Richard Best
Kristina Littman
Preethi Krishnamurthy
Jorge G. Tenreiro
Dugan Bliss
John O. Enright
Daphna A. Waxman
Jon A. Daniels
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
New York Regional Office
200 Vesey Street, Suite 400
New York, New York 10281-1022
(212) 336-9145 (Tenreiro)
Email: TenreiroJ@sec.gov

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, :

Plaintiff, : 20 Civ. 10823

: ECF Case

- against - : ECF Case

RIPPLE LABS, INC., BRADLEY GARLINGHOUSE, : Complaint

and CHRISTIAN A. LARSEN, : Jury Trial Demanded

Defendants.

-----

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), for its Complaint against Defendants Ripple Labs, Inc. ("Ripple"), Bradley Garlinghouse ("Garlinghouse"), and Christian A. Larsen ("Larsen" and, with Ripple and Garlinghouse, "Defendants"), alleges as follows:

### **SUMMARY**

1. From at least 2013 through the present, Defendants sold over 14.6 billion units of a digital asset security called "XRP," in return for cash or other consideration worth over \$1.38 billion U.S. Dollars ("USD"), to fund Ripple's operations and enrich Larsen and Garlinghouse. Defendants undertook this distribution without registering their offers and sales of XRP with the SEC as required by the federal securities laws, and no exemption from this requirement applied.



- 2. Because Ripple never filed a registration statement, it never provided investors with the material information that every year hundreds of other issuers include in such statements when soliciting public investment. Instead, Ripple created an information vacuum such that Ripple and the two insiders with the most control over it—Larsen and Garlinghouse—could sell XRP into a market that possessed only the information Defendants chose to share about Ripple and XRP.
- 3. Ripple engaged in this illegal securities offering from 2013 to the present, even though Ripple received legal advice as early as 20120 that under certain circumstances XRP could be considered an "investment contract" and therefore a security under the federal securities laws.
- 4. Ripple and Larsen ignored this advice and instead elected to assume the risk of initiating a large-scale distribution of XRP without registration.
- 5. From a financial perspective, the strategy worked. Over a years-long unregistered offering of securities (the "Offering"), Ripple was able to raise at least \$1.38 billion by selling XRP without providing the type of financial and managerial information typically provided in registration statements and subsequent periodic and current filings. Ripple used this money to fund its operations without disclosing how it was doing so, or the full extent of its payments to others to assist in its efforts to develop a "use" for XRP and maintain XRP secondary trading markets.
- 6. Meanwhile, Larsen—Ripple's initial chief executive officer ("CEO") and current chairman of the Board—and Garlinghouse—Ripple's current CEO—orchestrated these unlawful sales and personally profited by approximately \$600 million from their unregistered sales of XRP.
- 7. Garlinghouse did so while repeatedly touting that he was "very long" XRP, meaning he held a significant position he expected to rise in value, without disclosing his sales of XRP.
- 8. Defendants continue to hold substantial amounts of XRP and—with no registration statement in effect—can continue to monetize their XRP while using the information asymmetry they created in the market for their own gain, creating substantial risk to investors.



### **VIOLATIONS**

- 9. By engaging in the conduct set forth in this Complaint, Defendants engaged in and are currently engaging in the unlawful offer and sale of securities in violation of Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and 77e(c)], and Larsen and Garlinghouse also aided and abetted Ripple's violations of those provisions.
- 10. Unless Defendants are permanently restrained and enjoined, they will continue to engage in the acts, practices, and courses of business set forth in this Complaint and in acts, practices, and courses of business of similar type and object.

### NATURE OF THE PROCEEDING AND RELIEF SOUGHT

- 11. The Commission brings this action pursuant to the authority conferred upon it by Section 20(b) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(b)].
- 12. The Commission seeks a final judgment: (a) permanently enjoining Defendants from violating Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act, pursuant to Section 20(b) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(b)]; (b) pursuant to Section 21(d)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), (i) ordering Defendants to disgorge their ill-gotten gains and to pay prejudgment interest thereon and (ii) prohibiting Defendants from participating in any offering of digital asset securities; and (c) imposing civil money penalties on Defendants pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C § 77t(d)].

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 13. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)].
- 14. Defendants, directly or indirectly, have made use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails in connection with the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business alleged herein.



15. Venue is proper in the Southern District of New York pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)]. Among other acts, Ripple has an office in this District.

Garlinghouse made certain statements at issue in this case while physically present in this District.

All Defendants sold or orchestrated sales of XRP to purchasers residing in this District and enlisted entities domiciled in this District to sell the securities at issue in this case.

### **DEFENDANTS**

- 16. **Ripple**, f/k/a Open Coin, Inc., is a Delaware corporation founded in September 2012, with its principal place of business in San Francisco, California, and an office in Manhattan.
- 17. **Garlinghouse**, age 49, is a California resident who was Ripple's chief operating officer ("COO") from April 2015 through December 2016, and who has served as its CEO from January 2017 to the present.
- 18. **Larsen**, age 60, is a California resident who co-founded Ripple and served as its CEO from September 2012 through December 2016, and who today serves as executive chairman of Ripple's Board of Directors. Larsen received nine billion XRP shortly after Ripple's founding. In 2005 Larsen co-founded, and through 2011 served as the CEO of, a company sued by the SEC in November 2008 for violating Sections 5(a) and (c) of the Securities Act.

### RELATED ENTITY AND INDIVIDUALS

19. **XRP II, LLC,** f/k/a XRP Fund, LLC ("XRP II"), is Ripple's wholly-owned subsidiary. It was founded in approximately 2013, has been organized as a New York limited liability company since at least 2015, and is the entity through which Ripple offered and sold most of its XRP in the Offering. XRP II is registered as a money service business with the United States Financial Crimes Enforcement Network ("FinCEN") and as a virtual currency business with the New York State Department of Financial Services ("NYDFS").



- 20. **Co-Founder**, age 45, is a California resident who co-founded Ripple and received nine billion XRP shortly after Ripple's founding.
- 21. **Cryptographer-1**, age 51, is a California resident who served as Ripple's chief cryptographer until July 2018 and is currently Ripple's chief technology officer.
- 22. **Ripple Agent-1**, age 55, is a California resident who co-founded Ripple and received two billion XRP shortly after Ripple's founding.
- 23. **Ripple Agent-2**, age 42, is a Florida resident who served as Ripple's "Head of XRP Markets" from November 2016 through April 2020.
- 24. **Ripple Agent-3,** age 36, is a California resident who served as Ripple's executive vice president of business development from February 2013 to January 2015, and its senior vice president of business development from February 2015 through May 2018.

### STATUTORY AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK

- 25. Congress enacted the Securities Act to regulate the offer and sale of securities. In contrast to ordinary commercial principles of caveat emptor, Congress enacted a regime of full and fair disclosure, requiring a company (an issuer) and its control persons who offer and sell securities to the investing public to provide sufficient, accurate information to allow investors to make informed decisions before they invest.
- 26. Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act require that an issuer of securities like Ripple, and its control persons and affiliates like Larsen and Garlinghouse, register offers and sales of those securities with the SEC when they offer and sell securities to the public, absent certain exemptions that do not apply to Defendants' transactions. Registration statements relating to an offering of securities thus provide public investors with material information about the issuer and the offering, including financial and managerial information, how the issuer will use offering proceeds, and the risks and trends that affect the enterprise and an investment in its securities.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

