

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X
LAVOHO, LLC, successor in interest to	:
DIESEL EBOOKS, LLC,	:
	:
Plaintiff,	:
	:
-v-	:
	:
APPLE, INC.; HACHETTE BOOK GROUP,	:
INC.; HARPERCOLLINS PUBLISHERS, LLC;	:
VERLAGSGRUPPE GEORG VON HOLTZBRINCK	:
GMBH; HOLTZBRINCK PUBLISHERS, LLC	:
d/b/a/ MACMILLAN; THE PENGUIN GROUP, A	:
DIVISION OF PEARSON PLC; and SIMON &	:
SCHUSTER, INC.,	:
Defendants.	:
-----	X

14cv1768 (DLC)
CORRECTED
OPINION & ORDER

APPEARANCES:*

For Plaintiff
Maxwell M. Blecher
Blecher Collins Pepperman & Joye P.C.
515 South Figueroa St., Suite 1750
Los Angeles, CA 90071

For Defendant Hachette Book Group, Inc.
Michael Lacovara
Richard Snyder
Samuel J. Rubin
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer US LLP
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022

For Defendant HarperCollins Publishers, LLC
C. Scott Lent
Arnold & Porter, LLP
399 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022

* The only corrections made in this Corrected Opinion & Order are to the names and addresses of the attorneys appearing in this case.

For Defendants Macmillan Publishers Inc., and Verlagsgruppe
Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH:

Joel M. Mitnick
John Lavelle
Dorothy Du
Sidley Austin LLP
787 Seventh Avenue
New York, NY 10019

For Defendant The Penguin Group:

Saul P. Morgenstern
Amanda C. Croushore
Margaret A. Rogers
Kaye Scholer LLP
250 West 55th Street
New York, NY 10019

For Defendant Simon & Schuster, Inc.:

James W. Quinn
Yehudah L. Buchweitz
Jeff L. White
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
767 Fifth Avenue, 25th Fl.
New York, NY 10153

DENISE COTE, District Judge:

Lavoho, LLC, the successor in interest to Diesel eBooks, LLC (hereinafter, "Diesel") brings this action against five book publishers, Hachette Book Group, Inc. ("Hachette"), HarperCollins Publishers, LLC ("HarperCollins"), Macmillan Publishers Inc. and Verlagsgruppe Georg Von Holtzbrinck GmbH ("Macmillan"), The Penguin Group ("Penguin"), and Simon & Schuster, Inc. ("Simon & Schuster") (collectively, "Publisher Defendants"). Pursuant to Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, and Section 340 of the Donnelly Act, N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 340, Diesel seeks damages it asserts it

sustained due to the defendants' conspiracy with Apple Inc. ("Apple") to fix prices and reduce competition in the e-book industry.¹ Diesel's claims arise from discussions initiated by Apple in December 2009 with the Publisher Defendants to explore the terms under which e-books might be available for Apple's new device, the iPad, which Apple launched in January 2010. As a result of these discussions, the Publisher Defendants implemented agency distribution agreements with e-book retailers with the purpose and effect of eliminating retail price competition and raising the retail prices for many e-books.

In 2011 and 2012, the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ"), various states, and class action plaintiffs filed antitrust lawsuits against the Publisher Defendants and Apple alleging violations of the Sherman Act. While the Publisher Defendants settled these claims, Apple proceeded to trial and was found liable in July 2013. United States v. Apple Inc., 952 F. Supp. 2d 638, 709 (S.D.N.Y. 2013). Diesel filed this antitrust case in March of 2014 alleging that its business was predicated on discounting, and that the defendants' agency conspiracy had caused Diesel's demise. Two other e-book retailers filed similar antitrust lawsuits in 2013 and 2014 against Apple and the Publisher Defendants. The Court has dismissed these two

¹ The plaintiff also brought this lawsuit against Apple. The plaintiff and Apple have settled.

lawsuits. DNAML Pty, Ltd. v. Apple Inc. et al., No. 13-cv-6516 (DLC), 2015 WL 9077075 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 16, 2015); Abbey House Media, Inc. v. Apple Inc. et al., No. 14-cv-2000, 2016 WL 297720 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 22, 2016).

Following the completion of discovery, the Publisher Defendants moved for summary judgment on the grounds that Diesel cannot show antitrust injury and that Diesel has not shown that its failure was caused by the agency conspiracy. The motion is granted. The plaintiff has not offered evidence to show that it has suffered antitrust injury arising from the Publisher Defendants' conspiracy to eliminate retail price competition for their e-books or from which a jury could find that that conspiracy caused the failure of its business.

BACKGROUND

The following facts are undisputed or taken in the light most favorable to the plaintiff. Scott Redford founded Diesel as an e-book retail store in December 2004. Diesel sold e-books through its website, built in part by its programming contractor on top of an existing e-commerce infrastructure. Its e-books were apparently intended to be read by consumers principally on their desktop computers. Diesel did not purchase its e-books directly from the Publisher Defendants at any time before the initiation of the defendants' price-fixing conspiracy. Instead, it purchased its inventory from Ingram Digital ("Ingram"), a

wholesaler.² As a result, Diesel was required to pay a fee to Ingram of 10% of an e-book's digital list price ("DLP"), which increased its costs. Diesel would later tout its search engine optimization knowledge, fraud control, and platform scalability as the three keys to its early success.

I. Diesel's Performance Prior to Agency

Beginning in 2007, Diesel was faced with stiff competition. Amazon re-entered the e-book market at the end of 2007 and quickly became the dominant e-book retailer. Amazon also introduced its popular e-reader device, the Kindle, in November 2007. Amazon sold e-book versions of many hardcover books for \$9.99, a price that was often well below Diesel's inventory cost for the book.

In 2009, Barnes & Noble re-entered the e-books market, and eventually adopted Amazon's \$9.99 model for e-book versions of certain hardcover books. Barnes & Noble then introduced its e-reader device, the NOOK, in November 2009.

Prior to the implementation of agency pricing in the second quarter of 2010, Diesel's performance was variable. While

² Initially, Ingram provided Diesel with e-books in multiple proprietary formats, including Microsoft Reader, Palm, and Adobe. In 2008, Diesel also added a mobile format through the company Mobipocket, although Mobipocket's formatting was later purchased and re-packaged for Amazon's e-reader. Diesel also became a retailer for the self-publishing platform Smashwords and partnered with Google for access to its open domain content.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.