

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

ANATOLIY MIKITYUK, MITCH  
TALLUNGAN, and WADE HONEY, individually  
and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

CISION US INC., CISION LTD., and FALCON  
SOCIAL INC., and FALCON.IO US, INC.,

Defendants.

Civ. No.: 21-cv-510 (LJL)

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF  
PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF  
COLLECTIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT, SERVICE AWARDS, AND ATTORNEYS'  
FEES AND COSTS**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION ..... 1

I. RELEVANT FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND ..... 2

    A. Factual Allegations ..... 2

    B. Overview of Investigation, Litigation, and Settlement Negotiations ..... 3

        1. Presuit Investigation..... 3

        2. Presuit Discussions ..... 3

        3. Potential Rule 12(b)(6) Motion and Section 216(b) Motion ..... 3

        4. The Court’s 216(b) Order and Additional Briefing Regarding Notice..... 4

        5. The Notice Period and Additional Briefing ..... 5

        6. Plaintiffs’ Motion to File a Third Amended Complaint ..... 6

        7. Cision’s Requests for Discovery from Plaintiffs ..... 7

        8. Plaintiffs’ Requests for Discovery from Defendants ..... 8

        9. Settlement Discussions ..... 10

II. Summary of the Settlement Terms ..... 11

    A. The Settlement Fund and Eligible Employees..... 11

    B. Notice and Distribution Process..... 12

    C. Allocation Formula ..... 13

    D. Releases..... 14

    E. Service Awards ..... 14

    F. Settlement Administration ..... 14

    G. Attorneys’ Fees and Costs ..... 15

III. Argument ..... 15

    A. A One-Step Approval Process Is Standard for FLSA Settlements. .... 15

    B. The Settlement Is Fair and Should Be Approved. .... 16

    C. The Service Awards Should Be Approved as Fair and Reasonable. .... 21

IV. The Court Should Approve Plaintiffs’ Attorneys’ Fees and Costs as Fair and Reasonable ..... 28

    A. The Court Should Award Attorneys’ Fees as a Percentage of the Fund ..... 28

    B. Analysis of the Market for Legal Services Supports Plaintiffs’ Request ..... 30

    C. Plaintiffs’ Requested Fee Award Is Reasonable..... 31

..

D. Plaintiffs’ Counsel’s Actual Lodestar Far Exceeds Their Request..... 32

E. Plaintiffs Are Entitled to Reimbursement of Costs..... 35

V. REDISTRIBUTION TO PROPOSED *CY PRES* DESIGNEE MFJ LEGAL SERVICES  
IS APPROPRIATE..... 37

CONCLUSION..... 38

...

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<b>Cases</b>	<b>Page(s)</b>
<i>Aguilar v. N &amp; A Prods.</i> , No. 19 Civ. 1703, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 185030 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 24, 2019) .....	17
<i>Aguilar v. N &amp; A Prods.</i> , No. 19 Civ. 1703, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 222761 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 30, 2019).....	17
<i>Alleyne v. Time Moving &amp; Storage Inc.</i> , 264 F.R.D. (E.D.N.Y. 2010).....	31
<i>Alli v. Bos. Mkt. Corp.</i> , No. 10 Civ. 4, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 143303 (D. Conn. Dec. 8, 2011).....	20
<i>Aponte v. Comprehensive Health Mgmt., Inc.</i> , No. 10 Civ. 4825, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 47637 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 2, 2013).....	26
<i>Arbor Hill Concerned Citizens Neighborhood Ass'n v. Cty. of Albany &amp; Albany Cty. Bd. of Elections</i> , 522 F.3d 182 (2d Cir. 2008).....	34
<i>Aros v. United Rentals, Inc.</i> , No. 10 Civ. 73, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 104429 (D. Conn. July 26, 2012).....	21
<i>Barbour v. City of White Plains</i> , 788 F. Supp. 2d 216 (S.D.N.Y. 2011).....	33
<i>Beckert v. Rubinov</i> , No. 15 Civ. 1951, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 167052 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 14, 2015).....	18
<i>Beckman v. KeyBank, N.A.</i> , 293 F.R.D. 467 (S.D.N.Y. 2013) .....	16, 31, 32
<i>Beebe v. V&amp;J Nat'l Enters., LLC</i> , No. 17 Civ. 6075, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 96059 (W.D.N.Y. June 1, 2020) .....	37
<i>Blanchard v. Bergeron</i> , 489 U.S. 87 (1989).....	34
<i>Blum v. Merrill Lynch &amp; Co.</i> , Nos. 15 Civ. 1636, 15 Civ. 2960, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 197385 (S.D.N.Y. May 4, 2016).....	32
<i>Blum v. Stenson</i> , 465 U.S. 886 (1984).....	34

<i>Boeing Co. v. Van Gemert</i> , 444 U.S. 472 (1980).....	28
<i>Bozak v. FedEx Ground Package Sys., Inc.</i> , No. 11 Civ. 738, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 106042 (D. Conn. July 31, 2014).....	15, 20
<i>Strougo ex rel. Brazilian Equity Fund, Inc. v. Bassini</i> , 258 F. Supp. 2d 254 (S.D.N.Y. 2003).....	30
<i>Briggs v. PNC Fin. Servs. Grp., Inc.</i> , No. 15 Civ. 10447, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 165560 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 29, 2016).....	15, 31
<i>Campbell v. City of Los Angeles</i> , 903 F.3d 1090 (9th Cir. 2018) .....	19
<i>Caprile v. Harabel Inc.</i> , No. 14 Civ. 6386, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 127332 (S.D.N.Y. Sep. 16, 2015).....	31
<i>Capsolas v. Pasta Res., Inc.</i> , No. 10 Civ. 5595, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 144651 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 5, 2012) .....	16
<i>Castillo v. Noodles &amp; Co.</i> , No. 16 Civ. 3036, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 178977 (N.D. Ill. Dec. 23, 2016) .....	30
<i>Ceka v. PBM/CMSI Inc.</i> , No. 12 Civ. 1711, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 168169 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 2, 2014).....	25, 26, 27
<i>Chavarria v. N.Y. Airport Serv., LLC</i> , 875 F. Supp. 2d 164 (E.D.N.Y. 2012) .....	31
<i>Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House, Inc.</i> , 796 F.3d 199 (2d Cir. 2015).....	16, 17
<i>Clem v. KeyBank, N.A.</i> , No. 13 Civ. 789, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 87174 (S.D.N.Y. June 20, 2014).....	32
<i>Cohan v. Columbia Sussex Mgmt., LLC</i> , No. 12. Civ. 3203, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 170192 (E.D.N.Y. Sept. 28, 2018).....	31
<i>In re Colgate-Palmolive Co. ERISA Litig.</i> , 36 F. Supp. 3d 344 (S.D.N.Y. 2014).....	28
<i>Contreras v. Rosann Landscape Corp.</i> , No. 17 Civ. 6453, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 54115 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 19, 2021).....	26
<i>Deas v. Alba Carting &amp; Demolition Inc.</i> , No. 17 Civ. 3947, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 38803 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 2, 2021) .....	26

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.