

# Exhibit A

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ANN MARIE IDELL, as Executrix of the Estate of THOMAS  
MCGLYNN, Deceased, : Index No.: 190219/2016

Plaintiffs, :

-against- :

**ORDER WITH  
NOTICE OF ENTRY**


AERCO INTERNATIONAL INC., et al. :

Defendants. :

-----X  
**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE**, that the within is a true copy of an Order dated September  
13, 2018 and entered in the Office of the Clerk of Court on September 14, 2018.

Date: New York, New York  
September 14, 2018

**SIMMONS HANLY CONROY**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
112 Madison Avenue  
New York, NY 10016-7416  
(212) 784-6400

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Laurence V. Nassif, Esq.

Manzanet-Daniels, J.P., Gische, Andrias, Kapnick, Kern, JJ.

6936-

Index 190219/16

6937-

6938

In re New York City Asbestos Litigation

Ann Marie Idell, etc.,  
Plaintiff-Respondent,

-against-

Aerco International, Inc., et al.,  
Defendants,

Crane Co., et al.,  
Defendants-Respondents,

Jenkins Bros.,  
Defendant-Appellant.

Clyde & Co US LLP, New York (Peter J. Dinunzio of counsel), for appellant.

Simmons Hanly Conroy LLC, New York (James Kramer of counsel), for Ann Marie Idell, respondent.

K&L Gates, LLP, New York (Tara L. Pehush of counsel), for Crane Co., respondent.

Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggin, P.C., New York (Daniel W. Levin of counsel), for Warren Pumps LLC, respondent.

Orders, Supreme Court, New York County (Martin Shulman, J.), entered August 15, 2017, which granted defendants Crane Co. and Warren Pumps LLC's respective motions to quash trial subpoenas issued to them, unanimously affirmed, without costs. Order, same court and Justice, entered December 15, 2017, which denied defendant Jenkins Bros.' (defendant) motion pursuant to CPLR 4404

to set aside the verdict, and granted plaintiff's motion pursuant to CPLR 4404 to set aside the verdict to the extent of directing a new trial unless defendant stipulated to an increase in the jury awards of \$1.8 million and \$1.5 million for past and future pain and suffering, respectively, to \$4 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, unanimously modified, on the law, the facts and as a matter of discretion, to vacate the additurs for past and future pain and suffering and to direct a new trial on past pain and suffering only, unless, within 30 days of service of a copy of this order with notice of entry, defendant stipulates to increase the award for past pain and suffering to \$4 million, and to reinstate the jury's future pain and suffering award, and otherwise affirmed, without costs.

The Supreme Court properly precluded defendant from eliciting testimony from plaintiff's expert regarding exposure to asbestos in the alleged nonparty tortfeasors' products because the court properly found that defendant failed to establish specific causation against such alleged nonparty tortfeasors (see *Matter of New York City Asbestos Litig.*, 148 AD3d 233, 238-239 [1st Dept 2017]).

Moreover, contrary to defendant's contention that General Obligations Law § 15-108 requires that the settled defendants be included on the verdict sheet for apportionment purposes

regardless of whether any evidence of their liability was presented, failure to present a prima facie case of their liability "constitutes a waiver of the nonsettling tortfeasor's right to reduction of the verdict based on an apportionment of fault, but not based on the amount of the settlement" (*Whalen v Kawasaki Motors Corp., U.S.A.*, 242 AD2d 919, 920 [4th Dept 1997], *mod on other grounds* 92 NY2d 288 [1998]).

The court properly precluded defendant from introducing evidence of plaintiff's alleged exposure to asbestos in Scotland before he emigrated to the United States because such evidence was speculative.

On the record and arguments before us, it was not error for Supreme Court to quash the subpoena issued to defendant Crane Co. as such subpoena was improperly served. Any error in quashing the subpoena issued to defendant Warren Pumps LLC based on a finding that such subpoena was improperly served was harmless.

Supreme Court properly charged the jury on the issue of recklessness. Based on the circumstances of this case, which include plaintiff's continued exposure to defendant's valves through 1986, there was sufficient evidence from which a jury could determine that defendant was aware that workers such as plaintiff were at risk from exposure to asbestos (*Matter of New York City Asbestos Litig.*, 89 NY2d 955, 956-957 [1997]).

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.