

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X
PAMELA MAHONEY;
MICHAEL MAHONEY;
LISA SOLOMON; and
MITCH SOLOMON,

Plaintiffs,

-vs-

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE
INTERIOR; BUREAU OF OCEAN
ENERGY MANAGEMENT; U.S.
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY; and
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS,

Defendants,

and

SOUTH FORK WIND, LLC,

Defendant-Intervenor.
----- X

Case No. 2:22-cv-01305-FB-ST

**PLAINTIFFS' COMBINED OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO DISMISS AND DEFENDANT-INTERVENOR SOUTH
FORK WIND'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS**

Dated: October 26, 2022

HICKS THOMAS LLP

/s/ Eric Grant

Eric Grant (admitted *pro hac vice*)

grant@hicks-thomas.com

John B. Thomas (admitted *pro hac vice*)

jthomas@hicks-thomas.com

Crystal V. Venning (New York Bar No. 5294681)

cvenning@hicks-thomas.com

Justin R. Braga (admitted *pro hac vice*)

jbraga@hicks-thomas.com

700 Louisiana Street, Suite 2300

Houston, Texas 77002

Telephone: (713) 547-9100

Facsimile: (713) 547-9150

Counsel for Plaintiffs

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTRODUCTION	1
ARGUMENT	2
I. Plaintiffs have Article III standing.....	2
A. Plaintiffs have sufficiently alleged injury-in-fact	3
1. Plaintiffs allege a legally sufficient “concrete interest,” which Defendants wholly ignore	3
2. If the Court even reaches the issue, it should reject Defendants’ attacks on Plaintiffs’ <i>additional</i> allegations of contamination-related injury.....	6
B. The challenged agency actions caused Plaintiffs’ injury	9
C. Plaintiffs’ injury is redressable by this Court	13
II. Plaintiffs state a claim under the Clean Water Act and the APA	17
III. Plaintiffs’ claim for relief under OCSLA and the APA is not subject to dismissal.....	20
A. OCSLA’s pre-suit notice provision does not apply here	21
B. Plaintiffs state a claim under OCSLA and the APA.....	22
C. Plaintiffs are within OCSLA’s zone of interests.....	23
CONCLUSION.....	24

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Allco Renewable Energy Ltd. v. Haaland</i> , No. 1:22-cv-10921 (D. Mass Aug. 10, 2021)	22
<i>Airport Neighbors Alliance Inc. v. United States</i> , 90 F.3d 426 (10th Cir. 1996)	16
<i>Amerada Hess Corp. v. Department of Interior</i> , 170 F.3d 1032 (10th Cir. 1999)	21–22
<i>Bank of New York v. First Millennium, Inc.</i> , 607 F.3d 905 (2d Cir. 2010).....	6
<i>Bank v. GoHealth, LLC</i> , 2021 WL 1884671 (E.D.N.Y. May 11, 2021), <i>aff'd</i> , 2022 WL 1132503 (2d Cir. Apr. 18, 2022).....	10
<i>Barry’s Cut Rate Stores Inc. v. Visa, Inc.</i> , 2019 WL 7584728 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 20, 2019).....	14
<i>Biediger v. Quinnipiac University</i> , 691 F.3d 85 (2d Cir. 2012).....	7
<i>Center for Biological Diversity v. Export-Import Bank of the United States</i> , 894 F.3d 1005 (9th Cir. 2018)	13
<i>Christopher v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.</i> , 567 U.S. 142 (2012).....	20
<i>Connecticut Fund for the Environment, Inc. v. U.S. General Services Administration</i> , 285 F. Supp. 3d 525 (E.D.N.Y. 2018)	3, 5
<i>Cunningham v. Offshore Specialty Fabricators, Inc.</i> , 2010 WL 11627806 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 17, 2010)	22
<i>Dean v. Town of Hempstead</i> , 527 F.Supp.3d 347 (E.D.N.Y. 2021)	6–7, 10, 14
<i>DeSuze v. Carson</i> , 442 F. Supp. 3d 528 (E.D.N.Y. 2020), <i>aff'd</i> , 990 F.3d 264 (2d Cir. 2021)	13
<i>Duke Energy Field Services Assets, L.L.C. v. FERC</i> , 150 F. Supp. 2d 150 (D.D.C. 2001).....	22

<i>Fisheries Survival Fund v. Haaland</i> , 858 Fed. Appx. 371 (D.C. Cir. 2021)	21
<i>Friends of Hamilton Grange v. Salazar</i> , 2009 WL 650262 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 12, 2009)	17
<i>Fund for Animals v. Babbitt</i> , 89 F.3d 128 (2d Cir. 1996).....	17
<i>Hillsdale Environmental Loss Prevention, Inc. v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</i> , 702 F.3d 1156 (10th Cir. 2012)	8
<i>Hornbeck Offshore Services, L.L.C. v. Salazar</i> , 696 F. Supp. 2d 627 (E.D. La. 2010).....	22
<i>In re Commodity Exchange, Inc.</i> , 213 F. Supp. 3d 631 (S.D.N.Y. 2016).....	6
<i>Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife</i> , 504 U.S. 555 (1992).....	3
<i>National Audubon Society v. Hoffman</i> , 132 F.3d 7 (2d Cir. 1997)	8
<i>National Parks Conservation Ass’n v. Semonite</i> , 925 F.3d 500 (D.C. Cir. 2019).....	16
<i>New York v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</i> , 896 F. Supp. 2d 180 (E.D.N.Y. 2012)	3
<i>New York v. U.S. Department of Homeland Security</i> , 969 F.3d 42 (2d Cir. 2020), <i>cert. dismissed</i> , 141 S. Ct. 1292 (2021)	24
<i>NRDC v. U.S. EPA</i> , 658 F.3d 200 (2d Cir. 2011).....	19
<i>NRDC, Inc. v. FAA</i> , 564 F.3d 549 (2d Cir. 2009).....	4
<i>NRDC, Inc. v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</i> , 457 F. Supp. 2d 198 (S.D.N.Y. 2006).....	8
<i>Osborn v. Visa Inc.</i> , 797 F.3d 1057 (D.C. Cir. 2015).....	6
<i>OXY USA Inc v. Babbitt</i> , 122 F.3d 251 (5th Cir. 1997)	21–22

Save Our Sound OBX, Inc. v. North Carolina Department of Transportation,
914 F.3d 213 (4th Cir. 2019)18

Sierra Club v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers,
803 F.3d 31 (D.C. Cir. 2015).....16

Standing Rock Sioux Tribe v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers,
985 F.3d 1032 (D.C. Cir. 2021),
cert. denied, 142 S. Ct. 1187 (2022).....16

Summers v. Earth Island Institute,
555 U.S. 488 (2009).....3–4

United States v. Grundhoefer,
916 F.2d 788 (2d Cir. 1990).....23

Vengalattore v. Cornell University,
36 F.4th 87 (2d Cir. 2022)2

Warth v. Seldin,
422 U.S. 490 (1975).....6

Statutes

Administrative Procedure Act,
5 U.S.C. § 706.....15, 20–21

Clean Water Act,
33 U.S.C. § 1344.....15, 17

National Environmental Policy Act,
42 U.S.C. § 4332.....16

Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act,
43 U.S.C. § 1337.....22–24

43 U.S.C. § 1349.....20–22

Regulations

33 C.F.R. § 320.418

33 C.F.R. Part 325, Appendix B, § 719

Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines,
40 C.F.R. § 230.1017–18

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.