

Sheehan & Associates, P.C.  
Great Neck NY 11021-3104  
Telephone: (516) 303-0552

United States District Court  
Southern District of New York

1:20-cv-07283

Kelvin Brown, individually and on behalf of  
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

Kellogg Sales Company,

Defendant

Class Action Complaint

Plaintiff by attorneys allege upon information and belief, except for allegations pertaining to plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

1. Kellogg Sales Company (“defendant”) manufactures, distributes, markets, labels and sells toaster pastries under its “Pop-Tarts” brand (“Product”).
2. The Product is available to consumers from retail and online stores of third-parties and is sold in various sizes and quantities.
3. The representations include “Frosted Strawberry,” half of a fresh strawberry and a picture of the Product with its dark red fruit filling.



4. The representations are misleading because the label gives consumers the impression the fruit filling only contains strawberries as its fruit ingredient.

5. Consumers do not expect a food labeled with the unqualified term “Strawberry” to contain fruit filling ingredients other than strawberry, and certainly do not expect pears and apples, as indicated on the back of the box ingredient list.

**Ingredients:** Enriched flour (wheat flour, niacin, reduced iron, vitamin B<sub>1</sub> [thiamin mononitrate], vitamin B<sub>2</sub> [riboflavin], folic acid), corn syrup, high fructose corn syrup, dextrose, soybean and palm oil (with TBHQ for freshness), sugar, bleached wheat flour.

Contains 2% or less of wheat starch, salt, dried strawberries, dried pears, dried apples, leavening (baking soda, sodium acid pyrophosphate, monocalcium phosphate), citric acid, gelatin, modified wheat starch, yellow corn flour, caramel color, xanthan gum, cornstarch, turmeric extract color, soy lecithin, red 40, yellow 6, blue 1, color added.

Ingredients: Enriched flour (wheat flour, niacin, reduced iron, vitamin B<sub>1</sub> [thiamin mononitrate], vitamin B<sub>2</sub> [riboflavin], folic acid), corn syrup, high fructose corn syrup, dextrose, soybean and palm oil (with TBHQ for freshness), sugar, bleached wheat flour.

Contains 2% or less of wheat starch, salt, dried strawberries, dried pears, dried apples, leavening (baking soda, sodium acid pyrophosphate, monocalcium phosphate), citric acid, gelatin, modified wheat starch, yellow corn flour, caramel color, palm oil, xanthan gum, cornstarch, turmeric extract color, soy lecithin, red 40, yellow 6, blue 1, color added.

6. The Product’s name “Frosted Strawberry,” is misleading because it “includes or suggests the name of one [strawberries] or more but not all [pears and apples] such ingredients, even though the names of all such ingredients are stated elsewhere in the labeling.” 21 C.F.R. § 101.18(b).<sup>1</sup>

7. Contrary to the legal requirements to prevent consumer deception, the Product’s name – “Frosted Strawberry” – fails to disclose the percentage of the characterizing ingredient of strawberries in the Product:

The common or usual name of a food shall include the percentage(s) of any characterizing ingredient(s) or component(s) when the proportion of such ingredient(s) or component(s) in the food has a material bearing on price or consumer acceptance or when the labeling or the appearance of the food may otherwise create an erroneous impression that such ingredient(s) or component(s) is present in an amount greater than is actually the case.

21 C.F.R. § 102.5(b).

8. Under 21 C.F.R. § 102.5(b), strawberries are the “characterizing ingredient” of the Product because their proportion has a material bearing on price and consumer acceptance.

9. Under 21 C.F.R. § 102.5(b), strawberries are the “characterizing ingredient” of the Product because the labeling creates an erroneous impression that strawberries are present in an amount greater than is actually the case.

10. The Product also contains red 40, a food coloring which increases the redness of the filling, as seen on the front label.

11. This gives the consumer the impression that the Product contains more strawberry ingredient than it does and only contains strawberry as its fruit filling ingredient.

12. Defendant’s branding and packaging of the Product is designed to – and does –

---

<sup>1</sup> New York State has adopted all federal regulations for food labeling through its Agriculture and Markets Law (“AGM”) and accompanying regulations. See Title 1, Official Compilation of Codes, Rules and Regulations of the State of New York (“NYCRR”).

deceive, mislead, and defraud plaintiff and consumers.

13. Defendant sold more of the Product and at higher prices than it would have in the absence of this misconduct, resulting in additional profits at the expense of consumers like plaintiff.

14. The value of the Product that plaintiff purchased and consumed was materially less than its value as represented by defendant.

15. Had plaintiff and class members known the truth, they would not have bought the Product or would have paid less for them.

16. As a result of the false and misleading labeling, the Product is an sold at a premium price, approximately no less than \$7.19 for 16 Pop-Tarts (54.1 OZ), excluding tax, compared to other similar products represented in a non-misleading way, and higher than the price of the Product if it were represented in a non-misleading way.

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

17. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 (“CAFA”). 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)

18. Under CAFA, district courts have “original federal jurisdiction over class actions involving (1) an aggregate amount in controversy of at least \$5,000,000; and (2) minimal diversity[.]” *Gold v. New York Life Ins. Co.*, 730 F.3d 137, 141 (2d Cir. 2013).

19. Plaintiff Kelvin Brown is a citizen of New York.

20. Defendant Kellogg Sales Company, is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Battle Creek, Calhoun County, Michigan and at least one member of defendant is a citizen of Michigan.

21. “Minimal diversity” exists because plaintiff Kelvin Brown and defendant are citizens

of different states.

22. Upon information and belief, sales of the Product in New York exceed \$5 million per year, exclusive of interest and costs.

23. Venue is proper in this judicial district because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District, *viz*, the decision of plaintiff to purchase the Product and the misleading representations and/or their recognition as such.

24. This court has personal jurisdiction over defendant because it conducts and transacts business, contracts to supply and supplies goods within New York.

#### Parties

25. Plaintiff is a citizen of Bronx, Bronx County, New York.

26. Defendant Kellogg Sales Company is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Battle Creek, Michigan, Calhoun County and is a citizen of Michigan and Delaware.

27. During the relevant statutes of limitations, plaintiff purchased the Product within his district and/or State for personal and household consumption and/or use in reliance on the representations of the Product.

28. Plaintiff Kelvin Brown purchased the Product on one or more occasions, during the relevant period, at stores including but not necessarily limited to, ShopRite, 1994 Bruckner Blvd, Bronx, NY, in or around April 19, 2020.

29. Plaintiff bought the Product at or exceeding the above-referenced prices because he liked the product for its intended use, expected it to contain only strawberries as its fruit filling ingredients due to the product name, coupled with the dark red color of the food in the label image.

30. Plaintiff was deceived by and relied upon the Product's deceptive labeling.

31. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Product in the absence of Defendant's misrepresentations and omissions.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.