

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

IN RE BUMBLE, INC.  
SECURITIES LITIGATION

Case No. 1:22-cv-00624-DLC

CLASS ACTION

Judge Denise L. Cote

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS  
PLAINTIFF'S CONSOLIDATED AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
PRELIMINARY STATEMENT .....	1
RELEVANT FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS .....	3
ARGUMENT .....	7
I. Legal Standards Governing Motions To Dismiss .....	8
II. Plaintiff Fails To Plead A Section 11 Claim.....	8
A. Plaintiff Fails to Plead That the Registration Statement Contained Material Misstatements About Growth .....	9
1. Plaintiff Does Not Adequately Allege That the Challenged Statements About Growth Were <i>False</i> .....	9
2. The Challenged Statements About Growth are Immaterial Puffery .....	10
B. Plaintiff Fails to Plead That the Registration Statement Contained False or Misleading Statements About Bumble’s Expectations.....	11
1. Plaintiff’s Allegations Do Not Render the Challenged Statements About Bumble’s Expectations False or Misleading.....	11
2. The Challenged Statements of Opinion are Immaterial Puffery.....	12
C. The Registration Statement’s Presentation of Accurate Historical Results Was Not False or Misleading.....	13
D. Plaintiff Fails to Plead That the Registration Statement’s Disclosure of Risks Was Misleading.....	14
1. Bumble Disclosed the Risk That Had Materialized Before the SPO.....	14
2. The Remaining Risks Had Not Materialized as of the SPO .....	15
E. The Undisclosed Information That Allegedly Rendered the Challenged Statements Misleading Was Not Material .....	18
F. Plaintiff Fails to Plead a Violation of Item 303 .....	19
III. Plaintiff Fails To Plead A Section 12(a)(2) Claim.....	22
A. Plaintiff Fails to Plead That the Prospectus Contained a Misrepresentation .....	22
B. Plaintiff Fails to Plead That Bumble Was a 12(a)(2) Statutory Seller.....	22
IV. Plaintiff Fails To Plead A Section 15 Claim For Control Person Liability .....	23
A. Plaintiff Has Not Alleged an Underlying Violation .....	23

B. Plaintiff’s Allegations About the Blackstone Defendants’ “Control” Over  
Bumble are Insufficient..... 24

CONCLUSION..... 25

APPENDIX A..... App-1

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES****Cases**

<i>Barilli v. Sky Solar Holdings, Ltd.</i> , 389 F. Supp. 3d 232 (S.D.N.Y. 2019).....	12
<i>Blackmoss Invs. Inc. v. ACA Cap. Holdings, Inc.</i> , 2010 WL 148617 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 14, 2010).....	20
<i>ECA &amp; Loc. 134 IBEW Joint Pension Trust of Chicago v. JP Morgan Chase Co.</i> , 553 F.3d 187 (2d Cir. 2009).....	10
<i>Emerson v. Mut. Fund Series Tr.</i> , 393 F. Supp. 3d 220 (E.D.N.Y. 2019).....	15
<i>Geffner v. Coca-Cola Co.</i> , 928 F.3d 198 (2d Cir. 2019).....	8
<i>Gotham Holdings, LP v. Health Grades, Inc.</i> , 534 F. Supp. 2d 442 (S.D.N.Y. 2008).....	22
<i>In re Aluminum Warehousing Antitrust Litig.</i> , 2014 WL 4277510 (S.D.N.Y. May 18, 2004).....	24, 25
<i>In re Aratana Therapeutics Inc. Secs. Litig.</i> , 315 F. Supp. 3d 737 (S.D.N.Y. 2018).....	15
<i>In re Bank of Am. AIG Disclosure Secs. Litig.</i> , 980 F. Supp. 2d 564 (S.D.N.Y. 2013).....	10
<i>In re BHP Billiton Ltd. Secs. Litig.</i> , 276 F. Supp. 3d 65 (S.D.N.Y. 2017).....	18, 21
<i>In re Coty Inc. Secs. Litig.</i> , 2016 WL 1271065 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 29, 2016) .....	13, 21
<i>In re Deutsche Telekom AG Secs. Litig.</i> , 2002 WL 244597 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 20, 2002).....	23
<i>In re Morgan Stanley Info. Fund Secs. Litig.</i> , 592 F.3d 347 (2d Cir. 2010).....	23
<i>In re Omega Healthcare Inv'rs Secs. Litig.</i> , 563 F. Supp. 3d 259 (S.D.N.Y. 2021).....	13

...

<i>In re Petrobras Secs. Litig.</i> , 150 F. Supp. 3d 337 (S.D.N.Y. 2015).....	24
<i>In re ProShares Tr. Secs. Litig.</i> , 728 F.3d 96 (2d Cir. 2013).....	8
<i>In re ProShares Trust II Secs. Litig.</i> , 2020 WL 71007 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 3, 2020).....	8, 24
<i>In re WorldCom, Inc. Secs. Litig.</i> , 2004 WL 1097786 (S.D.N.Y. May 18, 2004).....	24
<i>Lau v. Opera Ltd.</i> , 527 F. Supp. 3d 537 (S.D.N.Y. 2021).....	11
<i>Lematta v. Casper Sleep, Inc.</i> , 2022 WL 4637795 (E.D.N.Y. Sept. 30, 2022).....	13
<i>Martin v. Quartermain</i> , 732 F. App'x 37 (2d Cir. 2018).....	12
<i>McMillian v. MTA Metro-North Railroad</i> , 2021 WL 4311318 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 20, 2021).....	8
<i>Omnicare, Inc. v. Laborers Dist. Council Const. Indus. Pension Fund</i> , 575 U.S. 175 (2015).....	12
<i>Pearlstein v. BlackBerry Ltd.</i> , 93 F. Supp. 3d 233 (S.D.N.Y. 2015).....	20
<i>Rosi v. Aclaris Therapeutics, Inc.</i> , 2021 WL 1177505 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 29, 2021) .....	15, 16, 17
<i>Steamfitters Local 449 Pension Plan v. Skechers U.S.A., Inc.</i> , 412 F. Supp. 3d 353 (S.D.N.Y. 2019).....	11, 13
<i>Willard v. UP Fintech Holding Ltd.</i> , 527 F. Supp. 3d 609 (S.D.N.Y. 2021).....	18, 19, 20
<i>Yi Xiang v. Inovalon Holdings, Inc.</i> , 254 F. Supp. 3d 635 (S.D.N.Y. 2017).....	22, 23
<b>Statutes and Rules</b>	
15 U.S.C. § 77k(a).....	8

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.