

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS,
INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

ALEX M. AZAR II, in his official capacity
as Secretary of the United States Department
of Health and Human Services,

CENTERS FOR MEDICARE AND
MEDICAID SERVICES,

SEEMA VERMA, in her official capacity as
the Administrator of the Centers for
Medicare and Medicaid Services,

Defendants.

Case No. 7:20-cv-10488-KMK

ECF Case

**REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR A PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION, TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER, AND EXPEDITED BRIEFING
SCHEDULE**

Robert W. Allen, P.C.
Daniel Cellucci
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Tel: (212) 446-4800
Fax: (212) 446-4900
bob.allen@kirkland.com
dan.cellucci@kirkland.com

Paul D. Clement (admitted *pro hac vice*)
George W. Hicks, Jr. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
1301 Pennsylvania Ave. NW
Washington, D.C. 20004
Tel: (202) 389 5000
Fax: (202) 389-5200
paul.clement@kirkland.com
george.hicks@kirkland.com

Counsel for Plaintiff Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Dated: December 18, 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
ARGUMENT	1
I. REGENERON’S CLAIMS ARE NOT BARRED FROM JUDICIAL REVIEW.	1
A. The Medicare Statute Does Not Preclude Regeneron’s Claims.	1
B. Section 1115A(d)(2) Does Not Strip this Court of Jurisdiction.	4
II. REGENERON IS EXCEEDINGLY LIKELY TO PREVAIL ON THE MERITS.....	6
A. The MFN Rule Was Promulgated Without Notice and Comment.	6
B. The MFN Rule Lacks Statutory Authorization.....	8
C. The MFN Rule is Arbitrary and Capricious.	11
D. The MFN Rule Is Unconstitutional.....	12
III. REGENERON WILL SUFFER IRREPARABLE HARM, AND THE BALANCE OF EQUITIES FAVORS RELIEF.	14
CONCLUSION.....	15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Aid Ass’n for Lutherans v. USPS</i> , 321 F.3d 1166 (D.C. Cir. 2003)	5
<i>Am. Hosp. Ass’n v. Azar</i> , 964 F.3d 1230 (D.C. Cir. 2020)	4, 5
<i>Amgen, Inc. v. Smith</i> , 357 F.3d 103 (D.C. Cir. 2004)	4
<i>Baxter Healthcare Corp. v. Weeks</i> , 643 F.Supp.2d 111 (D.D.C. 2009)	3
<i>Benihana, Inc. v. Benihana of Tokyo, LLC</i> , 784 F.3d 887 (2d Cir. 2015)	9
<i>Bond v. United States</i> , 564 U.S. 211 (2011)	15
<i>California v. HHS</i> , 281 F.Supp.3d 806 (N.D. Cal. 2017)	15
<i>Chamber of Commerce v. DHS</i> , 2020 WL 7043877 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 1, 2020)	7, 8
<i>Clinton v. City of New York</i> , 524 U.S. 417 (1998)	12
<i>COMSAT Corp. v. FCC</i> , 114 F.3d 223 (D.C. Cir. 1997)	4
<i>Council for Urological Interests v. Sebelius</i> , 668 F.3d 704 (D.C. Cir. 2011)	3
<i>Cumberland Cnty. Hosp. Sys., Inc. v. Burwell</i> , 816 F.3d 48 (4th Cir. 2016)	3
<i>DCH Reg’l Med. Ctr. v. Azar</i> , 925 F.3d 503 (D.C. Cir. 2019)	5
<i>Defs. of Wildlife v. Chertoff</i> , 527 F.Supp.2d 119 (D.D.C. 2007)	12

<i>Dep't of Commerce v. New York</i> , 139 S.Ct. 2551 (2019)	8, 12, 13
<i>FDA v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.</i> , 529 U.S. 120 (2000)	9
<i>Fox Ins. Co. v. Sebelius</i> , 381 F. App'x 93 (2d Cir. 2010)	3
<i>Fresno Cmty. Hosp. & Med. Ctr. v. Azar</i> , 370 F.Supp.3d 139 (D.D.C. 2019)	6
<i>Furlong v. Shalala</i> , 238 F.3d 227 (2d Cir. 2001)	3
<i>Heckler v. Ringer</i> , 466 U.S. 602 (1984)	2
<i>Jordan v. U.S. Dep't of Justice</i> , 591 F.2d 753 (D.C. Cir. 1978)	10
<i>Mack Trucks, Inc. v. EPA</i> , 682 F.3d 87 (D.C. Cir. 2012)	7
<i>Motor Vehicle Mfrs. Ass'n of U.S., Inc. v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.</i> , 463 U.S. 29 (1983)	11
<i>National Athletic Trainers' Ass'n v. HHS</i> , 455 F.3d 500 (5th Cir. 2006)	3
<i>North Oaks Med. Ctr., LLC v. Azar</i> , 2020 WL 1502185 (E.D. La. Mar. 25, 2020)	6
<i>NRDC v. NHTSA</i> , 894 F.3d 95 (2d Cir. 2018)	7
<i>Palmieri v. Lynch</i> , 392 F.3d 73 (2d Cir. 2004)	11
<i>Paroline v. United States</i> , 572 U.S. 434 (2014)	9
<i>Pavano v. Shalala</i> , 95 F.3d 147 (2d Cir. 1996)	3
<i>Purdue Univ. v. Scalia</i> , 2020 WL 7340156 (D.D.C. Dec. 14, 2020)	7

<i>Ralls Corp. v. CFIUS</i> , 758 F.3d 296 (D.C. Cir. 2014)	5
<i>Republic of Iraq v. Beaty</i> , 556 U.S. 848 (2009)	12
<i>Saget v. Trump</i> , 345 F.Supp.3d 287 (E.D.N.Y. 2018)	6
<i>Seila Law LLC v. Consumer Fin. Prot. Bureau</i> , 140 S. Ct. 2183 (2020)	13
<i>Shalala v. Ill. Council on Long Term Care, Inc.</i> , 529 U.S. 1 (2000)	2, 3
<i>Sharkey v. Quarantillo</i> , 541 F.3d 75 (2d Cir. 2008)	6
<i>Sw. Airlines Co. v. TSA</i> , 554 F.3d 1065 (D.C. Cir. 2009)	4
<i>Turecamo v. Comm'r</i> , 554 F.2d 564 (2d Cir. 1977)	2
<i>United States v. Windsor</i> , 570 U.S. 744 (2013)	8
<i>Util. Air Regul. Grp. v. EPA</i> , 573 U.S. 302 (2014)	11
<i>Yale New Haven Hosp. v. Azar</i> , 409 F.Supp.3d 3 (D. Conn. 2019)	6
Constitutional Provisions, Statutes, and Rules	
U.S. Const. art. II, §3	9
42 U.S.C. §405(h)	2
42 U.S.C. §1315a(b)(2)(A)	10
42 U.S.C. §1315a(d)(2)	4, 6
42 U.S.C. §1395ii	2
42 U.S.C. §1395nn(i)(3)	6
42 U.S.C. §1395w-3a	12



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.