UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	Circ Action No. 1.20 ov. 4020
Plymouth Beef Co., Inc.,	Civ. Action No.1:20-cv-4029
Plaintiff,	ANGWED
-against-	ANSWER
Wonder Food Distributors, David Hamedan, Jack Hamedan, Nations Best Meat Wholesalers, Inc., James Hyland and Guy Robinson,	
Defendants.	
Wonder Food Distributors, David Hamadani, and Jack Hamada their attorneys, the Law Offices of Morris Fateha, as and for the	,

AS AND FOR PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

"Complaint") of Plaintiff, respectfully alleges as follows:

1. Denies knowledge and information contained in paragraph 1 of the Complaint.

AS AND FOR PARTIES

- 2. Denies knowledge and information contained in paragraph 2 of the Complaint.
- 3. Admits the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the Complaint.
- 4. Denies knowledge and information contained in paragraph 4 of the Complaint.
- 5. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 5 of the Complaint.
- 6. Denies knowledge and information contained in paragraph 6 of the Complaint.
- 7. Denies knowledge and information contained in paragraph 7 of the Complaint.
- 8. Denies knowledge and information contained in paragraph 8 of the Complaint.

AS AND FOR JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 9. Denies knowledge and information contained in paragraph 9 of the Complaint.
- 10. Denies knowledge and information contained in paragraph 10 of the Complaint.



And

11. Denies knowledge and information contained in paragraph 11 of the Complaint.

AS AND FOR BACKGROUND OF PLAINTIFF AND THE TRADEMARKS AT ISSUE

- 12. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the Complaint.
- 13. Denies knowledge and information contained in paragraph 13 of the Complaint.
- 14. Denies knowledge and information contained in paragraph 14 of the Complaint.
- 15. Denies knowledge and information contained in paragraph 15 of the Complaint.
- 16. Denies knowledge and information contained in paragraph 16 of the Complaint.
- 17. Denies knowledge and information contained in paragraph 17 of the Complaint.
- 18. Denies knowledge and information contained in paragraph 18 of the Complaint.
- 19. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 19 of the Complaint.

AS AND FOR DEFENDANT'S UNLAWFUL ACTIVITIES

- 20. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 20 of the Complaint.
- 21. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 21 of the Complaint.
- 22. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 22 of the Complaint.
- 23. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 23 of the Complaint.
- 24. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 24 of the Complaint.
- 25. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 25 of the Complaint.
- 26. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 26 of the Complaint.
- 27. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph 27 of the Complaint.
- 28. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 28 of the Complaint.
- 29. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 29 of the Complaint.
- 30. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 30 of the Complaint.
- 31. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 31 of the Complaint.



- 32. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 32 of the Complaint.
- 33. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 33 of the Complaint.
- 34. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 34 of the Complaint.

AS AND FOR COUNT I

Federal Trademark Information Under 15 U.S.C §1114(1)

- 35. Defendant repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every statement above, as if fully set forth al length herein.
 - 36. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 36 of the Complaint.
 - 37. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 37 of the Complaint.
 - 38. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 38 of the Complaint.
 - 39. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 39 of the Complaint.

AS AND FOR COUNT II

Unfair Competition Under 15 U.S.C §1125(a)

- 40. Defendant repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every statement above, as if fully set forth al length herein.
- 41. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 41 of the Complaint.
- 42. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 42 of the Complaint.
- 43. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 43 of the Complaint.
- 44. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 44 of the Complaint.
- 45. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 45of the Complaint.
- 46. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 46 of the Complaint.



AS AD FOR COUNT III

Deceptive Practices and Acts Under New York GBL §349

- 47. Defendant repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every statement above, as if fully set forth al length herein.
- 48. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 48 of the Complaint.
- 49. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 49 of the Complaint.

AS AND FOR COUNT V

Common Law Trademark Infringement and Unfair Competition

- 50. Defendant repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every statement above, as if fully set forth al length herein.
- 51. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 51 of the Complaint.
- 52. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 52 of the Complaint.
- 53. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 53 of the Complaint.

AS AND FOR COUNT VI

Common Law Tortious Interference With Economic Relations

- 54. Defendant repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every statement above, as if fully set forth al length herein.
- 55. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 55 of the Complaint.
- 56. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 56 of the Complaint.



- 57. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 57 of the Complaint.
- 58. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 58 of the Complaint.
- 59. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 59 of the Complaint.
- 60. Denies allegations contained in paragraph 60 of the Complaint.

AS AND FOR PARYER FOR RELIEF

61. The allegations contained in the WHEREFORE clause of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required and, to the extent a response is required, the Defendant denies the allegations contained in the WHEREFORE clause of the Complaint.

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

62. The Defendants hereby demand a trial by jury as to any and all issues raised in the Complaint and this Answer which are triable before a jury.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

63. The Complaint should be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted pursuant to <u>FRCP 12(b)(6)</u> and for Plaintiff's failure to properly serve the Summons and Complaint in the manner provided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the New York Civil Law and Practice Law and Rules.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

64. Plaintiff's claims may be barred in whole or in part by waiver, estoppel and/or the doctrine of laches.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

65. Plaintiff's claims may be illegal or in violation of public policy.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

66. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of unclean hands.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

