MVCCEE DOC NO 00

DECETTED 1777 CE / 21 / 201 F

INDEX NO. 190028/2014

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2017

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

	NI CUNTU BEAN	DADT
PRESENT: HON. MARTI	N SHULIVIAN Justice	PART\
Index Number : 190028/2014 COONEY, GEORGE		INDEX NO.
AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., Sequence Number : 004 TRIAL DE NOVO		MOTION DATE
The following papers, numbered 1 to 3	, were read on this motion to/for	t-trial relief
Notice of Motion/Order to Show Cause — Answering Affidavits — Exhibits — Exhibits — The Replying Affidavits — The Replying	5 G-I	No(s). No(s). No(s).
Upon the foregoing papers, it is ordered with the decis	that this motion is decided in spread upon . If transaipt o	the record on attached).
Dated: 7/28/17		, J.S.C.
HECK ONE:	CASE DISPOSED	HON. MARTIN SHULMAN
HECK AS APPROPRIATE:MC	OTION IS: GRANTED DENIE	ED GRANTED IN PART OTHER
HECK IF APPROPRIATE:	SETTLE ORDER	☐ SUBMIT ORDER



MOTION/CASE IS RESPECTFULLY REFERRED TO JUSTICE

2.

INDEX NO. 190028/2014 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2017

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 90

1

1			
2	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK: CIVIL TERM PART 1		
3	X		
4	GEORGE COONEY,		
5	Plaintiff,		
6	INDEX NUMBER: - against - 190028/14		
7	AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., BORG-WARNER CORPORATION,		
8	CATERPILLAR, INC., CERTAINTEED CORPORATION, CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC., DANA COMPANIES,		
9	Defendants.		
	<u> </u>		
10	60 Centre Street		
11	New York, New York July 7, 2017		
12			
13	BEFORE:		
14			
15	HONORABLE MARTIN SHULMAN, Justice		
16			
17	APPEARANCES:		
18	WEITZ & LUXENBERG Attorney for the Plaintiff		
19	760 Broadway New York, New York 10003		
20	BY: DANNY R. KRAFT, JR., ESQ., Of Counsel PIERRE A. RATZKI, ESQ., Of Counsel		
21	HOLWELL, SHUSTER & GOLDBERG, LLP Attorney for the Defendant		
, 22	750 Seventh Avenue, 26th Floor New York, New York 10019		
23	BY: JAMES M. MCGUIRE, ESQ., Of Counsel DANIEL M. SULLIVAN, ESQ., Of Counsel		
24	DIMITED M. SOUDIVAM, EDQ., OI COUNSEL		
25	MONICA HORVATH		
26	SENIOR COURT REPORTER		
•			



NYSCEF DOC. NO. 90

INDEX NO. 190028/2014

2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2017

Court in an

1	Proceedings
2	THE COURT: Good morning, everybody.
3	MR. KRAFT: Good morning, Your Honor.
4	MR. RATZKI: Good morning, Your Honor.
5	MR. SULLIVAN: Good morning, Your Honor.
6	MR. MCGUIRE: Good morning, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: I thank counsel who have come on
8	short notice this morning to accommodate the Court in
9	effort to resolve or dispose of Caterpillar Inc.'s,
10	4404(a) motion to dismiss on a variety of grounds.
11	As stated off the record, in an effort to
12	foster judicial economy and move things along, it was
13	the Court's considered judgment to render a bench
14	decision, rather than devote a great deal of time to
15	writing an opinion here. I think it best serves the
16	parties in this case.

That said, because this transcript is the Court's decision based on different comments or opinions that I may make during the course of oral argument, I requested and obtained consent of counsel to allow the Court to reread the transcript for that portion of the transcript of the Court's colloquy preferably to correct typographical errors and things of that nature.

I will not in any way change or modify any of the comments, arguments, statements by respective counsel on this matter.



17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 90

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2017

INDEX NO. 190028/2014

3

Proceedings

Bear in mind, oral argument is not what counts. What counts are the motion papers, the trial transcript, and the evidence that was submitted, all that is the record if an appeal is taken goes up. And all that is what my decision will be predicated on, notwithstanding how creative, clever and charming, the arguments are at this point.

That said, let's sort of hit the ground running with Mr. Sullivan addressing what I think might be one of the easier issues to address as we go through the different arguments seriatim.

MR. SULLIVAN: Certainly, Your Honor, so --

THE COURT: So, I opened the door.

Let's talk about the Article 16 issue, first.

MR. MCGUIRE: That is basically mine.

I thought Your Honor was beginning with causation.

THE COURT: No. There is no particular order of importance.

MR. MCGUIRE: Okay.

James McGuire, for the defendant Caterpillar Inc.

With respect to the Article 16 issue, as Your Honor will recall, the sole ground that was, you know, raised at trial was basically the contention that the



NYSCEF DOC. NO. 90

INDEX NO. 190028/2014

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2017

Proceedings

other manufacturers of the forklifts and the asbestos-containing products should not be on the verdict sheet for Article 16 purposes. Because there weren't any hypothetical questions asked of the causation expert for the plaintiff with respect to those entities.

And, you know, our position is basically that that is just a red herring. Because the -- Mr. Cooney testified that he was exposed to the forklifts of the other manufacturers in the exact same way. He said he couldn't distinguish them in any way. His exposure to their forklifts, and their asbestos-containing products in any -- it wasn't in any way different. He said a forklift, is a forklift, is a forklift.

And, so, our point is that the jury could not reasonably or rationally have accepted that Caterpillar, was liable without, without finding that the other parties, that Mr. Skelly sought to have included on the verdict sheet were also liable.

THE COURT: Mr. McGuire, two points or rather a question and a point.

Correct me, if I'm wrong, but can an adverse party such as Caterpillar, use an expert on the other side in the affirmative to satisfy your independent and discrete burden to put a particular Article 16 entity on



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

