FILED: KINGS COUNTY CLERK 05/18/2023 01:32 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 22

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF KINGS

-----Х

Index # 508724/23

ZELIG GOLDMAN, Administrator for the Estate of SARAH GOLDMAN, Deceased, and ZELIG GOLDMAN, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

VERIFIED ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT

CODY DeMARCO, M.D., SANDERS CHANG, M.D., BRUCE SAFFRAN, M.D., STEVEN NAYMAGON, M.D., RANDOLPH

M. STEINHAGEN, and MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL, Defendants

x 7	٢
 х	

Defendant, SANDERS CHANG, M.D., by his attorneys, WILSON, ELSER,

MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN and DICKER LLP, hereby answers the Verified Complaint as follows:

1. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in unnumbered Paragraph (directly above First Cause of Action).

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

2. Deny the allegations contained in Paragraphs "1", "2", "3", "4", "5", "6", "7", "9", "10", "11", "12", "13", "14", "15", "17", "18", "19", "20", "21", "22", "23", "25", "26", "27", "28", "29", "30", "31", "33", "34", "35", "36", "37", "38", "39", "41", "42", "43", "45", " in the form alleged, and refer all questions of law to the Honorable Court.

3. Deny the allegations contained in Paragraphs "8", "16", "24", "32", "40", " in the form alleged, except admit that plaintiff-decedent SARAH GOLDMAN was a patient at THE MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL s/h/a MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL.

4. Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph "44" in the form alleged except admit that THE MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL s/h/a MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL is a domestic corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York, and refer questions of law to the Honorable Court.

5. Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph "46" in the form alleged except admit that THE MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL s/h/a MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL maintained appropriate services incident to its function as a hospital, and refer all questions of law to the Honorable Court.

6. Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph "47".

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

7. In response to Paragraph "48", repeat each admission or denial made herein as though fully set forth hereat.

8. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "49", "51", and "52".

9. Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph "50" and "53".

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

10. In response to Paragraph "54", repeat each admission or denial made herein as though fully set forth hereat.

11. Deny the allegations in Paragraphs "55", "56", "57", "58", "59", "60", and "61".

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

12. The answering Defendant asserts those applicable affirmative defenses for which provision is made at Public Health Law Section 2805(d).

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

13. That the alleged injuries of plaintiff was caused in whole or in part by the culpable conduct of the plaintiff which either bars the claims completely or else diminishes the damages by

the proportion that such culpable conduct of the plaintiff bears to the total culpable conduct causing the alleged injuries.

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

14. Pursuant to CPLR Article 16, the liability, if any, of the answering Defendant, for non-economic loss shall not exceed his/her equitable share of liability.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

15. If plaintiff is entitled to recover damages for economic loss as against the answering Defendant by reason of the matters alleged in the complaint, the liability for which is hereby denied, then pursuant to CPLR 4545 the amount of damages recoverable against said Defendant, if any, shall be reduced by the amount by which such economic loss was or will be replaced or indemnified from any collateral source payment.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

16. If plaintiff is entitled to recover damages for loss of earnings or impairment of earning ability as against the answering Defendant by reason of the matters alleged in the complaint, the liability for which is hereby denied, then pursuant to CPLR 4546 the amount of damages recoverable against said Defendant, if any, shall be reduced by the amount of federal, state and local income taxes which the plaintiff would have been obligated by law to pay.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

17. The Complaint fails to state a cause of action upon which relief can be granted as a matter of law.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

18. Plaintiff has failed to mitigate the alleged damages claimed herein.

AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

19. The answering Defendant claims the benefit of each and every provision of General Obligation Law §15-108 including, but not limited to, the reduction of plaintiff's claim against the answering Defendants herein to the extent of any amounts stipulated by a release or covenant not to sue entered into by the plaintiff or in the amount of consideration paid for same, or in the amount of any released tortfeasor's equitable share of the damages under Article 14 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, whichever is the greatest, or whichever the answering Defendant herein elects to apply.

AS AND FOR A NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

20. That any injuries or damages claimed were caused, in whole or in part, by the negligence or other culpable conduct of third parties over which the answering Defendant had no control or right to exercise such control.

AS AND FOR A TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

21. The complaint is time barred inasmuch as suit was not initiated within the statutory period of limitations prescribed in CPLR §214-a, and EPTL § 5-4.1.

AS AND FOR AN ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

22. The alleged causes of action set forth in the plaintiffs Complaint against Defendant is barred, including by Executive Order 202.10, Education Law § 6527(2), Education Law § 6545, Education Law § 6909, Article 30-D of the Public Health Law, Public Health Law §3082, and/or Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness Act ("PREP Act"), 42 U.S.C.§ 247D-6D and 42 U.S.C. § 247d-6c. The defendant was providing medical services in support of the response to COVID-19. The causes of action set forth in the plaintiff's Complaint sound in ordinary negligence and/or medical malpractice, and they do not constitute gross negligence. The plaintiff's NYSCEF DOC. NO. 22

bare assertions of gross negligence in the Complaint lack the required specificity to support a cause

of action for gross negligence.

WHEREFORE, Defendant SANDERS CHANG, M.D. demands judgment dismissing the

Verified Complaint of the plaintiff herein, together with costs, disbursements, and attorney's fees

of this action.

Dated: New York, New York May 18, 2023

Yours, etc.

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

By:

Richard Ng, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants CODY DeMARCO, M.D., SANDERS CHANG, M.D., STEVEN NAYMAGON, M.D., RANDOLPH M. STEINHAGEN, M.D., and THE MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL s/h/a MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL 150 East 42nd Street New York, New York 10007 (212) 490-3000 File No.: 12895.434

To: Sheldon E. Green Attorneys for Plaintiff 566 Sunset Drive Woodmere, New York 11598 (516) 569-3300

DOCKF

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.