

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

RURAL & MIGRANT MINISTRY, ALIANZA NACIONAL DE CAMPESINAS, EL COMITE DE APOYO A LOS TRABAJADORES AGRÍCOLAS, FARMWORKER ASSOCIATION OF FLORIDA, MIGRANT CLINICIANS NETWORK, PINEROS Y CAMPESINOS UNIDOS DEL NOROESTE, RURAL COALITION, UNITED FARM WORKERS, and UNITED FARM WORKERS FOUNDATION,

Plaintiffs,

-v-

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY and ANDREW WHEELER, in his official capacity as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency,

Defendants.

20 Civ. 10645 (LJL)

[rel. 20 Civ. 10642 (LJL)]

**EPA'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR A
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND EXTENSION OF STAY**

AUDREY STRAUSS
Acting United States Attorney for the
Southern District of New York
86 Chambers Street, 3rd Floor
New York, New York 10007
Tel.: (212) 637-2677
E-mail: samuel.dolinger@usdoj.gov

SAMUEL DOLINGER
Assistant United States Attorney
– Of Counsel –

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT 1

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY BACKGROUND.....3

 A. Regulation of Pesticides Under FIFRA3

 B. The FIFRA Worker Protection Standard4

 1. The 1992 WPS and the “Do Not Contact” Provision5

 2. The 2015 Rule Revising the WPS5

 3. Response to the 2015 Rule from State Pesticide Regulators,
Farming-Related Organizations, and Others.....7

 4. The Pesticide Registration Improvement Extension Act10

 5. EPA’s 2020 Rule Modifying the AEZ Provisions of the 2015 WPS10

ARGUMENT12

I. LEGAL STANDARDS FOR THE ISSUANCE OF INJUNCTIVE RELIEF12

II. THE COURT SHOULD DENY PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION FOR A
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND TO STAY THE EFFECTIVENESS OF
EPA’S 2020 RULE, AND INSTEAD SHOULD TERMINATE THE STAY.....13

 A. Plaintiffs Are Unlikely to Succeed on the Merits of Their FIFRA Claim13

 1. The 2020 Rule’s AEZ Modification Is Consistent with FIFRA14

 2. EPA’s Interpretation of FIFRA Is Entitled to *Chevron* Deference.....17

 3. EPA’s Modification of the AEZ in the 2020 Rule Is Not Arbitrary
or Capricious18

 (a) EPA Appropriately Explained the Basis for Its Change in
Position19

 (b) EPA’s Cost Analysis Was Also Appropriate.....24

 B. Plaintiffs Have Not Established Irreparable Harm or Established Standing27

 C. The Balance of the Equities and the Public Interest Do Not Favor the
Grant of Preliminary Relief28

III.	ANY FURTHER RELIEF AS TO THE 2020 RULE SHOULD BE APPROPRIATELY TAILORED, BOTH AS TO SPECIFIC PROVISIONS OF THE 2020 RULE AND AS TO THE INJURIES DEMONSTRATED BY PLAINTIFFS	30
A.	Any Injunctive Relief Should Only Apply to Specific Provisions of the 2020 Rule as to Which Plaintiffs Have Met the Relevant Injunctive Standards.....	30
B.	Nationwide Relief Is Inappropriate Here; Any Further Injunctive Relief Should be Narrow, and Apply Only to Specific Harms Alleged by Plaintiffs.....	32
	CONCLUSION.....	35

..

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Federal Cases

<i>Able v. United States</i> , 44 F.3d 128 (2d Cir. 1995)	13
<i>Allied-Signal, Inc. v. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm’n</i> , 988 F.2d 146 (D.C. Cir. 1993)	34
<i>Am. Trucking Ass’ns, Inc. v. Fed. Motor Carrier Safety Admin.</i> , 724 F.3d 243 (D.C. Cir. 2013)	25
<i>Bowen v. Massachusetts</i> , 487 U.S. 879 (1988)	26
<i>California v. Azar</i> , 911 F.3d 558 (9th Cir. 2018)	32, 33, 35
<i>Carlson v. Postal Regulatory Comm’n</i> , 938 F.3d 337 (D.C. Cir. 2019)	32
<i>Casa de Maryland, Inc. v. Wolf</i> , — F. Supp. 3d —, No. 20 Civ. 2118, 2020 WL 5500165 (D. Md. Sept. 11, 2020).....	32, 35
<i>Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. NRDC</i> , 467 U.S. 837 (1984)	17, 18
<i>Clean Water Action v. EPA</i> , 936 F.3d 308 (5th Cir. 2019)	21
<i>Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Peck</i> , 751 F.2d 1336 (D.C. Cir. 1985)	25
<i>Def. of Wildlife v. Adm’r</i> , 882 F.2d 1294 (8th Cir. 1989)	13, 26
<i>Dep’t of Commerce v. New York</i> , 139 S. Ct. 2551 (2019).....	28
<i>District of Columbia v. U.S. Dep’t of Agric.</i> , 444 F. Supp. 3d 1 (D.D.C. 2020)	35
<i>E. Air Lines, Inc. v. Civil Aeronautics Bd.</i> , 261 F.2d 830 (2d Cir. 1958)	13, 32
<i>E. Bay Sanctuary Covenant v. Barr</i> , 934 F.3d 1026 (9th Cir. 2019)	33
<i>FCC v. Fox Television Stations, Inc.</i> , 556 U.S. 502 (2009)	19, 20, 21

...

<i>Freedom Holdings, Inc. v. Spitzer</i> , 408 F.3d 112 (2d Cir. 2005)	27
<i>Fund for Animals v. Kempthorne</i> , 538 F.3d 124 (2d Cir. 2008)	19
<i>Gill v. Whitford</i> , 138 S. Ct. 1916 (2018)	32, 35
<i>L.A. Haven Hospice, Inc. v. Sebelius</i> , 638 F.3d 644 (9th Cir. 2011)	32
<i>Madsen v. Women’s Health Ctr.</i> , 512 U.S. 753 (1994)	32
<i>Martex Farms, S.E. v. EPA</i> , 559 F.3d 29 (1st Cir. 2009)	4
<i>Mass. Fair Hous. Ctr. v. HUD</i> , No. 20 Civ. 11765, 2020 WL 6390143 (D. Mass. Oct. 25, 2020)	34
<i>Mazurek v. Armstrong</i> , 520 U.S. 968 (1997)	12, 30
<i>MD/DC/DE Broadcasters Ass’n v. FCC</i> , 236 F.3d 13 (D.C. Cir. 2001).....	31, 32
<i>Motor Vehicle Mfrs. Ass’n of U.S., Inc. v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.</i> , 463 U.S. 29 (1983)	19
<i>Munaf v. Geren</i> , 553 U.S. 674 (2008)	12
<i>Nat’l Ass’n of Home Builders v. EPA</i> , 682 F.3d 1032 (D.C. Cir. 2012)	21, 25
<i>Nat’l Cable & Telecom. Ass’n v. Brand X Internet Servs.</i> , 545 U.S. 967 (2005)	18
<i>Nat’l Wildlife Fed’n v. EPA</i> , 286 F.3d 554 (D.C. Cir. 2002)	25
<i>New York v. DHS</i> , 969 F.3d 42 (2d Cir. 2020)	<i>passim</i>
<i>New York v. U.S. Dep’t of Educ.</i> , No. 20 Civ. 4260 (JGK), 2020 WL 4581595 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 9, 2020)	13
<i>NRDC v. EPA</i> , 676 F. Supp. 2d 307 (S.D.N.Y. 2009)	13, 34

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.