### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK MANHATTAN DIVISION

Cedar Lane Technologies Inc.,

Case No. 1:20-cv-3128

Plaintiff,

Patent Case

v.

Jury Trial Demanded

Skylum Software USA Inc.,

Defendant.

#### COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Cedar Lane Technologies Inc. ("Plaintiff"), through its attorneys, complains of Skylum Software USA Inc. ("Defendant"), and alleges the following:

#### **PARTIES**

- Plaintiff Cedar Lane Technologies Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Canada that maintains its principal place of business at 560 Baker Street, Suite
  Nelson, BC V1L 4H9.
- 2. Defendant Skylum Software USA Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Nevada that maintains an established place of business at 142 W 57th Street, New York, NY 10019.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.
- 4. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).



5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in this District. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

#### VENUE

6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District, and has an established place of business in this District. In addition, Plaintiff has suffered harm in this district.

#### PATENTS-IN-SUIT

7. Plaintiff is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent Nos. 6,873,743 (the "'743 Patent"); 7,088,855 (the "'855 Patent"); 8,073,250 (the "'250 Patent"); (collectively the "Patents-in-Suit"); including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patents-in-Suit. Accordingly, Plaintiff possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the Patents-in-Suit by Defendant.

#### The '743 Patent

- 8. The '743 Patent is entitled "Method and apparatus for the automatic real-time detection and correction of red-eye defects in batches of digital images or in handheld appliances," and issued 3/29/2005. The application leading to the '743 Patent was filed on 3/29/2002. A true and correct copy of the '743 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.
  - 9. The '743 Patent is valid and enforceable.

#### The '855 Patent



- 10. The '855 Patent is entitled "Method and system for removal of red eye effects," and issued 8/8/2006. The application leading to the '855 Patent was filed on 1/22/2001. A true and correct copy of the '855 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2 and incorporated herein by reference.
  - 11. The '855 Patent is valid and enforceable.

#### The '250 Patent

- 12. The '250 Patent is entitled "Method and system for removal of red eye effects," and issued 12/6/2011. The application leading to the '250 Patent was filed on 12/4/2007. A true and correct copy of the '250 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 3 and incorporated herein by reference.
  - 13. The '250 Patent is valid and enforceable.

#### COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '743 PATENT

- 14. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.
- or more claims of the '743 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '743 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '743 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '743 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.



- 16. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '743 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.
- 17. The service of this Complaint upon Defendant constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.
- 18. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '743 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '743 Patent. Thus, on information and belief, Defendant is contributing to and/or inducing the infringement of the '743 Patent.
- 19. **Induced Infringement.** Defendant actively, knowingly, and intentionally has been and continues to induce infringement of the '743 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '743 Patent.
- 20. **Contributory Infringement.** Defendant actively, knowingly, and intentionally has been and continues materially contribute to their own customers' infringement of the '743 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '743 Patent. Moreover, the Exemplary Defendant Products are not a staple article of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use.



- 21. Exhibit 4 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '743 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '743 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '743 Patent Claims.
- 22. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 4.
- 23. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

#### **COUNT 2: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '855 PATENT**

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.
- 25. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '855 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '855 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '855 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '855 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.
- 26. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '855 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.
- 27. The service of this Complaint upon Defendant constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

