

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF Kings

U.S. Bank Trust National Association

Plaintiff,

v.

Nysheva Starr

Defendant(s).

Index No. 537764/2023

VERIFIED *PRO SE* ANSWER  
TO FORECLOSURE  
COMPLAINT

AND COUNTERCLAIMS

[Defendant: check this box if you  
checked any item in the  
"counterclaim" section below]

2024 APR 17 PM 3:06

KINGS COUNTY CLERK  
FILED

Defendant Nysheva Starr, *Pro Se*, answers the complaint in this proceeding as follows:

I generally deny each allegation of the Complaint, including any allegation that Plaintiff is the owner of the note and mortgage.

**I plead the following Defenses and Counterclaims:**

**DEFENSES**

**Lack of Standing:** Plaintiff, upon information and belief, was not the legal owner of the note and/or mortgage, and did not otherwise have the right to enforce the mortgage, at the time it commenced this foreclosure lawsuit and therefore lacks standing.

**Foreclosure Cause of Action:** Plaintiff has not pleaded that it owns the note and mortgage, which is an element of a foreclosure cause of action, and it therefore has failed to plead a foreclosure cause of action.

- Statute of Limitations** (NY Civil Practice Law and Rules § 213(4)): Plaintiff may not sue on all or part of the mortgage debt because Plaintiff, upon information and belief, commenced this action more than six years after the debt became due, which defense also supports the separately pleaded counterclaim to cancel and discharge the mortgage under NY Real Property Actions and Proceedings Law § 1501(4).
- Service of Process** (NY Civil Practice Law and Rules § 308): I was not properly served with process in this action for the following reason(s): The process server never served the Defendant Nysheva Starr. The affidavit of service fails to prescribe an accurate description of the Defendant. She has brown skin<sup>and</sup> brown hair. She is 47-years-old. She is five foot four inches tall. She is 135 pounds. She also avers that she was not home on that date at that time. Thus, she never admitted her identity or even spoke with the process server.
- Prior Pending Action** (NY Real Property Actions and Proceedings Law § 1301): Plaintiff impermissibly commenced this action because there is a prior pending action to recover all or part of the mortgage debt.
- No Default/Payment or Partial Payment**: I have paid, in whole or in part, the amounts claimed by Plaintiff, or the amounts claimed by Plaintiff are not due, or the loan is otherwise not in default.

### Reverse Mortgages

- Reverse Mortgage--Notice Requirements**: Plaintiff failed to comply with the notice requirements under New York and/or federal law or failed to comply with contractual requirements of the reverse mortgage, which are conditions precedent to this foreclosure action.
- Reverse Mortgage—Failure to Specify Alleged Default**: The complaint is vague and does not specify the alleged default and/or the amount(s) plaintiff claims in this action.

- Reverse Mortgage—Foreclosure on a Reverse Mortgage for Property Charge Defaults is Against Public Policy:** The purpose of reverse mortgage loans under the Home Equity Conversion Mortgage (“HECM”) program is to assist senior citizens to stay in their homes, and reverse mortgage lenders have other remedies in the event of alleged failure to pay property charges. Plaintiff, accordingly, as a matter of equity and public policy, should not be permitted to foreclose.
- Reverse Mortgage—NY Real Property Law § 280-b:** Plaintiff and/or its predecessor-in interest, upon information and belief, violated the requirements of NY Real Property Law § 280-b, compliance with which is a condition precedent to commencing an action to foreclose on a reverse mortgage loan covered by that statute, mandating dismissal of this foreclosure action, which defense also supports the separately pleaded counterclaim for damages under NY Real Property Law § 280-b.

#### Predicate Notices/Conditions Precedent

- Notice of Default:** Plaintiff failed to comply with the requirements for the notice of default in my mortgage loan agreement, a condition precedent to this foreclosure action.
- 90-Day Notice Requirement** (NY Real Property Actions and Proceedings Law § 1304): Plaintiff failed to comply with the requirements of NY Real Property Actions and Proceedings Law § 1304, a condition precedent to this foreclosure action.
- 90-Day Notice Filing Requirement** (NY Real Property and Proceedings Law § 1306): Plaintiff failed to comply with the requirements of NY Real Property and Proceedings Law § 1306, a condition precedent to this foreclosure action.
- Help for Homeowners in Foreclosure Notice Requirement** (NY Real Property Actions and Proceedings Law § 1303): Plaintiff failed to comply with the requirements of NY Real Property and Proceedings Law § 1303, a condition precedent to this foreclosure action.

**Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act** **Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act Early Intervention Requirement (12 C.F.R.**

§ 1024.39): Upon information and belief, Plaintiff violated the early intervention requirements of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act because (*check one or both if applicable*):

Within 36 days of my delinquency, the loan servicer did not attempt to establish live contact with me to inform me about the availability of loss mitigation options.

Within 45 days of my delinquency, the loan servicer did not send me a written notice that included contact information for the servicer, a description of loss mitigation options available from the servicer, information about applying for loss mitigation, and a website listing housing counselors.

 **Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act Pre-Foreclosure Review Requirement (12 C.F.R.**

§ 1024.41): Plaintiff impermissibly filed this foreclosure during the pre-foreclosure review period because (*check one or both if applicable*):

Plaintiff commenced this action before my loan was more than 120 days delinquent.

I submitted a complete loss mitigation application to my loan servicer but Plaintiff commenced this action (1) before the loan servicer made a decision on that application, (2) before the time period to appeal the loan servicer's decision lapsed, or (3) before the loan servicer made a decision on an appeal I submitted in connection with the loss mitigation application.

**FHA-Insured Loans**

**FHA Pre-Foreclosure Requirements:** My loan is insured by the Federal Housing Administration. Upon information and belief, the loan servicer/mortgagee has not complied

with regulations of the Department of Housing and Urban Development because the loan servicer/mortgagee did not do one or more of the following (*check all that are applicable*):

- Send me a notice of default before the end of the second month of my delinquency (24 C.F.R. § 203.602).
- Attempt to arrange a face-to-face interview with me before three full monthly installments due under the mortgage were unpaid (24 C.F.R. § 203.604).
- Evaluate me for loss mitigation before four full monthly installments due under the mortgage were unpaid (24 C.F.R. § 203.605).
- Wait until three full monthly installments due under the mortgage were unpaid before commencing this foreclosure action (24 C.F.R. § 203.606).

\*\*\*\*\*

- Certificate of Merit Requirement** (NY Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3012-b): Upon information and belief, Plaintiff failed to comply with the Certificate of Merit requirements of NY Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3012-b.
- Request for Judicial Intervention** (NY Codes, Rules and Regulations Title 22, § 202.12-a(b)): Upon information and belief, Plaintiff did not file a Request for Judicial Intervention.
- Excessive Interest and Fees** (NY Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3408(f)): In a prior foreclosure action, Plaintiff failed to negotiate in good faith pursuant to CPLR 3408(f). This failure to negotiate in good faith has caused excessive interest and fees to accrue which Plaintiff, as a matter of equity and by operation of the CPLR, is not entitled to recover.
- Excessive Interest** (NY Civil Practice Law and Rules § 5001(a)): Plaintiff has unreasonably

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.