Case 1:14-cv-00568-LAP Document 67 Filed 03/31/15 Page 1 of 56

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
	X	
	:	
DAVID ADJMI,	:	14 Civ. 568 (LAP)
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	OPINION & ORDER
v.	:	a war se waard waard waar ah
	:	
DLT ENTERTAINMENT LTD.,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	FLICTRONICALLY FILMEN
	:	
	X	ALL AND AL
LORETTA A. PRESKA, Chief United	States	District Judge:

This is an action for declaratory judgment brought by David Adjmi ("Admji") against DLT Entertainment LTD ("DLT"). Adjmi is a playwright who authored 3C, a play based on the 1970's television comedy series Three's Company. The play was produced for a limited run Off Broadway in 2012 by Rattlestick Products, Inc., Rising Phoenix Repertory, Inc., and Piece By Piece Productions, Inc. (the "Production Companies"). DLT, the copyright holder of Three's Company, sought to halt all performances of 3C and claims that the play infringes DLT's copyright in Three's Company. Adjmi wishes to authorize publication of 3C and licensing of the play for further production and therefore brings this action seeking a declaration that 3C does not infringe DLT's copyright in Three's Company. Adjmi's motion [dkt. no. 34] is GRANTED for the following reasons.

1

I. BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY¹

On June 6, 2012, <u>3C</u> began a run Off Broadway at Rattlestick Playwrights Theater ("Rattlestick"), located in New York City. (FAC ¶ 60, Ex. C; Answer ¶ 61.) Shortly thereafter, on or about June 14, 2012, lawyers representing DLT sent a "cease-and-desist" letter to Rattlestick, among other parties, asserting that <u>3C</u> infringed DLT's copyright in <u>Three's Company</u> and demanding that Rattlestick "cease further performances of [<u>3C</u>]; provide ... an accounting of all revenues derived from <u>3C</u> to date; and furnish DLT with ... written assurance that [Rattlestick and others] will fully comply with these demands." (FAC ¶ 61; Answer ¶ 61.) Although <u>3C</u>'s production ended in July 2012 (FAC ¶ 61), DLT's "cease-and-desist" letter resulted in a back-and-forth between Adjmi's counsel and lawyers for DLT. (FAC ¶¶ 62-66; Answer ¶¶ 62-66.)

The reason for the continued correspondence, and for the present action, is because Admji claims he has received an offer to publish <u>3C</u> and to license its performance.² (FAC ¶ 67.)

2

¹ See Complaint, dated Jan. 30, 2014 [dkt. no. 1] ("Complaint"); First Amended Complaint, dated Feb. 25, 2014 [dkt. no. 6] ("FAC"); Answer and Counterclaims, dated Mar. 24, 2014 [dkt. no. 10] (respectively, "Answer" and "CC"); Answer to Counterclaim, dated Apr. 17, 2014 [dkt. no. 11] ("Answer to CC").

² Adjmi claims that "Theatre Communications Group ("TCG") has proposed publishing <u>3C</u> in book form as part of a volume of Adjmi's works. In addition, Samuel French, Inc. has proposed

Case 1:14-cv-00568-LAP Document 67 Filed 03/31/15 Page 3 of 56

DLT, for its part, has refused to reconsider its initial position and continues to assert that <u>3C</u> infringes upon its copyright in <u>Three's Company</u>. (FAC ¶ 68; Answer ¶ 68.) This position presents a <u>de facto</u> roadblock to future publication or production of 3C, which Admji now seeks to remove.

To that end, on January 30, 2014, Adjmi filed the Complaint against DLT, seeking a declaratory judgment that <u>3C</u> does not infringe upon DLT's copyright in <u>Three's Company</u>. Thereafter, Adjmi filed the First Amended Complaint, and DLT filed its Answer. DLT's Answer asserts counterclaims (the "Counterclaims") on behalf of DLT and Three's Company (together, the "Joint Venture") for copyright infringement against Adjmi and the Production Companies.³ Adjmi, in turn, denies those claims in his Answer to the Counterclaims.

DOCKE

publishing the acting edition of the play, publishing the play as an e-book, and handling stock and amateur licensing for English-language productions of the play worldwide." (FAC ¶ 67.) DLT is "without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of [those] allegations ... and therefore denies" them. (Answer ¶ 67.) Given that 3C's Off Broadway run is over, the Court assumes there would be no live controversy if not for the potential future publication and production of 3C.

³ The Production Companies were served on or about June 9, 2014 (<u>see</u> [dkt. no. 25]) and answered the Counterclaims, denying liability, on July 17, 2014 (<u>see</u> Answer of [Production Companies], dated July 17, 2014 [dkt. no. 30]).

Case 1:14-cv-00568-LAP Document 67 Filed 03/31/15 Page 4 of 56

On August 24, 2014, Adjmi moved for judgment on the pleadings. (Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, dated Aug. 25, 2014 [dkt. no. 34] (the "Motion").) A few days later, on August 28, 2014, Adjmi also moved for a stay of discovery pending the disposition of the earlier Motion. (Motion to Stay Discovery, dated Aug. 28, 2014 [dkt. no. 38] ("Discovery Motion").)⁴ Following briefing and oral argument, United States District Judge Thomas P. Griesa GRANTED Admji's Discovery Motion. (<u>See</u> Order, dated Oct. 2, 2014 [dkt. no. 47].) Accordingly, DLT⁵ and Adjmi⁶ then proceeded to complete briefing the present Motion.

Δ

⁴ <u>See also Plaintiff/Counter-Defendants' Memorandum of Law in</u> Support of Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, dated Aug. 25, 2014 [dkt. no. 35] ("Pl.'s Memo").

⁵ <u>See</u> Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Plaintiff/Counter-Defendants' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, dated Oct. 28, 2014 [dkt. no. 53] ("Defs.' Memo"); Declaration of Michael E. Sander, dated Oct. 28, 2014 [dkt. no. 54] ("Sander Decl."); Declaration of Michelle Mancino March, dated Oct. 28, 2014 [dkt. no. 55] ("Marsh Decl.").

⁶ Reply Memorandum of Law, dated Nov. 14, 2014 [dkt. no. 58], and Amended Reply Memorandum of Law, dated Nov. 19, 2014 [dkt. no. 61] (together with Reply Memorandum of Law, "Pl.'s Reply").

II. THE PLEADINGS

The Court's recitation of the facts and allegations is drawn from the pleadings and the exhibits incorporated therein. As described in detail below, the standard of review for a 12(c) motion requires that all pleadings be taken to be true, but that any inconsistencies between the allegations in the pleadings be resolved in favor of the non-moving party, here DLT. However, this does not require the court to accept legal conclusions or characterizations in DLT's pleadings. (See infra III.A.)

The pleadings-specifically the Complaint, Answer, Counterclaims, and Answer to the Counterclaims-present different conceptions of <u>Three's Company</u> and <u>3C</u>. Rather than classify each claim and counterclaim as either a legal conclusion or characterization or, in the alternative, a non-conclusory statement with basis in fact, the Court relies on the underlying source material: nine seasons of <u>Three's Company</u> and the screenplay (and certain reviews) of <u>3C</u>, each incorporated by reference in the pleadings.⁷ II.A and II.B supply brief backgrounds of <u>Three's Company</u> and <u>3C</u>, respectively, before presenting a more detailed account of the two works.

R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

⁷ See Declaration of Camille Calman, dated Aug. 25, 2014 [dkt. no. 36] ("Calman Decl." or "DVDs"); FAC Ex. A ("<u>3C</u>" or "the Screenplay").

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.