Plaintiff, FRIENDS NYC, is a domestic organization, with a principal place of business located at 56 Bogart Street, Brooklyn, NY 11206. Plaintiffs, EMMA KADAR-PENNER and MARY MEYER, are the owners of FRIENDS NYC. Hereinafter, FRIENDS NYC, EMMA KADAR-PENNER and MARY MEYER, are collectively referred to as "Plaintiffs."

2. Defendant, PAYPAL HOLDINGS INC. (PAYPAL), is a foreign business, doing business in San Jose, California, hereinafter “Defendant.”
3. Venue is proper and based on Plaintiffs principal place of business, which is located in Brooklyn, NY, within the Eastern District of New York.

JURISDICTION

4. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter based on a federal question pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1331, et seq.
5. Specifically, the 2018 Hemp Farm Act (the “Act”) provides:

"[n]o State ... shall prohibit the transportation or shipment of hemp or hemp products produced in accordance with subtitle G of the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 ... through the State."
Pub. L. 115-334, § 10114 (codified at 7 U.S.C. § 1639 note).
6. Additionally, the Act removed hemp, defined as cannabis (*Cannabis sativa* L.) and derivatives of cannabis with extremely low concentrations of the psychoactive compound delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) (no more than 0.3 percent THC on a dry weight basis), from the definition of marijuana in the Controlled Substances Act (CSA).
7. Plaintiffs request that this court hear whether the Defendant violated the Act based on an alleged violation of its Acceptable Use Policy based on deeming Plaintiffs to have been engaged in the sale of narcotics for products that contained less than 0.3 percent THC.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

8. Plaintiffs opened an account with Defendant on or about December 2012.

9. The following email addresses are linked to Plaintiffs account and bank accounts with Defendant:

Emma Kadar Penner emmakpenner@gmail.com, personal
Mary Meyer Clothing mary@marymeyerclothing.com, business
Mary Meyer hello@friendsnyc.com, business
Mary Meyer friendsnycpayments@gmail.com, business
Mary Meyer marymeyershops@gmail.com, personal

10. Plaintiffs sold various goods using Defendant's platform without incident for 8 years.

11. Plaintiff's goods included home, gift and clothing as merchandise.

12. During 2018, Plaintiffs expanded their product line into certain CBD oil products containing less than 3% THC, while most products contained 0% (CBD Products).

13. Plaintiffs used Defendant as a third party vendor to collect payment for the sale of these CBD Products.

14. On December 2, 2020, Plaintiffs received a notice from Defendant that both their business and personal accounts were locked, that Plaintiffs were permanently barred from transacting any business sales with Defendant and

furthermore, that a hold was placed on approximately \$ 9,000.00 in total representing various of Plaintiffs funds (“Plaintiff’s Funds”). Exhibit “A”

15.Pursuant to the Defendant’s User Agreement (“User Agreement”),

Defendant informed Plaintiffs that it would be holding the Plaintiffs Funds for up to 180 days pending an investigation.

16.Plaintiffs made numerous attempts to resolve this matter with the Defendant but were given no information as to the cause for a 6 month period.

17.Defendant responded stating that it would only release information about Plaintiffs PayPal accounts if they received a legal subpoena. Exhibit B.

18.Plaintiffs attempted to resolve this with Defendant over the course of the 180 days, with no avail.

19.Defendant never contacted Plaintiffs regarding the investigation, as such Plaintiffs were never afforded an opportunity to evaluate the cause of the suspension or provide any facts to dispute the same.

20.On May 20, 2021, Plaintiffs received notice from Defendant that \$3, 958.01 was withdrawn from their account as “damages caused by Acceptable Use Policy violation.” This same notice provides a link to dispute this withdrawal and when followed, sets forth that there is no recourse available for the claim. See Exhibit C.

21.. The only information Plaintiffs were able to ascertain during this 6 month period from Defendant was a vague allegation that Plaintiffs violated Defendant's "Acceptable Use Policy."

22. During this time, Defendant withheld and converted these funds of Plaintiffs, without notice and later revealed that it was based on a violation of Defendant's Acceptable Use Policy which is repugnant and violates the Act.

23. A review of Defendant's Acceptable Use Policy sets forth four categories relating to Prohibited activities.

24. Plaintiffs reviewed the same due to Defendant's failure to set forth any cause based on the list and were unable to determine the cause since all activities listed were outside the scope of their business model and products.

25. On June 11, 2021, Defendant sent a message setting forth a reason for cutting off the business relationship citing sale of narcotics resulting in loss of funds and/or damages. In relevant part the email provided:

"After a review of your account activity, we've determined that you're in violation of PayPal's Acceptable Use Policy. Specifically, the sale of narcotics, steroids, drug paraphernalia, certain controlled substances, or other products that present a risk to consumer safety. As a result, your account has been permanently limited and you won't be able to conduct any further business using PayPal. This is permitted under the PayPal User Agreement sections Restricted Activities and Actions We May Take."

Exhibit D

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.