

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

**MOJO NICHOLS, SUSAN BREWSTER,
DUANE DEA, MARYANNE DERACLEO,
KAREN KELLY, REBECCA RICHARDS,
JENNIFER SELLERS, and STACY
SPENCER,**

*Individually and on Behalf of All Others
Similarly Situated,*

Plaintiffs,

v.

**NOOM, INC., ARTEM PETAKOV, and
JOHN DOES 1 TO 5,**

Defendants.

No. 20 Civ. 3677 (LGS) (KHP)

**PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED
CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Dated: March 19, 2021

WITTELS MCINTURFF PALIKOVIC

Steven L. Wittels
J. Burkett McInturff
Jessica L. Hunter
18 HALF MILE ROAD
ARMONK, NEW YORK 10504
Telephone: (914) 319-9945
Facsimile: (914) 273-2563
slw@wittelslaw.com
jbm@wittelslaw.com
jlh@wittelslaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 1

ARGUMENT 7

I. NOOM’S REQUEST TO STRIKE THE TAC UNDER RULE 12(F) IS FACTUALLY, LEGALLY, AND PROCEDURALLY GROUNDLESS 7

II. THE TAC HAS THE REQUISITE LEVEL OF PARTICULARITY 9

A. Each of the Eight Plaintiffs’ Allegations Easily Satisfy Rule 9(b) 9

1. Plaintiffs Describe a Multi-Component Web Designed to Trick Consumers 9

2. Each of the Named Plaintiffs Adequately Details How They Were Deceived by Noom 10

3. Defendants Try to Sidestep the Full Scope of the Fraud Alleged 11

B. The GBL Claims are Adequately Pled 16

C. Defendant Petakov Can be Held Responsible for His Illegal Conduct 16

III. PLAINTIFFS MAY BRING CLAIMS FOR CONSUMERS IN OTHER STATES 17

IV. DEFENDANTS’ ADDITIONAL ARGUMENTS FAIL 19

A. Plaintiffs Have Standing to Seek Injunctive Relief 19

B. The California Plaintiffs’ Statutory Claims Should be Sustained 20

1. The California Plaintiffs Can Bring Claims for Equitable Relief 20

2. Plaintiffs Can Enforce the ARL through the FAL, UCL, and CLRA 23

3. Noom Is a Weight-Loss Service That Falls Within the CLRA’s Purview 24

4. The TAC States a Claim Under California’s Weight Loss Contracts Law 25

5. Plaintiffs’ Have Stated a Claim Under the Bot Disclosure Law 26

C. Plaintiffs’ Common Law Claims Should Proceed 26

1. Plaintiffs Alternative Unjust Enrichment Claims are Viable at This Stage 26

2. Plaintiffs State a Claim, in the Alternative, for Money Had and Received 27

3. Plaintiffs’ Conversion Claims are Adequately Pled 28

D. Rule 23 Preempts State Class Action Bans and Notice Requirements and Thus
Plaintiffs' Claims Are Not Barred. 29

CONCLUSION AND REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO AMEND 31

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Cases**

<i>A.I.A. Holdings, S.A. v. Lehman Brothers, Inc.</i> , 1998 WL 159059 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 1, 1998).....	12
<i>Allstate Ins. Co. v. Ahmed Halima</i> , 2009 WL 750199 (E.D.N.Y. Mar. 19, 2009).....	9
<i>Baur v. Veneman</i> , 352 F.3d 625 (2d Cir. 2003).....	19
<i>Berni v. Barilla S.p.A.</i> , 964 F.3d 141 (2d Cir. 2020).....	20
<i>Blair v. JP Morgan Chase Bank Nat'l</i> , 2009 WL 8580038 (N.D. Ohio Sep. 30, 2009).....	27
