UNITED STATES DIST FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTR	TRICT COURT ICT OF NEW VORKE STANTON
	)
VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC.,	AP AV ATA
COMEDY PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION, INC.,	<b>07</b> CV <b>2103</b>
PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION	) Civil Action No
LLC,	) COMPLAINT FOR
	) DECLARATORY AND
Plaintiffs,	) INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
v.	AND DAMAGES
YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE INC.,	MAR 1 3 2007
Defendants.	U.S.D.C. S.D. N.Y. CASHIERS

Plaintiffs Viacom International Inc., Comedy Partners, Country Music Television, Inc., Paramount Pictures Corporation, and Black Entertainment Television LLC (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), by and for their Complaint against Defendants YouTube, Inc. and YouTube, LLC (collectively, "YouTube"), and Google Inc. ("Google") (all collectively, "Defendants"), aver as follows:

## **INTRODUCTION**

1. Over the past decade, the emergence of broadband networks, Internet protocol and inexpensive wireless networks has revolutionized the way Americans inform and entertain themselves. Millions have seized the opportunities digital technology provides to obtain creative works and to express themselves creatively. Entrepreneurs have made

Μ

#### Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 1 Filed 03/13/07 Page 2 of 27

fortunes providing the networks, the tools and the creative works that have fueled this revolution. But these same innovations have also been misused to fuel an explosion of copyright infringement by exploiting the inexpensive duplication and distribution made possible by digital technology. Some entities, rather than taking the lawful path of building businesses that respect intellectual property rights on the Internet, have sought their fortunes by brazenly exploiting the infringing potential of digital technology.

2. YouTube is one such entity. YouTube has harnessed technology to willfully infringe copyrights on a huge scale, depriving writers, composers and performers of the rewards they are owed for effort and innovation, reducing the incentives of America's creative industries, and profiting from the illegal conduct of others as well. Using the leverage of the Internet, YouTube appropriates the value of creative content on a massive scale for YouTube's benefit without payment or license. YouTube's brazen disregard of the intellectual property laws fundamentally threatens not just Plaintiffs, but the economic underpinnings of one of the most important sectors of the United States economy.

3. YouTube's website purports to be a forum for users to share their own original "user generated" video content. In reality, however, a vast amount of that content consists of infringing copies of Plaintiffs' copyrighted works, including such popular (and obviously copyrighted) television programming and motion pictures as "SpongeBob SquarePants," "The Daily Show with Jon Stewart," "The Colbert Report," "South Park," "Ren & Stimpy," "MTV Unplugged," "An Inconvenient Truth," "Mean Girls," and many others. Unauthorized copies of these and other copyrighted works are posted daily on YouTube and each is viewed tens of thousands of times. As Dow Jones reported, "[i]t's no secret that millions of Internet users every day watch copyright-infringing video clips on

#### Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 1 Filed 03/13/07 Page 3 of 27

YouTube." Market Watch by Dow Jones, October 20, 2006. In fact, Plaintiffs have identified more than 150,000 unauthorized clips of their copyrighted programming on YouTube that had been viewed an astounding 1.5 billion times. And that is only a small fraction of the content on YouTube that infringes Plaintiffs' copyrights, because as described below, YouTube prevents copyright owners from finding on the YouTube site all of the infringing works from which YouTube profits.

4. Defendants actively engage in, promote and induce this infringement. YouTube itself publicly performs the infringing videos on the YouTube site and other websites. Thus, YouTube does not simply enable massive infringement by its users. It is YouTube that knowingly reproduces and publicly performs the copyrighted works uploaded to its site.

5. Defendants know and intend that a substantial amount of the content on the YouTube site consists of unlicensed infringing copies of copyrighted works and have done little or nothing to prevent this massive infringement. To the contrary, the availability on the YouTube site of a vast library of the copyrighted works of Plaintiffs and others is the cornerstone of Defendants' business plan. YouTube deliberately built up a library of infringing works to draw traffic to the YouTube site, enabling it to gain a commanding market share, earn significant revenues, and increase its enterprise value.

6. YouTube has deliberately chosen not to take reasonable precautions to deter the rampant infringement on its site. Because YouTube directly profits from the availability of popular infringing works on its site, it has decided to shift the burden entirely onto copyright owners to monitor the YouTube site on a daily or hourly basis to detect infringing videos and send notices to YouTube demanding that it "take down" the infringing works. In

### Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 1 Filed 03/13/07 Page 4 of 27

the meantime, YouTube profits handsomely from the presence of the infringing works on its site. And even after it receives a notice from a copyright owner, in many instances the very same infringing video remains on YouTube because it was uploaded by at least one other user, or appears on YouTube again within hours of its removal. YouTube has deliberately chosen this approach because it allows YouTube to profit from infringement while leaving copyright owners insufficient means to prevent it.

7. Moreover, YouTube has deliberately withheld the application of available copyright protection measures in order to coerce rights holders to grant it licenses on favorable terms. YouTube's chief executive and cofounder Chad Hurley was quoted in the New York Times on February 3, 2007, as saying that YouTube has agreed to use filtering technology "to identify and possibly remove copyrighted material," but only after YouTube obtains a license from the copyright owner. Geraldine Fabrikant & Saul Hansell, *Viacom Tells YouTube: Hands Off*, N.Y. Times, Feb. 3, 2007, at C1. Those who refuse to be coerced are subjected to continuing infringement. *Id.*; *see also* Saul Hansell, *A Bet That Media Companies Will Want to Share Ad Revenue*, N.Y. Times, Sept. 30, 2006, at C1.

8. YouTube has also implemented features that prevent copyright owners from finding infringing videos by searching the YouTube site. YouTube thereby hinders Plaintiffs' attempts to locate infringing videos to protect their rights. At the same time, YouTube allows its users to make the hidden videos available to others through other YouTube features like the "embed," "share," and "friends" functions. In this way, YouTube continues to profit from the infringement, while hindering Plaintiffs from preventing it.

9. Defendant Google recently purchased YouTube for \$1.65 billion, generating extraordinary riches for YouTube's founders and investors. In recognition of the undeniable

#### Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 1 Filed 03/13/07 Page 5 of 27

reality of massive infringement on the YouTube site, Google has reportedly issued substantial equity and entered into expensive licenses with certain providers of copyrighted content.

10. Defendants' infringement has harmed and continues to harm the interests of authors, songwriters, directors, producers, performers, and many other creators. If left unchecked, rampant infringement will gravely undermine Plaintiffs and other companies that generate creative works, and will threaten the livelihoods of those who work in and depend upon these companies. Plaintiffs therefore have no choice but to seek immediate redress. Plaintiffs seek a declaration that Defendants' conduct willfully infringes Plaintiffs' copyrights, a permanent injunction requiring Defendants to employ reasonable methodologies to prevent or limit infringement of Plaintiffs' copyrights, and statutory damages for Defendants' past and present willful infringement, or actual damages plus profits, of at least one billion dollars.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. This is a civil action seeking damages and injunctive relief for copyright infringement under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq*.

This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over all claims pursuant to
28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

13. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants. Google does continuous and systematic business in New York and this District. It maintains an office and employs personnel in New York and this District, and is thus physically present in the state. *See* N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 301. On information and belief, YouTube also does continuous and systematic business in New York and in this District. *See id.* All Defendants have also

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.