

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

-against-

RIPPLE LABS INC., BRADLEY
GARLINGHOUSE, and CHRISTIAN A.
LARSEN,

Defendants.

20 Civ. 10832 (AT) (SN)

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

**REPLY MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT BRADLEY
GARLINGHOUSE'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE AMENDED COMPLAINT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	1
ARGUMENT	3
I. THE SEC HAS NOT PLEADED AND CANNOT PLEAD SCIENTER	3
A. The SEC Mischaracterizes Mr. Garlinghouse’s Arguments and Misstates the Legal Standard for Scienter	3
B. The AC Does Not and Cannot Plausibly Allege That Mr. Garlinghouse Recklessly Disregarded that Ripple’s Sales and Offers of XRP Were Improper	5
II. The SEC Does Not Allege that Mr. Garlinghouse’s Personal Offers or Sales of XRP Occurred in the United States	9
A. Morrison Applies to the SEC’s Section 5 Claims	9
B. Regulation S Does Not Supplant Morrison	10
C. Section 5 “Focuses” on Domestic Sales and Offers for the Purpose of the Presumption Against Extraterritoriality.....	11
D. The AC Fails To Plead Any Domestic Offers or Sales under Section 5	13
CONCLUSION.....	15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Cases**

<i>Absolute Activist Value Master Fund Ltd. v. Ficeto</i> , 677 F.3d 60 (2d Cir. 2012).....	12-15
<i>Allison v. Ticor Title Ins. Co.</i> , 907 F.2d 645 (7th Cir. 1990)	12
<i>Biro v. Conde Nast</i> , 807 F.3d 541 (2d Cir. 2015).....	9
<i>Chevron, U.S.A., Inc. v. Nat. Res. Def. Council</i> , 467 U.S. 837 (1984).....	10
<i>ECA & Local 134 IBEW Joint Pension Tr. of Chi. v. JP Morgan Chase Co.</i> , 553 F.3d 187 (2d Cir. 2009).....	8
<i>Ernst & Ernst v. Hochfelder</i> , 425 U.S. 185 (1976).....	10
<i>Eur. & Overseas Commodity Traders, S.A. v. Banque Paribas London</i> , 147 F.3d 118 (2d Cir. 1998).....	11-12
<i>Farmer v. Brennan</i> , 511 U.S. 825 (1994).....	7
<i>Hamlen v. Gateway Energy Servs. Corp.</i> , No. 16 CV 3526 (VB), 2018 WL 1568761 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 29, 2018)	4
<i>In re Aegean Marine Petrol. Network, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 18 Civ. 4993 (NRB), 2021 WL 1178216 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 29, 2021)	5
<i>In re Smart Techs., Inc. S'holder Litig.</i> , 295 F.R.D. 50 (S.D.N.Y. 2013)	10
<i>In re Tezos Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 17-cv-06779-RS, 2018 WL 4293341 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 7, 2018).....	15
<i>Kalnit v. Eichler</i> , 264 F.3d 131 (2d Cir. 2001).....	8

Morrison v. National Australia Bank Ltd.,
561 U.S. 247 (2010)..... passim

Myun-Uk Choi v. Tower Rsch. Cap. LCC,
890 F.3d 60 (2d Cir. 2018).....15

Reilly v. U.S. Physical Therapy, Inc.,
17 Civ. 2347 (NRB), 2018 WL 3559089 (S.D.N.Y. July 23, 2018)8

Schentag v. Nebgen,
No. 1:17-CV-8734-GHW, 2018 WL 3104092 (S.D.N.Y. June 21, 2018)10

SEC v. Bio Def. Corp.,
No. 12-11669-DPW, 2019 WL 7578525 (D. Mass. Sept. 6, 2019).....10

SEC v. Cavanagh,
155 F.3d 129 (2d Cir. 1998).....12

SEC v. Espuelas,
905 F. Supp. 2d 507 (S.D.N.Y. 2012).....5

SEC v. Falstaff,
629 F.2d 62 (D.C. Cir. 1980).....5

SEC v. Hurgin,
484 F. Supp. 3d 98 (S.D.N.Y. 2020).....4

SEC v. Mattessich,
18 Civ. 5884 (KPF), 2021 WL 797669 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 1, 2021)5

SEC v. Morrone,
997 F.3d 52 (1st Cir. 2021).....5

SEC v. Paulsen,
No. 18 Civ. 6718 (PGG), 2020 WL 1911208 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 18, 2020)4

SEC v. Revelation Cap. Mgm't,
246 F. Supp. 3d 947 (S.D.N.Y. 2017).....10

SEC v. Telegram Grp. Inc.,
No. 19-cv-9439 (PKC), 2020 WL 1547383 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 1, 2020).....14

SEC v. W.J. Howey Co.,
328 U.S. 293 (1946).....7

SEC v. Yorkville Advisors, LLC,
305 F. Supp. 3d 486 (S.D.N.Y. 2018).....4

Sinva, Inc. v. Merrill, Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.,
253 F. Supp. 359 (S.D.N.Y. 1966)6

United States v. Brown,
578 F.2d 1280 (9th Cir. 1978)5

United States v. Leonard,
529 F.3d 83 (2d Cir. 2008).....5

United States v. Vilar,
729 F.3d 62 (2d Cir. 2013)..... 14-15

Whiteside v. Hover-Davis, Inc.,
995 F.3d 315 (2d Cir. 2021).....9

Wyche v. Advanced Drainage Sys., Inc.,
710 F. App'x 471 (2d Cir. 2017)8

Statutes

15 U.S.C. § 77a *et seq.*..... 3, 9-10, 12

15 U.S.C. § 77e..... passim

15 U.S.C. § 77o(b)4

15 U.S.C. § 78a *et seq.*.....10, 12

15 U.S.C. § 7001 *et seq.*.....14

Rules

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6)..... 1

Other Authorities

17 C.F.R. § 230.901 10-11

17 C.F.R. § 230.903 11

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.