UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

HELAYNE SEIDMAN,

Plaintiff,

- against -

VOX MEDIA, INC.

Defendant.

Docket No. 1:20-cv-3552

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Helayne Seidman ("Seidman" or "Plaintiff") by and through her undersigned counsel, as and for her Complaint against Defendant Vox Media, Inc. ("Vox Media" or "Defendant") hereby alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for copyright infringement under Section 501 of the Copyright Act and for the removal and/or alteration of copyright management information under Section 1202(b) of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. This action arises out of Defendant's unauthorized reproduction and public display of a copyrighted photograph of luxury apartment building Gotham West on 45th Street in New York, owned and registered by Seidman, a New York based professional photographer. Accordingly, Seidman seeks monetary relief under the Copyright Act of the United States, as amended, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq*.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This claim arises under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*, and this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

3. Upon information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant resides and/or transacts business in New York.

4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

PARTIES

Seidman is a professional photographer in the business of licensing her
photographs to online and print media for a fee having a usual place of business at 16 St. Marks
Place, Apt 4B, New York, New York 10003.

6. Upon information and belief, Vox Media is a foreign limited liability company duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a place of business at 85 Broad Street, New York, New York 10004. Upon information and belief, Vox Media in registered with the New York State Department of Corporations to do business in New York. At all times material hereto, Vox Media has owned and operated a website at the URL: www.NY.Curbed.com (the "Website").

STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Background and Plaintiff's Ownership of the Photograph

7. Seidman photographed luxury apartment building Gotham West on 45th Street in New York (the "Photograph"). A true and correct copy of the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

8. Seidman then licensed the Photograph to New York Post. The New York Post ran an article that featured the Photograph titled *What the luck? Quinn aide approved for coveted apartment*. See URL: https://nypost.com/2013/11/17/quinn-aide-approved-for-covetedapartment-in-gotham-west-complex/. Seidman's name was featured in a gutter credit identifying

Case 1:20-cv-03552-PAC Document 1 Filed 05/06/20 Page 3 of 7

her as the photographer of the Photograph. A true and correct copy of the article is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

9. Seidman is the author of the Photograph and has at all times been the sole owner of all right, title and interest in and to the Photograph, including the copyright thereto.

10. The Photograph was registered with United States Copyright Office and was given Copyright Registration Number VA 2-023-500.

B. Defendant's Infringing Activities

11. Vox Media ran an article on the Website entitled *Mapping Affordable Rentals Taking Applications Right Now.* See: https://ny.curbed.com/maps/mapping-affordable-rentalstaking-applications-right-now. The article featured the Photograph. A screenshot of the Photograph on the Website is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

12. Vox Media did not license the Photograph from Plaintiff for its article, nor did Vox Media have Plaintiff's permission or consent to publish the Photograph on its Website.

13. Seidman first discovered the use of the Photograph on the Website in August2018.

<u>FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT) (17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501)

14. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-13 above.

15. Vox Media infringed Plaintiff's copyright in the Photograph by reproducing and publicly displaying the Photograph on the Website. Vox Media is not, and has never been, licensed or otherwise authorized to reproduce, publically display, distribute and/or use the Photograph.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 1:20-cv-03552-PAC Document 1 Filed 05/06/20 Page 4 of 7

16. The acts of Defendant complained of herein constitute infringement of Plaintiff's copyright and exclusive rights under copyright in violation of Sections 106 and 501 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501.

17. Upon information and belief, the foregoing acts of infringement by Vox Media have been willful, intentional, and purposeful, in disregard of and indifference to Plaintiff's rights.

18. As a direct and proximate cause of the infringement by the Defendant of Plaintiff's copyright and exclusive rights under copyright, Plaintiff is entitled to damages and Defendant's profits pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b) for the infringement.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF INTEGRITY OF COPYRIGHT MANAGEMENT INFORMATION AGAINST DEFENDANT (17 U.S.C. § 1202)

Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in
Paragraphs 1-18 above.

20. Upon information and belief, in its article on the Website, Defendant copied the Photograph from New York Post which contained a gutter credit underneath the Photograph stating, "Helayne Seidman" and placed it on its Website without the gutter credit.

21. Upon information and belief, Vox Media intentionally and knowingly removed copyright management information identifying Plaintiff as the photographer of the Photograph.

22. The conduct of Vox Media violates 17 U.S.C. § 1202(b).

23. Upon information and belief, Vox Media' falsification, removal and/or alteration of the aforementioned copyright management information was made without the knowledge or consent of Plaintiff.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 1:20-cv-03552-PAC Document 1 Filed 05/06/20 Page 5 of 7

24. Upon information and belief, the falsification, alteration and/or removal of said copyright management information was made by Vox Media intentionally, knowingly and with the intent to induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyright in the Photograph. Vox Media also knew, or should have known, that such falsification, alteration and/or removal of said copyright management information would induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyright management information would induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyright in the Photograph.

25. As a result of the wrongful conduct of Vox Media as alleged herein, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Vox Media the damages, that he sustained and will sustain, and any gains, profits and advantages obtained by Vox Media because of their violations of 17 U.S.C. § 1202, including attorney's fees and costs.

26. Alternatively, Plaintiff may elect to recover from Vox Media statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(c) (3) in a sum of at least \$2,500 up to \$25,000 for each violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

- That Defendant Vox Media be adjudged to have infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph in violation of 17 U.S.C §§ 106 and 501;
- 2. The Defendant Vox Media be adjudged to have falsified, removed and/or altered copyright management information in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202.
- That Plaintiff be awarded Plaintiff's actual damages and Defendant's profits, gains or advantages of any kind attributable to Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's Photograph;

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.