

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

_____)	
)	21-CV-6296 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6297 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6308 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6310 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6312 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6314 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6320 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6322 (JMF)
IN RE:)	21-CV-6323 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6325 (JMF)
IBM ARBITRATION AGREEMENT)	21-CV-6326 (JMF)
LITIGATION)	21-CV-6331 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6332 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6337 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6340 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6341 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6344 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6349 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6351 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6353 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6355 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6375 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6377 (JMF)
)	21-CV-6380 (JMF)
_____)	21-CV-6384 (JMF)

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND 6

 A. Overview..... 6

 B. The Ruis Named Plaintiffs’ EEOC Charges 7

 C. The Timeliness Dispute in Plaintiffs’ Arbitrations 8

 D. The Impact of the Confidentiality Provision in IBM’s Arbitration Agreement 9

III. ARGUMENT 10

 A. Summary Judgment Standard 10

 B. The Provision in IBM’s Arbitration Agreement Purporting to Waive the Piggybacking Rule is Unenforceable 11

 C. The Confidentiality Provision within IBM’s Arbitration Agreement is Also Unenforceable 21

IV. CONCLUSION..... 30

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Cases**

<u>Adams v. Philip Morris, Inc.</u> , 67 F.3d 580 (6th Cir. 1995).....	19
<u>American Family Life Assurance Co. of New York v. Baker</u> , 778 Fed. App'x 24 (2d Cir. 2019).....	22, 25
<u>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.</u> , 477 U.S. 242 (1986).....	11
<u>AT & T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion</u> , 563 U.S. 333 (2011).....	4, 23
<u>Balan v. Tesla Motors Inc.</u> , 2019 WL 2635903 (W.D. Wash. June 27, 2019)	24
<u>Bogacz v. MTD Products, Inc.</u> , 694 F. Supp. 2d 400 (W.D. Pa. 2010).....	20
<u>Butcher v. Gerber Prods. Co.</u> , 8 F. Supp. 2d 307 (S.D.N.Y. 1998)	19
<u>Castellanos v. Raymours Furniture Co., Inc.</u> , 291 F. Supp. 3d 294 (E.D.N.Y. March 12, 2018)	18
<u>Cerjanec v. FCA US, LLC</u> , 2017 WL 6407337 (E.D. Mich. Dec. 15, 2017)	17
<u>Cole v. Convergys Customer Mgmt. Grp., Inc.</u> 2012 WL 6047741 (D. Kan. Dec. 5, 2012).....	18
<u>Collins v. New York City Transit Authority</u> , 305 F.3d 113 (2d. Cir. 2002).....	29
<u>Cronas v. Willis Group Holdings Ltd.</u> , 2007 WL 2739769 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 17, 2007)	12, 13, 15, 20
<u>Davis v. Mills</u> 194 U.S. 451 (1904).....	16
<u>DeGraff v. Perkins Coie LLP</u> , 2012 WL 3074982 (N.D. Cal. July 30, 2012)	22

<u>EEOC v. Comm. Office Prods. Co.</u> , 486 U.S. 107 (1988).....	16
<u>Estle v. International Business Machines Corp.</u> 2020 WL 5633154 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 21, 2020)	21
<u>Estle v. International Business Machines Corp.</u> , No. 20-3372 (2d Cir.)	21
<u>Fed. Exp. Corp. v. Holowecki</u> 552 U.S. 389 (2008).....	16
<u>Friedmann v. Raymour Furniture Co., Inc.</u> 2012 WL 4976124 (E.D. N.Y. Oct. 16, 2012)	18
<u>Gilmer v. Interstate/Johnson Lane Corp.</u> 500 U.S. 20 (1991).....	passim
<u>Graham Oil v. Arco Products Co.</u> 43 F.3d 1244 (9th Cir. 1994).....	18
<u>Grayson v. K Mart Corp.</u> 79 F.3d 1086 (11th Cir. April 9, 1996).....	13
<u>Guyden v. Aetna, Inc.</u> , 544 F.3d 376 (2d Cir. 2008)	25
<u>Hagan v. Katz Communications, Inc.</u> , 200 F. Supp. 3d 435 (S.D.N.Y. 2016)	17
<u>Hammaker v. Brown & Brown, Inc.</u> 214 F. Supp. 2d 575 (E.D. Va. 2002).....	21
<u>Hollander v. American Cyanamid Co.</u> , 895 F.2d 80 (2d Cir. 1990).....	4, 5, 22, 26
<u>Holowecki v. Federal Exp. Corp.</u> 440 F.3d 558 (2d Cir. 2006).....	3, 12
<u>Hooper v. Movement Mortgage, LLC</u> , 382 F. Supp. 3d 1148 (W.D. Wash. 2019)	24
<u>Johnson v. Killian</u> , 680 F.3d 234 (2d Cir. 2012).....	11
<u>Jones v. American Postal Workers Union</u> 192 F.3d 417 (4th Cir. 1999).....	17

<u>Kinkel v. Cingular Wireless LLC,</u> 223 Ill.2d 1 (Ill. 2006)	24
<u>Kruchowski v. Weyerhaeuser Co.,</u> 446 F.3d 1090 (10th Cir. 2006)	19
<u>Larsen v. Citibank FSB,</u> 871 F.3d 1295 (11th Cir. 2017)	2, 4, 22
<u>Lewis v. Harper Hosp.</u> 241 F.Supp.2d 769 (E.D. Mich. 2002).....	18
<u>Livingston v. City of Chicago</u> 2019 WL 194848 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 14, 2019).....	12
<u>Logan v. MGM Grand Detroit Casino,</u> 939 F.3d 824 (6th Cir. 2019).....	16, 20
<u>Loksen v. Columbia Univ.,</u> 2013 WL 5549780 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 14, 2013)	19
<u>Luna v. Household Finance Corp. III,</u> 236 F. Supp. 2d 1166 (W.D. Wash. 2002)	24
<u>Mabry v. W. & S. Life Ins. Co.</u> 2005 WL 1167002 (M.D.N.C. Apr. 19, 2005)	18
<u>Mazurkiewicz v. Clayton Homes, Inc.</u> 971 F.Supp.2d 682 (S.D. Tex.2013)	18
<u>McKee v. AT & T Corp.,</u> 164 Wash. 2d 372, 191 P.3d 845 (2008)	4, 23, 24
<u>Narayan v. The Ritz-Carolton Development Co., Inc.,</u> 140 Hawai'i 343 (2017)	24
<u>O'Phelan v. Fed. Express Corp.</u> 2005 WL 2387647 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 27, 2005)	18
<u>Oubre v. Entergy Operations, Inc.,</u> 522 U.S. 422 (1998).....	1, 19
<u>Ragone v. Atlantic Video at Manhattan Center,</u> 595 F.3d 115 (2d Cir. 2010).....	2, 18
<u>Ramos v. Superior Ct.,</u> 28 Cal. App. 5th 1042 (2018).....	23, 25

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.