<i>Bonasera v. New River Elec. Corp.</i> , 2021 WL 490257 (S.D. Ohio Feb. 10, 2021).....	30
<i>Campbell v. Nat'l Union Fire Ins. Co.</i> , 130 F. Supp. 3d 236 (D.D.C. 2015).....	16, 27
<i>City of New York v. Smart Apartments LLC</i> , 959 N.Y.S.2d 890 (Sup. Ct. 2013).....	17
<i>Cresci v. Mohawk Valley Cmty. Coll.</i> , 693 F. App'x 21 (2d Cir. 2017)	31
<i>Davidson v. Kimberly-Clark Corporation</i> , 889 F.3d 956 (9th Cir. 2018)	20
<i>Delgado v. Ocwen Loan Servicing LLC</i> , 2017 WL 5201079 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 9, 2017).....	29
<i>DiCarlo v. MoneyLion, Inc.</i> , 988 F.3d 1148 (9th Cir. 2021)	23
<i>Disher v. Citigroup Glob. Markets, Inc.</i> , 486 F. Supp. 2d 790 (S.D. Ill. 2007).....	22
<i>Donnenfeld v. Petro, Inc.</i> , 333 F. Supp. 3d 208 (E.D.N.Y. 2018)	19
<i>Dunn v. Albany Med. Coll.</i> , 2010 WL 2326127 (N.D.N.Y. June 7, 2010).....	8
<i>Elkind v. Revlon Consumer Products Corporation</i> , 2015 WL 2344134 (E.D.N.Y. May 14, 2015)	20

<i>Fed. Treasury Enter. Sojuzplodoimport v. SPI Spirits Ltd.</i> , 726 F.3d 62 (2d Cir. 2013).....	29
<i>Franze v. Bimbo Foods Bakeries Distrib., LLC</i> , 2019 WL 1244293 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 15, 2019)	21
<i>Genesco Entm't v. Koch</i> , 593 F. Supp. 743 (S.D.N.Y. 1984)	6
<i>Green v. Covidien LP</i> , 2019 WL 4142480 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 30, 2019).....	31
<i>Green v. Gerber Products Co.</i> , 262 F. Supp. 3d 38 (E.D.N.Y. 2017)	31
<i>Gross v. Vilore Foods Co., Inc.</i> , 2020 WL 6319131 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 28, 2020).....	22
<i>Haskins v. Symantec Corp.</i> , 2013 WL 6234610 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2013).....	25
<i>Haywood v. Massage Envy Franchising, LLC</i> , 887 F.3d 329 (7th Cir. 2018)	15
<i>Holve v. McCormick & Co., Inc.</i> , 334 F. Supp. 3d 535 (W.D.N.Y. 2018).....	20
<i>In re Aggrenox Antitrust Litigation</i> , 2016 WL 4204478 (D. Conn. Aug. 9, 2016)	30
<i>In re Arris Cable Modem Consumer Litigation</i> , 2018 WL 288085 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 4, 2018).....	12
<i>In re Cardiac Devices Qui Tam Litig.</i> , 221 F.R.D. 318 (D. Conn. 2004).....	11
<i>In re JUUL Labs, Inc., Mktg., Sales Practices, & Prod. Liab. Litig.</i> , 2020 WL 6271173 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 23, 2020).....	22
<i>In re Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. Rsch. Rep. Sec. Litig.</i> , 218 F.R.D. 76 (S.D.N.Y. 2003)	8
<i>In re Yahoo Inc. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.</i> , 313 F. Supp. 3d 1113 (2018)	24
<i>Izquierdo v. Mondelez International, Inc.</i> , 2016 WL 6459832 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 26, 2016).....	20
<i>Jericho Baptist Church Ministries, Inc. (D.C.) v. Jericho Baptist Church Ministries, Inc. (Md.)</i> , 2020 WL 1703937 (D.D.C. Apr. 8, 2020).....	29
<i>Johnson v. Pluralsight, LLC</i> , 728 F. App'x 674 (9th Cir. 2018).....	24

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.