

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

HACHETTE BOOK GROUP, INC.,
HARPERCOLLINS PUBLISHERS LLC,
JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC., and
PENGUIN RANDOM HOUSE LLC,
Plaintiffs,

v.

INTERNET ARCHIVE and DOES 1 through
5, inclusive,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:20-CV-04160-JGK

[PROPOSED]
**AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW PROFESSORS AS
AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**

Rebecca Tushnet
rtushnet@law.harvard.edu
520 Hauser, Harvard Law School
1575 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02130
Telephone: 703-593-6759

Attorney for Amici Curiae

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ii

IDENTITY OF AMICI CURIAE AND INTEREST IN THIS CASE1

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT1

I. Nonprofit Library Lending Is a Favored Noncommercial Use.2

**II. Nonprofit Library Lending Is Presumptively Not Harmful To Markets
in Which the Copyright Owner Has a Legitimate Interest.**.....8

CONCLUSION.....16

APPENDIX17

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Constitutional Provisions

Art. I, sec. 1, cl. 81

Cases

American Geophysical Union v. Texaco Inc., 60 F.3d 913 (2d Cir.1994).....3

American Society for Testing & Materials v. Public.Resource.Org, Inc., --- F. Supp. 3d --, 2022 WL 971735 (D.D.C. Mar. 31, 2022)9, 12

Arica Institute, Inc. v. Palmer, 970 F.2d 1067 (2d Cir. 1992).....10

Ass’n of Am. Med. Colls. v. Cuomo, 928 F.2d 519 (2d Cir. 1991)9

Authors Guild v. Google, Inc., 804 F.3d 202 (2d Cir. 2015).....12

Authors Guild, Inc. v. HathiTrust, 755 F.3d 87 (2d Cir. 2014).....12

Bill Graham Archives v. Dorling Kindersley Ltd., 448 F.3d 605 (2d Cir. 2006).....8

Blanch v. Koons, 467 F.3d 244 (2d Cir. 2006)4, 10

Cambridge University Press v. Patton, 769 F.3d 1232 (11th Cir. 2014).. 1, 3, 6, 11, 12

Campbell v. Acuff-Rose, 510 U.S. 569 (1994).....8

Eldred v. Ashcroft, 537 U.S. 186 (2003)6

Fox Broad. Co. v. Dish Network L.L.C., 747 F.3d 1060 (9th Cir. 2014)9

Google v. Oracle, 141 S. Ct. 1183 (2021); 3, 10, 11, 13

Harbus v. Manhattan Institute for Policy Research, Inc., 2020 WL 1990866 (SD.N.Y. Apr. 27, 2020)4

Kelly v. Arriba Soft Corp., 336 F.3d 811 (9th Cir. 2003).....8, 10

Lewis Galoob Toys, Inc. v. Nintendo of America, Inc., 780 F. Supp. 1283 (N.D. Cal. 1991)11

..

Lewis Galoob Toys, Inc. v. Nintendo of America, Inc., 964 F.2d 965 (9th Cir. 1992)11

National Rifle Ass’n of Am. v. Handgun Control Fed’n of Ohio, 15 F.3d 559 (6th Cir. 1994).....4, 9

Núñez v. Caribbean Intern. News Corp., 235 F.3d 18 (1st Cir. 2000).....10

Peterman v. Republican National Comm., 369 F. Supp. 3d 1053 (D. Mont. 2019) 6-7

Philpot v. Media Research Center Inc., 279 F.Supp.3d 708 (E.D. Va. 2018)5

Princeton Univ. Press v. Mich. Document Servs., Inc., 99 F.3d 1381 (6th Cir. 1996).....9

Sony Corp. o Universal City Studios, Inc., 464 U.S. 417 (1984)6, 8

Swatch Grp. Mgmt. Servs. Ltd. v. Bloomberg L.P., 756 F.3d 73 (2d Cir.2014) 8, 10,

Walsh v. Townsquare Media, Inc., 464 F. Supp. 3d 570 (S.D.N.Y. 2020)11

Williams & Wilkins Co. v. United States, 420 U.S. 376 (1975)5

Williams & Wilkins Co. v. United States, 487 F.2d 1345 (Ct. Cl. 1973).....5

Statutes

17 U.S.C. §1071

17 U.S.C. §1082

17 U.S.C. §1092

17 U.S.C. §1102

17 U.S.C. §1112

17 U.S.C. §1122

17 U.S.C. §1182

...

17 U.S.C. §1212
 17 U.S.C. §121A.....2

Other Authorities

Dan Ariely, *Predictably Irrational* 65 (2009)9
 Jack M. Balkin, *Digital Speech and Democratic Culture: A Theory of Freedom of Expression for the Information Society*, 79 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 1 (2004)..... 3-4
 Mark Bartholomew & John Tehranian, *An Intersystemic View of Intellectual Property and Free Speech*, 81 George Washington Law Review 1, 22 (2013)7
 Barton Beebe, *An Empirical Study of U.S. Copyright Fair Use Opinions, 1978-2005*, 156 U. Pa. L. Rev. 549, 602-03 (2008)5
 Yochai Benkler, *Through the Looking Glass: Alice and the Constitutional Foundations of the Public Domain*, Law & Contemp. Probs. Winter/Spring 2003, at 1733
 Black’s Law Dictionary (10th ed. 2014)7
 Julie E. Cohen, *Copyright and the Perfect Curve*, 53 Vand. L. Rev. 1799, 1816 (2000).....5
 Jennifer Femminella, *Online Terms and Conditions Agreements: Bound by the Web*, 17 St. John’s J. Legal Comment. 87, 115–18 (2003).....13
 Laura N. Gasaway, *The New Access Right and Its Impact on Libraries and Library Users*, 10 J. Intell. Prop. L. 269, 299 (2003).....13
 Paul Goldstein, *Copyright’s Highway: From Gutenberg To The Celestial Jukebox*, 153-54 (rev. ed. 2003)4
 Henry B. Hansmann, *The Role of the Nonprofit Enterprise*, 89 Yale L.J. 835, 843-45 (1979).....2
 Lexabear, *A Deadly Education: the “dreadlocks” reference has been updated / authorial ability to update books due to modern technology*, Reddit.com, https://www.reddit.com/r/Fantasy/comments/leattu/a_deadly_education_the_dreadlocks_reference_has/.....15

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.