

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Saul Maldonado, et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	CASE NO. 1:22-cv-02289-ALC
)	
National Football League, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

**PLAINTIFFS’ MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS’
MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. THE RELEVANT PLAINTIFF TRANSACTIONS.....	2
III. LEGAL STANDARD & MOVING PARTY’S BURDEN.....	3
IV. PLAINTIFFS DID NOT AGREE TO ARBITRATE THEIR CLAIMS BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT ON NOTICE OF THE TERMS OF USE.....	4
A. Plaintiffs were not on inquiry notice of the Terms of Use when they made purchases on a desktop computer.	6
1. The Terms of Use links are “below the fold”.....	6
2. The Terms of Use links are not presented in a clear and conspicuous manner in light of the whole page.	8
B. Plaintiffs were not on inquiry notice of the Terms of Use when making a purchase on a mobile device.....	10
1. When presented on a mobile device, the Terms of Use links are both below the fold and obscured by the virtual keyboard.	10
2. The Terms of Use links are even less conspicuous on a mobile device than on a desktop.....	11
C. Plaintiffs were not on inquiry notice of the Terms of Use when they created an account on either website.	12
V. EVEN IF CERTAIN PLAINTIFFS AGREED TO ARBITRATE CERTAIN CLAIMS, NOT ALL CLAIMS ARE SUBJECT TO ARBITRATION.....	16
A. The scope of the Terms of Use is for the Court to determine.	16
B. Plaintiffs are not bound to arbitrate claims for purchases made on other websites.	19
1. Plaintiffs’ claims for purchases on other websites are not “connected with” the “Properties” or “Website”.....	20
2. Each Terms of Use expressly states that it governs only the user’s use of that particular website.	22
3. The plain language of each Terms of Use acknowledges the existence of other websites but does not include them in the arbitration provision.	23
VI. THE UNNAMED DEFENDANTS MAY NOT ENFORCE THE TERMS OF USE BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT AFFILIATES OF EITHER FANATICS OR NFL PROPERTIES	24
A. Neither the Fanatics.com Terms of Use nor the NFLShop.com Terms of Use show an intent to arbitrate with the unnamed Defendants.	25

	Page
B. The NFL Defendants are not “affiliates” of Fanatics.	26
C. The unnamed Defendants may not enforce the agreements under a theory of equitable estoppel.	28
1. Co-conspirators may not invoke estoppel to compel arbitration simply because they are co-conspirators.	30
2. The unnamed Defendants do not have a corporate relationship to a signatory sufficient to invoke equitable estoppel.	31
VII. THERE IS NO ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE OF THE ACTUAL FANATICS.COM TERMS OF USE THAT DEFENDANTS ALLEGE PLAINTIFFS AGREED TO	33
A. The “Wayback Machine” evidence of Fanatics’ 2017 Terms of Use is unauthenticated and inadmissible.	34
B. Ms. Flinchbaugh’s testimony violates the Best Evidence Rule.	34
VIII. CONCLUSION	35

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>Am. Needle, Inc. v. Nat’l Football League</i> , 560 U.S. 183 (2010)	28, 31
<i>Amadeus Glob. Travel Distribution, S.A. v. Orbitz, LLC</i> , 302 F. Supp. 2d 329 (D. Del. 2004)	27
<i>Applebaum v. Lyft, Inc.</i> , 263 F. Supp. 3d 454 (S.D.N.Y. 2017)	7
<i>Bensadoun v. Jobe–Riat</i> , 316 F.3d 171 (2d Cir. 2003)	4
<i>Berkson v. GoGo LLC</i> , 97 F. Supp. 3d 359 (E.D.N.Y.)	10
<i>Berman v. Freedom Fin. Network, LLC</i> , 30 F.4th 849 (9th Cir. 2022)	passim
<i>Bernardino v. Barnes & Noble Booksellers, Inc.</i> , No. 17CV04570LAKKHP, 2017 WL 7309893 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 20, 2017), report and recommendation adopted as modified, No. 17-CV-4570 (LAK), 2018 WL 671258 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 31, 2018)	7
<i>Blash v. BCS Placements, LLC</i> , No. 19-cv-6321, 2020 WL 2832777 (S.D.N.Y. May 31, 2020)	17, 18
<i>Camilo v. Lyft, Inc.</i> , 384 F. Supp. 3d 435 (S.D.N.Y. 2019)	7
<i>Citibank, N.A. v. Franco</i> , No. 11 CIV. 2925 RMB, 2011 WL 6961404 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 29, 2011)	27
<i>Compare Specht v. Netscape Commc’ns Corp.</i> , 306 F.3d 17 (2d Cir. 2002)	7
<i>Cooper v. Ruane Cunniff & Goldfarb Inc.</i> , 990 F.3d 173 (2d Cir. 2021)	passim
<i>Cullinane v. Uber Techs., Inc.</i> , 893 F.3d 53 (1st Cir. 2018)	15
<i>DDK Hotels, LLC v. Williams-Sonoma, Inc.</i> , 6 F.4th 308 (2d Cir. 2021)	16, 19

	Page
<i>Doctor’s Assocs., Inc. v. Alemayehu</i> , 934 F.3d 245 (2d Cir. 2019)	16
<i>Doe v. Trump Corp.</i> , 6 F.4th 400 (2d Cir. 2021)	29, 30
<i>Dreyfuss v. Etelecare Glob. Sols.-U.S. Inc.</i> , 349 F. App’x 551 (2d Cir. 2009)	35
<i>Feld v. Postmates, Inc.</i> , 442 F. Supp. 3d 825 (S.D.N.Y. 2020)	4
<i>Foster v. Lee</i> , 93 F. Supp. 3d 223 (S.D.N.Y. 2015)	34
<i>Fraternity Fund Ltd. v. Beacon Hill Asset Mgmt. LLC</i> , 371 F. Supp. 2d 571 (S.D.N.Y. 2005)	32
<i>Granite Rock Co. v. Int’l Bhd. Of Teamsters</i> , 561 U.S. 287 (2010)	4
<i>In re Asian Yard Partners</i> , No. 95-333-PJW, 1995 WL 1781675 (Bankr. D. Del. Sept. 18, 1995).....	27
<i>In re Titanium Dioxide Antitrust Litig.</i> , 962 F. Supp. 2d 840 (D. Md. 2013).....	30
<i>In re Wholesale Grocery Prod. Antitrust Litig.</i> , 707 F.3d 917 (8th Cir. 2013)	26
<i>Jones v. Halliburton Co.</i> , 583 F.3d 228 (5th Cir. 2009)	22
<i>Kai Peng v. Uber Techs., Inc.</i> , 237 F. Supp. 3d 36 (E.D.N.Y. 2017).....	7
<i>Laumann v. National Hockey League</i> , Nos. 12 Civ. 1817 & 3704(SAS), 2013 WL 837640 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 6, 2013)	30
<i>McKee v. Audible, Inc.</i> , No. CV 17-1941-GW(EX), 2017 WL 4685039 (C.D. Cal. July 17, 2017).....	11
<i>Medicis Pharm. Corp. v. Anacor Pharms., Inc.</i> , No. CV 8095-VCP, 2013 WL 4509652 (Del. Ch. Aug. 12, 2013)	18

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.