

Exhibit A

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF QUEENS

RUBI VARGAS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.I.C, APPLE COMPACTOR CORP., BIG APPLE
COMPACTOR COMPANY, INC., BIG APPLE
COMPACTOR REPAIRER INC., "JOHN DOES,"
persons intended to be manufacturers,
distributors, repairers, assemblers
of garbage compactor model number
AP-100,

Defendants.

To the above named Defendant

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the complaint in this
action and to serve a copy of your answer, of, if the complaint is
not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on
the Plaintiffs' Attorney within 20 days after the service of this
summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after
the service is complete if this summons is not personally
delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of
your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against
you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated: New York, New York
October 2, 2013

GEORGE N. STATFELD, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
3 West 35th Street
New York, New York 10001
(212) 947 - 9166

DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS:

A.I.C, APPLE COMPACTOR CORP./BIG APPLE COMPACTOR COMPANY, INC., 64-20
Laurel Hill Boulevard, Woodside, NY 11377

BIG APPLE COMPACTOR REPAIRER INC., 4271 Hunter Street, Long Island
City, NY 11101

Filed on:
Index No:

Plaintiff designates
QUEENS COUNTY
as place of trial

SUMMONS

Basis of Venue:
Defendant's address

Plaintiff's residence
570 156th Street
New York, New York 10031

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF QUEENS

-----X
RUBI VARGAS,

Filed On:
Index No.:

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

PLAINTIFF'S VERIFIED
COMPLAINT

A.I.C, APPLE COMPACTOR CORP., BIG APPLE
COMPACTOR COMPANY, INC., BIG APPLE
COMPACTOR REPAIRER INC., "JOHN DOES,"
persons intended to be manufacturers,
distributors, repairers, assemblers
of garbage compactor model number
AP-100,

Defendant(s),

-----X

Plaintiff, by his attorney, GEORGE N. STATFELD, ESQ., upon
information and belief, alleges:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

1. Plaintiff resides in the County of New York, City and
State of New York.

2. Defendant is a duly organized domestic and foreign
corporation, and does business in New York State.

3. At all times herein mentioned the defendant was engaged
in the business of manufacturing and repairing, maintaining,
assembling, distributing a compactor model # AP-100 and serial #
unknown, photo annexed forming part of this Summons and
Complaint.

4. That on or about September 17, 2012, the plaintiff was
employed by PARKOF GROUP, located at 98 Cuttermell Street, Suite
444, Great Neck, NY 11021.

5. That on or about the 17th day of September 2012, the

plaintiff was working with the said machine, and was injured solely due to the negligence, carelessness and recklessness of the defendant herein, all to his damage in the sum TWO (\$2,000,000.00) DOLLARS.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

6. The defendant herein each in connection with their business activities aforementioned warranted and represented expressly and implied that their product was fit, capable and suitable for the use and purposes intended and that same was of merchantable quality and the same was safe, not dangerous and improper.

7. Plaintiff was entitled to rely upon the warranty of merchantability and fitness for use.

8. Defendant was in breach of express warranty.

9. By reason of the foregoing, plaintiff has been damaged in the sum of TWO (\$2,000,000.00) MILLION DOLLARS.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

10. By reason of the foregoing, the defendant was in breach of implied warranty.

11. By reason of the foregoing, the plaintiff has been damaged in the sum of TWO (\$2,000,000.00) MILLION DOLLARS.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

12. Said product/machine was in a defective, unsafe and hazardous condition and was defectively designed in a manner which was unnecessarily unsafe and dangerous to users and/or

consumers.

13. Said defects and dangerous conditions were of a substantial factor in bringing about the plaintiff's injuries and were known or in the exercise of reasonable care should have been known to the defendants.

14. The product/machine was defective at the time of manufacture and/or distribution.

15. By reason of the foregoing, defendant has become strictly liable in tort to the plaintiff, and has been damaged in the amount of TWO (\$2,000,000.00) MILLION DOLLARS.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff(s) demand judgment against the defendants as follows:

As and for all causes of Action - TWO (\$2,000,000.00) MILLION DOLLARS; together with interests, costs and disbursements.

Dated: New York, New York

October 2, 2013

Yours, etc.,

GEORGE N. STATFELD, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiff
3 West 35th Street
New York, New York 10001
(212) 947 - 9166

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.