

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE: IBM ARBITRATION
AGREEMENT LITIGATION**

No. 1:21-CV-6296 (JMF)

**CONSOLIDATED MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANT IBM'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND
OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 1

BACKGROUND 2

STANDARD OF REVIEW 7

ARGUMENT 8

I. All of Plaintiffs’ Claims Should Be Dismissed Under Rule 12(b)(6). 8

 A. For the Plaintiffs Who Already Arbitrated and Lost, Their Complaints
 Must Be Dismissed As Untimely Attempts to Vacate the Arbitration
 Awards. 8

 B. Plaintiffs’ Attack on the Arbitral Timeliness Provision Fails as a Matter of
 Law. 11

 1. The FAA requires arbitration agreements to be enforced according
 to their terms. 12

 2. The FAA requires enforcement of the timeliness provision here. 14

 (a) “Piggybacking” does not create a substantive, non-
 waivable right to file outside of the ordinary time period. 15

 (b) The statutory filing period for an ADEA claim is
 procedural, not substantive. 18

 (c) The Sixth Circuit has rejected the view that the ADEA
 prohibits the shortening of the filing period in arbitration. 20

 (d) The other cases relied on by Plaintiffs are inapposite. 22

 C. Plaintiffs’ Attack on the Arbitral Confidentiality Provision Fails as a
 Matter of Law. 24

 1. New York law does not prohibit confidentiality agreements. 25

 (a) New York law applies under the choice-of-law provision. 26

 (b) New York law does not prohibit confidential arbitration. 26

 2. The FAA would preempt any New York ban on arbitral
 confidentiality. 30

 (a) State law cannot discriminate against confidentiality in
 arbitration. 30

 (b) Confidentiality is a fundamental attribute of arbitration. 31

II. Plaintiffs’ Motion for Summary Judgment Should Be Denied Without
 Considering Any of the Confidential Materials Attached to Plaintiffs’ Briefing. 33

CONCLUSION 36

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>14 Penn Plaza LLC v. Pyett</i> , 556 U.S. 247 (2009).....	13, 14, 19
<i>Am. Express Co. v. Italian Colors Rest.</i> , 570 U.S. 228 (2013).....	passim
<i>Am. Fam. Life Assurance Co. of New York v. Baker</i> , 778 F. App'x 24 (2d Cir. 2019)	28
<i>AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion</i> , 563 U.S. 333 (2011).....	passim
<i>Baron v. Vullo</i> , 699 F. App'x 102 (2d Cir. 2017)	24
<i>Bernstein v. Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP</i> , 814 F.3d 132 (2d Cir. 2016).....	35
<i>Bernstein v. Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP</i> , No. 14-CV-6867, 2016 WL 1071107 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 18, 2016).....	35
<i>Bettencourt v. Brookdale Senior Living Communities, Inc.</i> , No. 09-CV-1200, 2010 WL 274331 (D. Or. Jan. 14, 2010).....	32
<i>Bigio v. Coca-Cola Co.</i> , No. 97 Civ. 2858, 2010 WL 3377503 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 23, 2010), <i>aff'd</i> , 675 F.3d 163 (2d Cir. 2012).....	34
<i>Billie v. Coverall N. Am., Inc.</i> , 444 F. Supp. 3d 332 (D. Conn. 2020).....	29, 30
<i>Browning v. AT&T Paradyne</i> , 120 F.3d 222 (11th Cir. 1997)	19
<i>Calloway v. Partners Nat'l Health Plans</i> , 986 F.2d 446 (11th Cir. 1993)	15

..

Castellanos v. Raymours Furniture Co.,
291 F. Supp. 3d 294 (E.D.N.Y. 2018)22, 23

CompuCredit Corp. v. Greenwood, 565 U.S. 95 (2012)22

Corbett v. Int’l Bus. Machs. Corp.,
No. 1:21-CV-06380 (S.D.N.Y. July 27, 2021).....4

Couch v. AT & T Servs., Inc.,
No. 13-CV-2004, 2014 WL 7424093 (E.D.N.Y. Dec. 31, 2014).....30

Cyber Imaging Sys., Inc. v. Eyclation, Inc.,
No. 5:14-CV-901, 2015 WL 12851390 (E.D.N.C. Nov. 4, 2015).....8

Desiderio v. National Ass’n of Sec. Dealers, Inc.,
191 F.3d 198 (2d Cir. 1999).....27

DiFolco v. MSNBC Cable L.L.C.,
622 F.3d 104 (2d Cir. 2010).....3, 7

Dylan 140 LLC v. Figueroa,
No. 19-CV-02897, 2019 WL 2235884 (S.D.N.Y. May 14, 2019)10

Epic Sys. Corp. v. Lewis,
138 S. Ct. 1612 (2018)..... passim

Estle v. Int’l Bus. Machs. Corp.,
2020 WL 5633154 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 21, 2020).....24

Ferring B.V. v. Serenity Pharms., LLC,
391 F. Supp. 3d 265 (S.D.N.Y. 2019).....7

Flannery v. Int’l Bus. Machs. Corp.,
No. 1:21-CV-06384 (S.D.N.Y. July 27, 2021).....4, 5

Foster v. Ruhrpumpen, Inc.,
365 F.3d 1191 (10th Cir. 2004)16

Garda USA, Inc. v. Sun Cap. Partners, Inc.,
194 A.D.3d 545 (N.Y. App. Div. 2021)31

Garfield v. J.C. Nichols Real Estate,
57 F.3d 662 (8th Cir. 1995)19

...

<i>Gillman v. Chase Manhattan Bank N.A.</i> , 534 N.E.2d 824 (N.Y. 1988).....	27
<i>Gilmer v. Interstate/Johnson Lane Corp.</i> , 500 U.S. 20 (1991).....	passim
<i>Graham Oil Co. v. ARCO Prods. Co.</i> , 43 F.3d 1244 (9th Cir. 1994)	23
<i>Grayson v. K Mart Corp.</i> , 79 F.3d 1086 (11th Cir. 1996)	15
<i>Guyden v. Aetna, Inc.</i> , 544 F.3d 376 (2d Cir. 2008).....	25, 28, 31
<i>Holowecki v. Fed. Exp. Corp.</i> , 440 F.3d 558 (2d Cir. 2006), <i>aff'd</i> , 552 U.S. 389 (2008)	17
<i>Howell v. Rivergate Toyota, Inc.</i> , 144 F. App'x 475 (6th Cir. 2005)	21
<i>Iberia Credit Bureau, Inc. v. Cingular Wireless LLC</i> , 379 F.3d 159 (5th Cir. 2004)	31
<i>In re A2P SMS Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 12 CV 2656, 2014 WL 2445756 (S.D.N.Y. 2014)	32
<i>In re Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether Prods. Liab. Litig.</i> , 415 F. Supp. 2d 261 (S.D.N.Y. 2005).....	29
<i>JPay, Inc. v. Kobel</i> , 904 F.3d 923 (11th Cir. 2018)	32
<i>Kamienski v. Int'l Bus. Machs. Corp.</i> , No. 1:21-CV-06331 (S.D.N.Y. July 26, 2021).....	5
<i>Kindred Nursing Ctrs. Ltd. P'ship v. Clark</i> , 137 S. Ct. 1421 (2017).....	30, 31
<i>King v. Marsh & McLennan Agency, LLC</i> , 126 N.Y.S.3d 312 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2020), <i>aff'd</i> , 138 N.Y.S.3d 323 (2021).....	31

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.