

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
Micheli & Shel, LLC, individually and on
behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GRUBHUB INC., GRUBHUB INC. d/b/a
SEAMLESS, SEAMLESS NORTH
AMERICA, LLC, UBER TECHNOLOGIES
INC., UBER EATS, POSTMATES LLC, and
DOORDASH INC.,

Defendants.
-----X

Case No. 1:21-cv-04995-JMF

**REPLY IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT DOORDASH, INC.'S
MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION AND STAY**

Joshua Lipshutz (*pro hac vice*)
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036-5306
Telephone: 202.955.8500
Facsimile: 202.467.0539

Ilissa Samplin
Michael Holecek (*pro hac vice*)
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
333 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
Telephone: 213.229.7000
Facsimile: 213.229.7520

Attorneys for Defendant DoorDash, Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. ARGUMENT	2
A. The Federal Arbitration Act Governs The Parties' Agreement	2
B. An Arbitrator, Not This Court, Must Decide The Threshold Issue Of Arbitrability.....	3
C. The Parties' Arbitration Agreement Is Enforceable	4
1. The Arbitration Agreement Is Not Unconscionable	5
2. Plaintiff's Claims Are Within The Scope Of The Arbitration Agreement.....	6
D. The Class-Action Waiver Is Enforceable	9
E. There Is No Public Policy Requiring Litigation Of Plaintiff's Claim	10
III. CONCLUSION.....	10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
Cases	
<i>AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion</i> , 563 U.S. 333 (2011).....	1, 3, 10
<i>Badinelli v. Tuxedo Club</i> , 183 F. Supp. 3d 450 (S.D.N.Y. 2016).....	10
<i>Bassett v. Elec. Arts, Inc.</i> , 93 F. Supp. 3d 95 (E.D.N.Y. 2015)	5
<i>BCB Holdings Ltd. v. Gov't of Belize</i> , 110 F. Supp. 3d 233 (D.D.C. 2015), <i>aff'd</i> , 650 F. App'x 17 (D.C. Cir. 2016).....	10
<i>Benicorp Ins. Co. v. Nat'l Med. Health Card Sys., Inc.</i> , 447 F. Supp. 2d 329 (S.D.N.Y. 2006).....	9
<i>Epic Sys. Corp. v. Lewis</i> , 138 S. Ct. 1612 (2018).....	2
<i>Express Indus. & Terminal Corp. v. N.Y. State Dep't of Transp.</i> , 93 N.Y.2d 584 (1999)	9
<i>Forbes v. A.G. Edwards & Sons, Inc.</i> , 2009 WL 424146 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 18, 2009).....	9
<i>Gillman v. Chase Manhattan Bank, N.A.</i> , 534 N.E.2d 824 (N.Y. 1988).....	5
<i>Green Tree Fin. Corp.—Ala. v. Randolph</i> , 531 U.S. 79 (2000).....	10
<i>Henry Schein, Inc. v. Archer & White Sales, Inc.</i> , 139 S. Ct. 524 (2019).....	1, 3
<i>Howsam v. Dean Witter Reynolds, Inc.</i> , 537 U.S. 79 (2002).....	3
<i>JLM Indus., Inc. v. Stolt-Nielsen SA</i> , 387 F.3d 163 (2d Cir. 2004).....	6, 7
<i>Kai Peng v. Uber Techs., Inc.</i> , 237 F. Supp. 3d 36 (E.D.N.Y. 2017)	5

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<i>Louis Dreyfus Negoce S.A. v. Blystad Shipping & Trading Inc.</i> , 252 F.3d 218 (2d Cir. 2001).....	7, 8
<i>Mayaguez S.A. v. Citigroup, Inc.</i> , 2018 WL 1587597 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 28, 2018)	6
<i>McFarlane v. Altice USA, Inc.</i> , 2021 WL 860584 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 8, 2021)	7
<i>Mehler v. Terminix Int’l Co. L.P.</i> , 205 F.3d 44 (2d Cir. 2000).....	6
<i>Mohamed v. Uber Techs., Inc.</i> , 848 F.3d 1201 (9th Cir. 2016)	5
<i>Morad v. Morad</i> , 812 N.Y.S.2d 126 (App. Div. 2006)	6
<i>Nayal v. HIP Network Servs. IPA, Inc.</i> , 620 F. Supp. 2d 566 (S.D.N.Y. 2009).....	5
<i>Necchi S.p.A. v. Necchi Sewing Mach. Sales Corp.</i> , 348 F.2d 693 (2d Cir. 1965).....	8
<i>Rent-A-Ctr., W., Inc. v. Jackson</i> , 561 U.S. 63 (2010).....	4
<i>Shearson/Am. Express, Inc. v. McMahon</i> , 482 U.S. 220 (1987).....	2
<i>Southland Corp. v. Keating</i> , 465 U.S. 1 (1984).....	2
<i>Sprinzen v. Nomberg</i> , 46 N.Y.2d 623 (1979)	10
<i>Sugin Zhu v. Hakkasan NYC LLC</i> , 291 F. Supp. 3d 378 (S.D.N.Y. 2017).....	6
<i>Titan Tire Corp. of Freeport v. United Steel, Paper & Forestry, Rubber, Mfg., Energy, Allied Indus. & Serv. Workers Int’l Union</i> , 734 F.3d 708 (7th Cir. 2013)	10
<i>United Steelworkers of Am. v. Warrior & Gulf Navigation Co.</i> , 363 U.S. 574 (1960).....	1, 7

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES *(continued)*

Page(s)

Statutes

9 U.S.C. § 3	2
9 U.S.C. § 4	1, 2, 4
N.Y.C., N.Y., Admin. Code § 20-848	3

Constitutional Provisions

U.S. Const. art. VI	3
---------------------------	---



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.