

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

NEVILLE MCFARLANE, EDWARD  
HELLYER, DEANNA COTTRELL,  
CARRIE MASON-DRAFFEN, HASEEB  
RAJA, RONNIE GILL, JOHN  
FRONTERA, SHARIQ MEHFOOZ, and  
STEVEN PANICCIA, individually and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ALTICE USA, INC., a New York  
Corporation,

Defendant.

Lead Case No. 20-CV-1297 (consolidated  
with 20-CV-1410)

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. BACKGROUND TO THE SETTLEMENT ..... 2

    A. Nature of the Litigation and Procedural History ..... 2

    B. Discovery and Settlement Negotiations ..... 5

III. SUMMARY OF THE SETTLEMENT ..... 6

    A. Definition of the Class ..... 6

    B. The Settlement Terms and Benefits to the Settlement Class ..... 7

        1. Identity Theft Protection and Credit Monitoring Package ..... 7

        2. Cash Payments ..... 7

        3. Injunctive Relief ..... 8

        4. Notice, Claims Process, and Settlement Administration ..... 9

        5. Attorneys’ Fees, Expenses, and Service Awards ..... 9

IV. THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT WARRANTS PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ..... 10

    A. Standards for Preliminary Approval ..... 10

    B. The *Grinnell* and Rule 23(e) Factors Are Satisfied ..... 12

        1. Procedural Fairness - Rule 23(e)(2)(A-B) ..... 12

        2. Substantive Fairness - Rule 23(e)(2)(C-D) and Remaining *Grinnell* Factors ..... 13

            a. Rule 23(e)(2)(C)(i) / *Grinnell* Factors Nos. 1, 4, 5 and 6 – The Costs, Risks, and Delay of Trial and Appeal ..... 14

            b. Rule 23(e)(2)(C)(ii) – Effectiveness of Proposed Method of Distributing Relief ..... 16

            c. Rule 23(e)(2)(C)(iii) - The Timing and Terms of Class Counsel’s Proposed Award of Attorneys’ Fees ..... 17

d.	Rule 23(e)(2)(C)(iv) - There Are No Additional Agreements Required To Be Identified Under Rule 23 .....	18
e.	Rule 23(e)(2)(D) - Class Members Are Treated Equitably .....	18
f.	<i>Grinnell</i> Factor No. 2 - Settlement Class Members' Reaction.....	19
g.	<i>Grinnell</i> Factor No. 3 - The Stage of the Warrants Preliminary Approval .....	19
h.	<i>Grinnell</i> Factor No. 7 - Whether Defendant Can Withstand A Substantially Greater Judgement.....	20
i.	<i>Grinnell</i> Factor Nos. 8-9: Range of Reasonableness of Settlement .....	20
V.	THE SETTLEMENT CLASS SHOULD BE CERTIFIED .....	21
A.	The Rule 23(a) Factors Are Met.....	21
1.	The Class Is Sufficiently Numerous.....	21
2.	Questions of Law or Fact are Common to the Class.....	21
3.	Plaintiffs' Claims Are Typical .....	22
4.	Plaintiffs Will Fairly and Adequately Protect the Class.....	22
B.	The Settlement Class Satisfies Rule 23(b)(3).....	23
1.	Common Questions Predominate.....	24
2.	The Class Is The Superior Method of Adjudication.....	24
VI.	THE PROPOSED NOTICE PROGRAM SHOULD BE APPROVED .....	25
VII.	CONCLUSION .....	25

