NYSCEF DOC. NO. 9

	COURT OF THE STATE OF NE OF CHEMUNG	W YO	RK
CAPREECI	E MOORE	X :	
Pla	Plaintiff,	:	INDEX NO. 2023-5539
-against- LESHA P. MASSOUP, DUKE HUR, J.B. HUNT TRANSPORT, INC., and COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION		: NOTICE OF APPEARANCE AND VERIFIED ANSWER TO VERIFIED COMPLAINT	
WHOLESA	Defendants.	: X	

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the above-named defendants, DUKE HUR and J.B. HUNT TRANSPORT, INC., (hereinafter "defendants" and/or "answering defendants"), hereby appear in this action and the undersigned have been retained as attorneys for said defendants and demand that you serve all papers in this proceeding upon them at the address stated below.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that answering defendants hereby interpose the following answer to the verified complaint:

1. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1", "2", "4", "5", "6", "7", "8", "9", "10", "11", "12", "15", "16", "26", "27", "28", "29", "30", "31", "32", "33", "34", "61", "62", "63", "64", "65", "66", "67", "68", "69", "70", and "71", and as a conclusion of law to which no responsive pleading is required and refer all questions of law to the trial court.

2. Admit the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "3" and "14".

3. Deny the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "13", "17", "18", "19", "20", "21", "22", "23", "24", "25", "35", "36", "37", "38", "39", "40", "41", "42," "43", "44", "45", "46", "47", "48", "49", "50", "51", "52", "53", "54", "55", "56", "57", "58", "59", and "60", and refer all questions of law to the trial court.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 9

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff is not the proper party in interest and has no standing to bring this action.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claim is time barred by the relevant Statute of Limitations and/or the contract(s) governing shipment and all applicable federal regulations and statutes.

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a cause of action against answering defendants for which relief can be granted.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Any damages claimed by plaintiff, said damages being specifically denied by answering defendants, were caused by the culpable conduct of parties or entities other than answering defendants and/or other parties or entities or persons, over which answering defendants have no control and for whose conduct answering defendants have no liability or responsibility.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Answering defendants are not guilty of any negligence which was a proximate cause of any alleged incident, injuries or damages of which plaintiff complains.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff failed to mitigate any alleged damages, said damages being specifically denied by answering defendants.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's damages are speculative and, therefore, plaintiff is barred from recovery.

AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent plaintiff seeks special and/or consequential damages, plaintiff's claims are barred because plaintiff and/or its subrogors failed to provide such damages would be sought in the event of a claim of loss.

AS AND FOR A NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

In the event plaintiff recovers a verdict or judgment against answering defendants, then the verdict or judgment must be reduced pursuant to CPLR §4545(c) by those amounts which have been, or will, with reasonable certainty, replace or indemnify plaintiff, in whole or in part, for any past or future claimed economic loss, from any collateral source such as insurance.

AS AND FOR A TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The provisions of C.P.L.R. Article 50-B apply to this action.

AS AND FOR AN ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Answering defendants do not employ or otherwise hire the alleged tortfeasor, Lesha P.

Massop.

AS AND FOR A TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Complaint is barred by lack of personal jurisdiction over answering defendants.

AS AND FOR A THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Complaint is improperly venued in the Supreme Court of New York, County of

Chemung.

AS AND FOR A FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Answering defendants reserve the right to assert such further affirmative defenses as may

become known through discovery or as this matter progresses.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CROSS-CLAIM OVER AND AGAINST DEFENDANT, LESHA <u>P. MASSOUP, ANSWERING DEFENDANTS ALLEGE UPON INFORMATION AND</u> <u>BELIEF</u>

Without admitting or being deemed to admit any of the allegations contained in the Complaint and while expressly disclaiming any liability therefore, if plaintiff sustained the injuries and damages in the manner, time and place alleged, and if it is found that defendants are liable to plaintiff herein, then said damages were sustained by reason of the sole, active and primary negligence, carelessness, and recklessness by the defendant, LESHA P. MASSOUP and answering defendants are entitled to complete indemnification from any judgment or damages from said defendant, LESHA P. MASSOUP, as well as partial indemnification or contribution from said defendant, LESHA P. MASSOUP, for any liability to the plaintiff in excess of the answering defendants' proportionate share of active negligence.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CROSS-CLAIM OVER AND AGAINST DEFENDANT, LESHA P. MASSOUP, ANSWERING DEFENDANTS ALLEGE UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF

That if the plaintiff sustained damages as alleged in the Complaint, through the negligence, breach of contract, breach of warranty, carelessness and/or strict liability of defendants, then such damages were sustained due to the primary, active and sole fault of the defendant, LESHA P. MASSOUP, by reason of negligence, breach of contract, breach of warranty, carelessness and/or strict liability and if the plaintiff should obtain and/or recover judgment against answering defendants, then the defendant, LESHA P. MASSOUP shall be liable to answering defendants for the full amount of said judgment or for any part hereof obtained and/or recovered on the basis of apportionment of responsibility for the alleged occurrence as found by the Court or jury.

WHEREFORE, the answering defendants demand judgment dismissing the Verified Complaint, together with the costs and disbursements of this action, including attorneys' fees.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 9

Dated: August 24, 2023 New York, New York.

WEBER GALLAGHER SIMPSON **STAPLETON FIRES & NEWBY LLP**

Jan Alle By:

JAMES A. WESCOE Attorneys for Defendants Duke Hur and J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc. 1500 Broadway, Suite 2401 New York, New York 10036 Phone: (929) 342-6000 Fax: (929) 342-6001

TO (Via ECF):

Δ

THE PARIS LAW GROUP, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff Deborah Lara, Esq. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4000 New York, New York 10165 (212) 970-8754

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.