UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

-against-

RIPPLE LABS, INC., BRADLEY GARLINGHOUSE, and CHRISTIAN A. LARSEN,

Defendants.

ANALISA TORRES, District Judge:

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 3/11/2022

20 Civ. 10832 (AT) (SN)

**ORDER** 

Plaintiff, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), brings this action against Defendants Ripple Labs, Inc. ("Ripple"), and two of its senior leaders, Bradley Garlinghouse and Christian A. Larsen, alleging that Defendants engaged in the unlawful offer and sale of securities in violation of Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Section 5" of the "Securities Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and (c). *See* Amend. Compl. ¶ 9, ECF No. 46. The SEC also alleges that Garlinghouse and Larsen (together, the "Individual Defendants") aided and abetted Ripple's Section 5 violations. *Id.* The Individual Defendants move separately under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) to dismiss Plaintiff's complaint for failure to state a claim. Larsen Mot., ECF No. 105; Garlinghouse Mot., ECF No. 110. For the reasons stated below, the Individual Defendants' motions are DENIED.

### **BACKGROUND**

The following facts are taken from the amended complaint and "are presumed to be true" for the purpose of considering the Individual Defendants' motions to dismiss for failure to state a claim. *Fin. Guar. Ins. Co. v. Putnam Advisory Co., LLC*, 783 F.3d 395, 398 (2d Cir. 2015).

The SEC alleges that, from 2013 to the filing of this action in 2020, Ripple violated Section 5 by selling XRP—which the SEC claims is an "investment contract" for which



registration is required—without filing a registration statement. *See* Amend. Compl. ¶¶ 4, 9, 60, 230–31, 241–42, 289–94, 392–93. The SEC contends that Ripple and its executives promoted XRP as an investment into a common enterprise that would increase in value and price based on Ripple's efforts. *See*, *e.g.*, *id*. ¶¶ 104, 111, 230–57, 294. For the purposes of these motions, the Individual Defendants do not contest that the SEC's allegations plausibly show that Ripple's sale of XRP violated Section 5. *See* Larsen Mem. at 1–2, ECF No. 106; Garlinghouse Mem. at 2, ECF No. 111.

Ripple was founded in 2012 by Larsen and a co-founder (the "Co-Founder"). *See*Amend. Compl. ¶¶ 16, 18, 38. Around the time of Ripple's founding, the Co-Founder began creating the XRP Ledger, a software code that "operates as a peer-to-peer database, spread across a network of computers, that records data respecting transactions, among other things." *Id.*¶¶ 38–39. After Ripple's founding, the Co-Founder and others associated with Ripple created a fixed supply of 100 billion XRP, *id.* ¶ 45, "a digital asset and the native token on the XRP Ledger," *id.* ¶ 48.

Larsen served as Ripple's Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") from September 2012 through December 2016. *Id.* ¶ 18. When Larsen was hired, Ripple was intended to "continue the XRP Ledger and XRP projects." *Id.* ¶ 42. In April 2015, Garlinghouse joined Ripple as its Chief Operating Officer ("COO"). *Id.* ¶ 17. Then, in January 2017, Garlinghouse took over as CEO, and Larsen began serving as the executive chairman of Ripple's board of directors. *Id.* ¶¶ 17–18, 74.

### I. <u>Larsen as CEO</u>

In 2012, before Ripple began distributing XRP, Larsen and other Ripple executives received two legal memoranda from a law firm. *Id.* ¶¶ 51–52, 56. These memoranda analyzed



the risks associated with Ripple's distribution and monetization of XRP. *Id.* ¶¶ 51–53. The law firm warned that there was some risk XRP would be considered an investment contract by the SEC, and would, therefore, be subject to federal securities laws. *Id.* ¶ 53. Specifically, the memoranda stated that Ripple would face an increased risk of XRP being deemed a security if individuals purchased XRP as a speculative investment, or if Ripple employees promoted the idea that XRP could increase in price. *See id.*; *see also* ECF Nos. 108-1, 108-2. The memoranda also explained that XRP would likely not be classified as currency, Amend. Compl. ¶ 54, an opinion reiterated in a memorandum Ripple's accountants sent to Larsen in 2013, *id.* ¶ 400.