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<i>Baffa v. Donaldson, Lufkin &amp; Jenrette Sec. Corp.</i> , 222 F.3d 52 (2d Cir. 2000).....	22
<i>Castillo v. Seagate Tech., LLC</i> , No. 16-01958, 2017 WL 4798611 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 19, 2017) .....	24
<i>Charron v. Wiener</i> , 731 F.3d 241 (2d Cir. 2013).....	20
<i>Christine Asia Co., Ltd. v. Yun Ma</i> , No. 1:15-md-02631, 2019 WL 5257534 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 16, 2019) .....	12
<i>City of Detroit v. Grinnell Corp.</i> , 495 F.2d 448 (2d Cir. 1974).....	11
<i>City of Providence v. Aeropostale, Inc.</i> , No. 11 Civ. 7132(CM)(GWG), 2014 WL 1883494 (S.D.N.Y. May 9, 2014).....	16
<i>Dolmage v. Combined Ins. Co. of Am.</i> , No. 14 C 3809, 2017 WL 1754772 (N.D. Ill. May 3, 2017) .....	15
<i>Hillis v. Equifax Consumer Servs., Inc.</i> , No. 104-3400, 2007 WL 1953464 (N.D. Ga. June 12, 2007).....	18
<i>In re Adelphia Commc’ns Corp. Sec. &amp; Derivatives Litig.</i> , 271 F. App’x 41 (2d Cir. 2008) .....	25
<i>In re Air Cargo Shipping Servs. Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 06-MD-1175 (JG)(VVP), 2014 WL 7882100 (E.D.N.Y. Oct. 15, 2014) .....	21
<i>In re Am. Bank Note Holographics, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 127 F. Supp. 2d 418 (S.D.N.Y. 2001).....	15
<i>In re Am. Int’l Grp., Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 689 F.3d 229 (2d Cir. 2012).....	23, 24
<i>In re Anthem, Inc. Data Breach Litig.</i> , 327 F.R.D. 299 (N.D. Cal. 2018).....	21
<i>In re AOL Time Warner, Inc. Sec. and “ERISA” Litig.</i> , No. MDL 1500, 2006 WL 903236 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 6, 2006).....	20

<i>In re Austrian &amp; German Bank Holocaust Litig.</i> , 80 F. Supp. 2d 164 (S.D.N.Y. 2000).....	12
<i>In re Brinker Data Incident Litig.</i> , No. 3:18-cv-686-TJC-MCR, 2021 WL 1405508 (M.D. Fla. Apr. 14, 2021) .....	22
<i>In re Chase Manhattan Corp. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 90-6092, 1992 WL 110743 (S.D.N.Y. May 13, 1992) .....	24
<i>In re EVCI Career Colls. Holding Corp. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 05 Civ. 10240(CM), 2007 WL 2230177 (S.D.N.Y. July 27, 2007).....	12, 16
<i>In re Flag Telecom Holdings, Ltd. Sec. Litig.</i> , 574 F.3d 29 (2d Cir. 2009).....	22
<i>In re Global Crossing Sec. &amp; ERISA Litig.</i> , 225 F.R.D. 436 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 24, 2004) .....	16
<i>In re Initial Pub. Offering Sec. Litig.</i> , 226 F.R.D. 186 (S.D.N.Y. 2005) .....	11
<i>In re Payment Card Interchange Fee &amp; Merch. Discount Antitrust Litig.</i> , 330 F.R.D. 11 (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 28, 2019).....	11, 13, 19, 20
<i>In re Prudential Sec. Inc. Ltd. P'ships Litig.</i> , 163 F.R.D. 200 (S.D.N.Y. 1995) .....	16
<i>In re Signet Jewelers Ltd. Sec. Litig.</i> , 2020 WL 4196468 (S.D.N.Y. July 21, 2020) .....	19
<i>In re WorldCom, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 388 F. Supp. 2d 319 (S.D.N.Y. 2005).....	16
<i>Kelen v. World Fin. Network Nat'l Bank</i> , 302 F.R.D. 56 (S.D.N.Y. 2014) .....	22
<i>Maley v. Del Global Techs. Corp.</i> , 186 F. Supp. 2d 358 (S.D.N.Y. 2002).....	16
<i>Masoud v. 1285 Bakery Inc.</i> , No. 15-7414, 2017 WL 448955 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 26, 2017) .....	24
<i>Masters v. Wilhelmina Model Agency, Inc.</i> , 473 F.3d 423 (2d Cir. 2007).....	18

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.