

EXHIBIT "A"

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
FAUSTO DEJESUS,

Plaintiff,

- against -

TATIANA MOSHIACHVILI and MICHAEL
MOSHIACHVILI,

Defendants.
----- X

VERDICT SHEET

Index No.: 153314/2012

Hon. Gerald Lebovits
Justice, Supreme Court


TATIANA MOSCHIACHVILI,

Counterclaim-Plaintiff,

- against -

FAUSTO DEJESUS,

Counterclaim-Defendants.
----- X



SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES

At least five (5) jurors must agree on the answers to the following questions, but they need not be the same five jurors for each question. When you have reached a verdict and are reporting to the Court, be sure that all of you have signed the last page.

1. **FALSE ARREST** – Did defendant/counter-claim plaintiff TATIANA MOSCHIACHVILI intentionally, and without the right to do so, cause plaintiff to be arrested and confined based on the allegations she told the police?

Answer: Yes ☒ No ☐

All jurors agreeing sign here: 1.

Peter Heap
Archieff
Theresa

4.

Jordan Lebovits
Justin D.
Hefer

Dissenting Juror (if any): 1.

Proceed to question #2.

2. **MALICIOUS PROSECUTION** – Did defendant/counter-claim plaintiff TATIANA MOSCHIACHVILI cause the malicious prosecution of plaintiff/counter-claim defendant FAUSTO DEJESUS?

Answer: Yes ✓ No

All jurors agreeing sign here:

1. Peter Heap

4.

Jordan Kelly

2. Andrew Pin

5.

Guillermo

3. Phillip Li

6.

Lydia

Dissenting Juror (if any): 1.

Proceed to question #3.

3. **MALICIOUS PROSECUTION** – Did defendant MICHAEL MOSCHIACHVILI cause the malicious prosecution of plaintiff/counter-claim defendant FAUSTO DEJESUS?

Answer: Yes ✓ No

All jurors agreeing sign here: 1.

Peter Hap

4.

Sweden Kelt

2.

Anthony

5.

James

3.

Phillip

6.

Jepe

Dissenting Juror (if any):

1.

Proceed to question #4.

4. **ABUSE OF PROCESS** – Did defendant/counter-claim plaintiff TATIANA MOSCHIACHVILI: (1) cause the arrest and/or prosecution of plaintiff/counter-claim defendant FAUSTO DEJESUS for a purpose for which the arrest and/or prosecution were not legally intended; (2) act without excuse or justification; **and** (3) act for the purpose of causing harm? (*All three elements must be satisfied to answer "YES"*).

Answer: Yes ✓ No

All jurors agreeing sign here: 1. Peter Heap

2. [Signature]

3. [Signature]

4. [Signature]

5. [Signature]

6. [Signature]

Dissenting Juror (if any): 1.

Proceed to question #5.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.