

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

RIPPLE LABS, INC., BRADLEY GARLINGHOUSE,
and CHRISTIAN A. LARSEN

Defendants,

JORDAN DEATON, JAMES LAMONTE,
TYLER LAMONTE, MYA LAMONTE,
MITCHELL MCKENNA, KRISTIANA WARNER and
ALL SIMILARLY SITUATED XRP HOLDERS,

Proposed
Intervenors.

**MEMORANDUM OF
LAW IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION TO
INTERVENE
PURSUANT TO
FEDERAL RULES OF
CIVIL PROCEDURE 24**

20-cv-10832 (AT)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Section | Page |
|--|-------------|
| TABLE OF AUTHORITIES..... | iii |
| PRELIMINARY STATEMENT..... | 1 |
| STATEMENT OF FACTS | 2 |
| I. Government Agencies Recognize XRP as a Currency..... | 2 |
| II. The Securities and Exchange Commission..... | 3 |
| III. XRP Holders Contribute to the XRP Ecosystem..... | 5 |
| IV. The SEC Complaint Charges Ripple but Attacks XRP and XRP Holders..... | 7 |
| V. XRP Holders Take Action..... | 9 |
| LEGAL STANDARD..... | 10 |
| ARGUMENT..... | 11 |
| I. Intervention in SEC Enforcement Action is Permitted..... | 11 |
| II. XRP Holders Should be Granted Intervention as of Right..... | 13 |
| A. The Motion is Timely..... | 13 |
| i. XRP Holders Took Immediate Action to Protect Their Interests..... | 13 |
| ii. There is No Prejudice to Existing Parties Resulting From Delay..... | 14 |
| iii. XRP Holders Would Be Prejudiced By a Denial of the Motion | 15 |
| iv. Circumstances Favoring Intervention..... | 15 |
| B. XRP Holders Have a Protectable Interest in the Property Subject to This Action..... | 15 |
| C. Without Intervention, XRP Holders’ Interest May Be Impeded by the Disposition of This Case..... | 22 |
| D. XRP Holders’ Interests Are Not Adequately Represented..... | 24 |

| | |
|---|----|
| i. The SEC Does Not Adequately Represent The Interest of XRP Holders..... | 24 |
| ii. Defendants Do Not Adequately represent The Interests of XRP Holders..... | 26 |
| III. Alternatively, XRP Holders Should be Granted Permissive Intervention..... | 26 |
| A. Common Questions of Law and Fact Favor Intervention..... | 27 |
| B. Intervention Does Not Prejudice Existing Parties..... | 28 |
| IV. Due Process and Fundamental Fairness Favor Intervention..... | 29 |
| CONCLUSION..... | 30 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| Cases | Page |
|---|--------|
| <i>Brennan v. NYC Bd. Of Educ.</i> , 260 F.3d 123 (2d Cir. 2001) | 11, 15 |
| <i>Butler, Fitzgerald & Potter v. Sequa Corp.</i> , 250 F.3d 171 (2d Cir. 2001)..... | 24 |
| <i>Cole Mech. Corp. v. Nat’l Grange Mut. Ins. Co.</i> , No. 06 CIV. 2875 LAK HBP, 2207 WL 2593000 (S.D.N.Y., Sept. 7, 2007)..... | 10 |
| <i>In re Holocaust Victim Assets Litig.</i> , (“HVAL”) 225 F.3d 191, 197 (2d Cir. 2000)..... | 13, 14 |
| <i>In re Reyes</i> , No. 19 CIV. 7219, 2019 WL 6170901 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 20, 2019) (Torres, A.)..... | 10, 27 |
| <i>Int’l Design Concepts, LLC v. Saks, Inc.</i> , 486 F.Supp.2d 229 (S.D.N.Y. 2007)..... | 14 |
| <i>MasterCard Int’l Inc. v. Visa Int’l Serv. Assoc., Inc.</i> , 471 F.3d 377 (2d Cir. 2006)..... | 10, 13 |
| <i>Peterson v. Islamic Republic of Iran</i> , 290 F.R.D. 54 (S.D.N.Y. 2013)..... | 27 |
| <i>R Best Produce, Inc. v. Shulman-Rabin Marketing Corp.</i> , 467 F.3d 238 (2d Cir. 2006)..... | 10 |
| <i>Yang v. Kellner</i> , No. 20 CIV. 3325, 2020 WL 2115412 (S.D.N.Y. May 3, 2020) (Torres, A.)..... | 9 |
| <i>SEC v. Caledonian Bank, Ltd.</i> , 317 F.R.D. 358 (S.D.N.Y. 2016)..... | 11 |
| <i>S.E.C. v. Credit Bancorp, Ltd.</i> , 194 F.R.D. 457 (S.D.N.Y. 2000)..... | 12 |
| <i>SEC v. Shavers</i> , 4:13-cv-00416, 2013 WL 4028182, at *2 (E.D. Tex., Aug. 6, 2013)..... | 20 |

SEC v Telegram Grp, Inc.,
2020 US Dist WL 1547383 [SDNY Apr. 1, 2020, No. 19-cv-9439 (PKC)]..... 15

SEC v. Telegram Grp. Inc.,
448 F. Supp. 3d 352 (S.D.N.Y. 2020).....17

SEC v W.J. Howey,
328 U.S. 293, 298-99 (1946).....15

Upton v. SEC,
75 F. 3d 92, 97-98 (2nd Circuit 1996).....30

U.S. v. Harmon,
No. 1:19-cr-00395-BAH, Doc. 59 (District of Columbia Jul. 24, 2020)..... 19, 20

U.S. v. Faiella,
39 F. Supp. 3d 544, 547 (S.D.N.Y. 2014).....20

United States v. Murgio,
209 F. Supp. 3d 698, 707 (S.D.N.Y. 2016).....21

United States v. Ologeam,
No. 5:18-cr-81, 2020 WL 1676802 (E.D. Ky. Apr. 4, 2020).....21

United States v. Ulbricht,
31 F. Supp. 3d 540, 570 (S.D.N.Y. 2014).....21

Rules

FRCP Rule 24(a).....10, 13, 30

FRCP Rule 24(a)(2).....15

FRCP Rule 24(b)..... 10, 27, 30

Rule III(A), Individual Practices in Civil Cases,
Analisa Torres, U.S. Dist. J. S.D.N.Y. (rev. Jan. 21, 2020).....13

Other Authorities

Realizing the Future of the Blockchain Economy With Signum Capital,
Asia Blockchain Review, July 21, 2019.....2

Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System,
Satoshi Nakamoto (2008).21

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.