By at least 2013, Larsen was aware of the contents of the memoranda and the possibility that the SEC would consider XRP a security. *See id.* ¶ 56. In in a May 2014 email, Larsen acknowledged that he received a large quantity of XRP because the legal memoranda advised that XRP may be deemed a security, and he was being compensated for "personally assum[ing] th[e] risk" of being classified as an issuer of securities. *Id.* ¶¶ 57–58; *see also* ECF No. 179-3.<sup>2</sup>

From 2013 to 2014, Ripple and Larsen made efforts to create a market for XRP by having Ripple distribute approximately 12.5 billion XRP to programmers through "bounty programs" that paid them for reporting problems in the XRP Ledger's code. Amend. Compl. ¶ 61. Ripple also distributed small amounts of XRP to anonymous developers and others to help establish a trading market for XRP. *Id.* During that time, Ripple began to make public

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Court shall consider the legal memoranda and other such documents relied on in the complaint because they are "integral" to the amended complaint. *See Chambers v. Time Warner, Inc.*, 282 F.3d 147, 153 (2d Cir. 2002); *see also* Amend. Compl. ¶¶ 51−60. But, in doing so, the Court continues to draw all reasonable inferences in the SEC's favor. *See Khoja v. Orexigen Therapeutics, Inc.*, 899 F.3d 988, 1003 (9th Cir. 2018). <sup>2</sup> *See supra* n.1.



statements with respect to XRP that gave investors reason to believe that Ripple's efforts would produce profits. *Id.* ¶¶ 62–64.

In August 2013, Ripple started selling XRP in exchange for fiat currencies and other digital assets, such as bitcoin. *Id.* ¶ 72. Both Ripple and Larsen intended for their distribution of XRP to achieve "[n]etwork [g]rowth" and raise funds for Ripple's operations. *Id.* ¶ 65. As Larsen explained, Ripple was "keeping 25% of . . . XRP . . . to cover the bills, and using the rest of it to incent market makers, gateways, [and] consumers to come onto the protocol." *Id.* ¶ 300. Larsen planned the initial stage of Ripple's XRP offering by approving the timing and amount of the offers and sales to: (1) purchasers in the open market ("Market Sales"); (2) investment funds, wealthy individuals, or other sophisticated investors ("Institutional Sales"); and (3) others enlisted to assist Ripple's efforts to develop an XRP market (the "Other XRP Distributions"). *Id.* ¶ 73; *see also id.* ¶¶ 205, 207. As CEO, Larsen initiated and approved Ripple's Market Sales of XRP. *Id.* ¶ 92; *see also id.* ¶ 100. He had final decision-making authority over which trading venues to use for Market Sales and how much XRP to sell in a particular venue. *Id.* ¶ 98; *see also id.* ¶ 101. And, Larsen strategized with other Ripple employees to adjust their selling plan to "stabilize and/or increase the XRP price." *Id.* ¶ 101; *see also id.* ¶¶ 205–06.

The goal of Ripple's XRP sales was achieving as widespread a distribution of XRP as possible, which was necessary to promote an "aftermarket" of buyers and sellers of XRP. *Id.*¶ 89. In a public interview, Larsen explained that one of Ripple's "key roles is making sure that [Ripple] distribute[s] [XRP] as broadly in a way that adds as much utility and liquidity as [it] possibly can." *Id.* ¶ 265. He stated that he thought the incentives of Ripple and XRP purchasers "are very well aligned" because, "for [Ripple] to do well [it] [has] to do a very good job in



protecting the value of XRP and the value of the network." *Id.* Larsen described protecting the value of XRP as the "guiding principle" of Ripple's distribution. *Id.* 

When Garlinghouse joined Ripple as COO, he began assisting in Ripple's distribution of XRP. He worked with Larsen to coordinate the distribution strategy to increase XRP's price. *See id.* ¶¶ 101, 205, 207, 211. Garlinghouse also began to oversee, direct, and lead Ripple's efforts to make XRP available for purchasers to buy and sell on digital asset trading platforms incorporated in the United States and abroad. *Id.* ¶¶ 154–59. And, he participated in weekly XRP sales meetings where he exercised decision-making authority over the timing and amount of Ripple's XRP sales. *Id.* ¶ 424.

Since at least 2013, Ripple and Larsen tried to make Institutional Sales "to obtain essential funding for Ripple's operations and develop a speculative trading market in XRP." *Id.* ¶ 102; *see also id.* ¶¶ 104, 110–24. Garlinghouse participated in these efforts when he was hired as COO. *See id.* ¶ 110. Larsen and Garlinghouse both played significant roles in negotiating and approving Ripple's Institutional Sales as well as other offers and sales of XRP to institutional investors. *Id.* In 2015, Garlinghouse negotiated an institutional investor's purchase of XRP in connection with the investor's formation of a private investment fund "whose sole purpose would have been to speculate on XRP as an investment." *Id.* ¶ 111. Both Garlinghouse and Larsen received drafts of the potential offering documents for that fund. *Id.* During those negotiations, Larsen received an email from the fund's attorney advising him of some concerns about XRP being regulated as a security even though it was considered a "virtual currency" in some contexts. *See id.* ¶ 401. In 2016, Larsen and Garlinghouse approved a sale to an institutional investor described as an "institutional reseller." *Id.* ¶ 116. This institutional



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

