

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

IN RE NIELSEN HOLDINGS PLC  
SECURITIES LITIGATION

Civil Action No. 1:18-cv-07143-JMF

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR  
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT .....	1
II. HISTORY OF THE LITIGATION .....	3
III. SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS .....	5
IV. SETTLEMENT TERMS .....	6
V. PRELIMINARY APPROVAL IS WARRANTED AND WILL ALLOW PLAINTIFFS TO NOTIFY THE SETTLEMENT CLASS .....	7
A. The Rule 23(e)(2) Factors Are Satisfied.....	9
1. Plaintiffs and Their Counsel Have Adequately Represented the Settlement Class.....	9
2. The Proposed Settlement Is the Result of Good Faith, Arm’s- Length Negotiations.....	10
3. The Relief Provided by the Settlement Is Adequate When Weighed Against the Risks of Litigation .....	11
4. The Proposed Method for Distributing Relief Is Effective.....	12
5. The Settlement Does Not Excessively Compensate Plaintiffs’ Counsel .....	14
6. All Settlement Class Members Are Treated Equitably Relative to One Another.....	15
B. The Proposed Settlement Will Meet the <i>Grinnell</i> Factors.....	15
VI. PRELIMINARY CERTIFICATION OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT CLASS FOR SETTLEMENT PURPOSES IS APPROPRIATE .....	19
VII. THE PROPOSED NOTICE PROGRAM SHOULD BE APPROVED .....	21
VIII. PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF SETTLEMENT EVENTS .....	22
IX. CONCLUSION.....	23

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Affiliated Ute Citizens of Utah v. United States</i> , 406 U.S. 128 (1972).....	21
<i>In re Agent Orange Prod. Liab. Litig.</i> , 597 F. Supp. 740 (E.D.N.Y. 1984), <i>aff'd</i> , 818 F.2d 145 (2d Cir. 1987).....	17
<i>Basic Inc. v. Levinson</i> , 485 U.S. 224 (1988).....	21
<i>In re BHP Billiton Ltd. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 1:16-cv-01445-NRB, 2019 WL 1577313 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 10, 2019), <i>aff'd</i> <i>sub nom. City of Birmingham Ret. &amp; Relief Sys. v. Davis</i> , 806 F. App'x. 17 (2d Cir. 2020).....	15
<i>In re Canadian Superior Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 09 Civ. 10087(SAS), 2011 WL 5830110 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 16, 2011) .....	19
<i>Christine Asia Co., Ltd. v. Yun Ma</i> , No. 1:15-md-02631 (CM) (SDA), 2019 WL 5257534 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 16, 2019) .....	16, 17
<i>City of Providence v. Aeropostale, Inc.</i> , No. 11 Civ. 7132(CM)(GWG), 2014 WL 1883494 (S.D.N.Y. May 9, 2014), <i>aff'd sub nom. Arbuthnot v. Pierson</i> , 607 F. App'x. 73 (2d Cir. 2015).....	11
<i>D'Amato v. Deutsche Bank</i> , 236 F.3d 78 (2d Cir. 2001).....	10, 17
<i>Deangelis v. Corzine</i> , 151 F. Supp. 3d 356 (S.D.N.Y. 2015).....	7
<i>In re Delphi Corp. Sec., Derivative &amp; ERISA Litig.</i> , 248 F.R.D. 483 (E.D. Mich. 2008) .....	10
<i>Detroit v. Grinnell Corp.</i> , 495 F.2d 448 (2d Cir. 1974).....	8
<i>In re Deutsche Telekom AG Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 00-CV-9475 (NRB), 2005 WL 7984326 (S.D.N.Y. June 14, 2005) .....	15

	<b>Page</b>
<i>Dover v. British Airways, PLC (UK)</i> , No. 12 CV 5567 (RJD) (CLP), 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 174513 (E.D.N.Y. Oct. 9, 2018).....	7
<i>In re Glob. Crossing Sec. &amp; ERISA Litig.</i> , 225 F.R.D. 436 (S.D.N.Y. 2004) .....	9, 16, 17
<i>In re HealthSouth Corp. Sec. Litig.</i> , 334 F. App'x. 248 (11th Cir. 2009) .....	8
<i>In re IMAX Sec. Litig.</i> , 283 F.R.D. 178 (S.D.N.Y. 2012) .....	21
<i>In re Indep. Energy Holdings PLC Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 00-cv-6689 (SAS), 2003 WL 22244676 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 29, 2003) .....	17, 18
<i>In re Initial Pub. Offering Sec. Litig.</i> , 243 F.R.D. 79 (S.D.N.Y. 2007) .....	9
<i>Landmen Partners Inc. v. Blackstone Grp. L.P.</i> , No. 08-cv-03601-HB-FM, 2013 WL 11330936 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 18, 2013).....	15
<i>In re Merrill Lynch &amp; Co. Research Reports Sec. Litig.</i> , 246 F.R.D. 156 (S.D.N.Y. 2007) .....	19
<i>In re Merrill Lynch &amp; Co. Research Reports Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 02 MDL 1484 (JFK), 2007 WL 313474 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 1, 2007).....	19
<i>Newman v. Stein</i> , 464 F.2d 689 (2d Cir. 1972).....	17
<i>Pantelyat v. Bank of Am., N.A.</i> , No. 16-cv-8964 (AJN), 2019 WL 402854 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 31, 2019).....	11
<i>In re Prudential Sec. Inc. Ltd. P'ships Litig.</i> , 163 F.R.D. 200 (S.D.N.Y. 1995) .....	7
<i>In re Vitamin C Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 06-MD-1738 (BMC)(JO), 2012 WL 5289514 (E.D.N.Y. Oct. 23, 2012).....	17
<i>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Visa U.S.A. Inc.</i> , 396 F.3d 96 (2d Cir. 2005).....	<i>passim</i>

**Page**

*In re Warner Chilcott Ltd. Sec. Litig.*,  
No. 06 Civ. 11515(WHP), 2008 WL 5110904 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 20, 2008).....22

**Statutes**

15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(4) .....1, 15

**Rules**

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 .....3, 8, 22

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) .....19, 20

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3).....19, 21

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(1)(C) .....16

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e) .....7, 8

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(1).....7

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(1)(B) .....7

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(2).....7, 8, 9

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(2)(C)(i).....15, 16

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 (e)(2)(D) .....15

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.