DOCKE

SUMMONS

Index No.:

08421

Þ

### STATE OF NEW YORK SUPREME COURT COUNTY OF FULTON

ANTHONY N. CHAMBERS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

BROADALBIN-PERTH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT AND BROADALBIN-PERTH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF EDUCATION,

Defendants.

To the above-named Defendants:

You are summoned and required to serve upon Plaintiff's attorneys, at the address stated below, an Answer to the attached Complaint.

If this Summons was personally served upon you in the State of New York, the Answer must be served within twenty (20) days after such service of the Summons, excluding the date of service. If the Summons was not personally delivered to you within the State of New York, the Answer must be served within thirty (30) days after the service of the Summons is complete as provided by law.

If you do not serve an Answer to the attached Complaint within the applicable time limitation stated above, a judgment may be entered against you, by default, for the relief demanded in the Complaint, without further notice to you.

THE ACTION WILL BE HEARD IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF

NEW YORK, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF FULTON. THIS ACTION IS BROUGHT IN

THE COUNTY OF FULTON BECAUSE IT IS THE COUNTY IN WHICH THE

DEFENDANTS RESIDED WHEN THIS ACTION WAS COMMENCED AND BECAUSE

IT IS THE COUNTY IN WHICH A SUBSTANTIAL PART OF THE EVENTS OR

OMISSIONS GIVING RISE TO THIS CLAIM OCCURRED.

Dated: July 28, 2020

by: Jason A. Framerit Eson for: LaFave, Wein & Frament, PLLC Attorneys for Plaintiff 2400 Western Avenue Guilderland, New York 12084-9770 518-869-9094

> Jeffrey R. Anderson, Esq. Taylor Stippel, Esq. Jeff Anderson & Associates, P.A. *Attorneys for Plaintiff* 55 West 39<sup>th</sup> Street, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10018 646-759-2551

### STATE OF NEW YORK SUPREME COURT COUNTY OF FULTON

ANTHONY N. CHAMBERS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

BROADALBIN-PERTH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT AND BROADALBIN-PERTH CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF EDUCATION,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, by and through his attorneys, LaFave, Wein & Frament, PLLC, complains against the Defendants Broadalbin-Perth Central School District and Broadalbin-Perth Central School District Board of Education, as follows:

1. At all times mentioned herein, Plaintiff resided in the County of Montgomery,

State of New York.

2. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant Broadalbin-Perth Central School District was and is a governmental entity, subdivision, agency, and/or administrative body organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.

3. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant Broadalbin-Perth Central School District Board of Education was and is a governmental entity, subdivision, agency, and/or administrative body organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.

4. This is a revival action brought pursuant to the New York Child Victim's Act, CPLR 214-g. This action alleges physical, psychological, and emotional injuries suffered

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

COMPLAINT

Index No.:

08421

DOCKE

RM

as a result of conduct which would constitute a sexual offense on a minor as defined in Article 130 and/or section 263.05 of the New York Penal Law, or a predecessor statute that prohibited such conduct at the time which conduct was committed against a child less than eighteen years of age. As a civil cause of action was previously time barred prior to August 14, 2019, the terms of the Child Victims Act, CPLR section 214-g, revive the claims set forth herein.

5. Defendants are both headquartered at 20 Pine Street, Broadalbin, County of Fulton, State of New York.

6. At all times mentioned herein there existed a middle school located at 1870 County Route 107, Amsterdam, New York, more commonly known as Broadalbin-Perth Middle School.

7. At all times mentioned herein, defendants operated, maintained and/or owned Broadalbin-Perth Middle School.

8. At all times mentioned herein, Elizabeth Munn was a teacher employed by Defendants.

9. At all times mentioned herein, Elizabeth Munn was a teacher at Broadalbin-Perth Middle School.

10. At all times mentioned herein, Elizabeth Munn remained under the direct supervision, employ and control of the Defendants. Defendants placed Elizabeth Munn in positions where she had access to and worked with children as an integral part of her work.

11. At all times mentioned herein, Plaintiff was a student at Broadalbin-Perth

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Middle School. Plaintiff came into contact with Elizabeth Munn as an agent and representative of Defendants.

12. Through his involvement and attendance at Broadalbin-Perth Middle School, Plaintiff developed great admiration, trust and respect for the school district, and came to know and trust Elizabeth Munn as his teacher, mentor, agent of the district and authority figure.

13. During and throughout his attendance at Broadalbin-Perth Middle School, Plaintiff, as a minor and vulnerable child, was dependent on Defendants and Elizabeth Munn. Defendants had custody of Plaintiff and accepted the entrustment of Plaintiff and, therefore, had responsibility for Plaintiff and authority over Plaintiff.

14. From approximately 2006-2007, when Plaintiff was approximately 14 to 15 years old, Elizabeth Munn engaged in unpermitted sexual contact with Plaintiff.

15. Elizabeth Munn engaged in unpermitted sexual contact with Plaintiff on numerous occasions on Defendants' property, specifically Broadalbin-Perth Middle School, and/or accomplished the sexual abuse through the use of Defendants' chattels.

16. Prior to the sexual abuse of Plaintiff, Defendants learned or should have learned that Elizabeth Munn was not fit to work with children.

17. Defendants knew or should have known that Elizabeth Munn was a danger to children before she sexually molested Plaintiff.

#### AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

18. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all prior paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.