

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

BETHPAGE WATER DISTRICT,

Plaintiff,

**-against-**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY,  
NORTHROP GRUMMAN SYSTEMS  
CORPORATION, and NORTHROP  
GRUMMAN CORPORATION,

Defendants.

**Complaint for a Civil Case**

Case No. 22-cv-2050

### **Nature of the Action**

1. This is an action brought for claims arising under and relating to Sites (defined below) and contaminants in groundwater derived, *inter alia*, from the Sites, including claims pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§9601-9675 (“CERCLA”), the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. § 6901 et seq (“RCRA”), and New York common law. Plaintiff Bethpage Water District (“BWD”) seeks recovery against defendants United States of America and the United States Department of the Navy (“Navy”) and Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation and Northrop Grumman Corporation (collectively referred herein as “Northrop Grumman”), in their capacities as prior owners and/or operators, of response costs incurred and to be incurred in connection with the disposal and release of hazardous substance(s) at or from the former Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant and the former Northrop Grumman Bethpage Facility, located on approximately 605 acres in Bethpage, New York (hereinafter collectively referred to as the “Sites”). Hazardous substance(s) released and disposed of at the Sites during the time the defendants owned and/or operated the Sites threaten public water supply wells owned and operated by Plaintiff.

### **Jurisdiction and Venue**

2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607(a) and 9613(b) and 42 U.S.C. § 6972(a). The court has supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) over common law claims against Northrop Grumman .

3. The Court has authority to issue a declaratory judgment concerning the rights and liabilities of the parties pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 9613(b).

4. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 and 42 U.S.C. § 9613(b) because the Sites are located in this district and the disposal and release of the hazardous substances occurred in this district. In addition, the defendants conduct and/or have conducted business in this district.

### **The Parties**

5. The plaintiff is a “person,” as that term is defined in Section 101(21) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(21), that has incurred and continues to incur necessary costs of “response,” as defined in Section 101(25) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(25) and is a “person,” as that term is defined in RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6903(15) .

6. Plaintiff BWD is a municipal corporation located at 25 Adams Ave, Bethpage, New York, that provides potable water to customers located within its district.

7. Defendants United States of America, and the Navy, which is a department of the United States, previously owned and/or operated the Sites.

8. Defendant Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 2980 Fairview Park Drive, in Falls Church, Virginia; Defendant Northrop Grumman Corporation is the corporate parent of Defendant Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation. Northrop Grumman previously owned and/or operated the Sites.

9. Defendants are a “person” as that term is defined in Section 101(21) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(21) and Northrop Grumman is a “person” as that term is defined in RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6903(13).

### **Factual Background and Allegations**

10. BWD owns and operates public water supply wells and associated facilities and equipment, including BWD Plant Nos 4, 5, and 6.

11. From approximately 1933 to 1998, the Navy and Northrop Grumman owned and/or operated the Sites and certain volatile organic compounds were disposed of and/or released at the Sites during that time.

12. Some of the volatile organic compounds released at the Sites are presently characterized as “hazardous substances” within the meaning of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14), including trichloroethylene (commonly referred to as TCE) and its breakdown products, 1,4-dioxane and 1,1,1-trichloroethane (commonly referred to as TCA).

13. There were other users of TCE, TCA and/or 1,4-dioxane upgradient from BWD's wells. Notably, 1,4-dioxane was used, including in Nassau County, in industrial processes, including at facilities other than the Former Grumman Site and NWIRP Bethpage, and was also a component of certain consumer products

14. Without appropriate treatment and/or replacement, the volatile organic compounds released at and migrating from the Sites have and will continue to contaminate BWD's public supply wells at Plants 4, 5, and 6.

15. In response to defendants' release of hazardous substances at the Sites, plaintiff has incurred, and will continue to incur, treatment, monitoring and assessment costs in an effort to protect its water supply, and will incur additional costs to design, construct, install and maintain treatment facilities adequate to address hazardous substances that emanate from the Sites.

**FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
**(CERCLA RESPONSE COST)**

16. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained herein.

17. Defendants were, at the time when hazardous substances were disposed of and/or released at the Sites, the “owners” and/or “operators” of the Sites within the meaning of Sections 101(20)(A) and 107(a)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601(20)(A), 9607(a)(2).

18. The Sites are a “facility” or “facilities” within the meaning of Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(9).

19. The acts and/or omissions of defendants with regard to the volatile organic compounds used at the Sites constituted a “release” and “disposal” of “hazardous substances” at or from the Sites within the meaning of Sections 101(14) and (22) and 107(a)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601(14) and (22), 9607(a)(2).

20. The costs incurred by plaintiff in connection with the defendants’ disposal and/or release of hazardous substances at and from the Sites were necessary and reasonable and incurred in a manner consistent with the federal National Contingency Plan.

21. The defendants are strictly liable, on a joint and several basis, as owners and/or operators of the Sites, under Section 107(a)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607(a)(2), for all costs incurred and to be incurred by plaintiff in response to the disposal and/or release of hazardous substances at and from the Sites.

**SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
**(RCRA; 42 U.S.C. § 6792(a)(1)(B) AS TO NORTHROP GRUMMAN)**

22. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 21 above.

23. Northrop Grumman is subject to a RCRA citizen enforcement action for its contributions to the past or present handling, storage, treatment, transport, and/or disposal of solid and/or hazardous wastes at the Sites, including VOCs and 1,4-dioxane.

24. Northrop Grumman’s contribution to the past and/or present handling, storage, treatment, transport, and/or disposal of VOCs and/or 1,4-dioxane at the Sites may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the environment.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.