

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF SUFFOLK

----- X
JASON VANDEWATER,

Plaintiff,

- against -

DAVID BUCHIN, M.D., JOANNE VITALE, R.P.A.,
CORY A. MUSCARA, M.D., CORY A. MUSCARA,
M.D., P.C., CORY A. MUSCARA, M.D., P.C. D/B/A
FAMILY MEDICINE ASSOCIATES OF WEST
BABYLON, HUNTINGTON HOSPITAL, and NORTH
SHORE-LONG ISLAND JEWISH HEALTH SYSTEM,
INC.,

Defendants.
----- X

Index No.: 063854/2014

**DECISION AND ORDER
WITH NOTICE OF ENTRY**

Hon. Justice Joseph Santorelli

C O U N S E L O R S :

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that annexed hereto is a true copy of a Decision and Order by the Hon. Justice Joseph A. Santorelli, duly entered with the Clerk of the Court, Suffolk County, on February 14, 2019.

Dated: Lake Success, NY
February 14, 2019

SHAUB, AHMUTY, CITRIN & SPRATT, LLP

By: _____


NICHOLAS TAM

Attorneys for Defendants
**DAVID BUCHIN, M.D., HUNTINGTON
HOSPITAL, AND NORTH SHORE-LONG
ISLAND JEWISH HEALTH SYSTEM, INC.**
1983 Marcus Avenue
Lake Success, New York 11042-1056
(516) 488-3300
Our File No.: 902-00018

TO: SULLIVAN, PAPAIN BLOCK
McGRATH & CANNAVO, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
1140 Franklin Avenue, Suite 200
Garden City, New York 11530
(516) 742-0707

BOWER LAW, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
CORY A. MUSCARA, M.D.,
CORY A. MUSCARA, M.D., P.C.,
CORY A. MUSCARA, M.D., P.C. D/B/A
FAMILY MEDICINE ASSOCIATES OF WEST BABYLON
1220 RXR Plaza
Uniondale, New York 11556
(516) 881-4380

SHORT FORM ORDER

INDEX No. 063854/2014
CAL No. 201601797MM

SUPREME COURT - STATE OF NEW YORK
I.A.S. PART 10 - SUFFOLK COUNTY

ORIGINAL

PRESENT:

Hon. JOSEPH A. SANTORELLI
Justice of the Supreme Court

MOTION DATE 11-9-18
SUBMIT DATE 1-24-19
Mot. Seq. # 03 - MD
04 - MD

-----X
JASON VANDEWATER,

Plaintiff,

- against -

DAVID BUCHIN, M.D., JOANNE VITALE,
R.P.A., CORY A. MUSCARA, M.D., CORY
A. MUSCARA, M.D., P.C., CORY A.
MUSCARA, M.D., P.C. d/b/a FAMILY
MEDICINE ASSOCIATES OF WEST
BABYLON, HUNTINGTON HOSPITAL and
NORTH SHORE-LONG ISLAND JEWISH
HEALTH SYSTEM, INC.,

Defendants.

SULLIVAN PAPAIN BLOCK McGRATH &
CANNAVO, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff
1140 Franklin Avenue, Suite 200
Garden City, New York 11530

BOWER LAW, P.C.

Attorneys for Defendants
Cory A. Muscara, M.D., Cory A. Muscara, M.D., P.C., Cory
A. Muscara, M.D., P.C. d/b/a Family Medicine Associates of
West Babylon
1220 RXR Plaza
Uniondale, New York 11556

SHAUB, AHMUTY, CITRIN & SPRATT, LLP

Attorneys for Defendants
David Buchin, M.D., Joanne Vitale, R.P.A., Huntington
Hospital and North Shore-Long Island Jewish Health System,
Inc.
1983 Marcus Avenue
Lake Success, New York 11042-1056

-----X
Upon the following papers numbered 1-50; read on this motion to set aside verdict; Order to Show Cause and supporting papers 1 - 27 (#3); 28 - 29 (#4); ~~Notice of Cross Motion and supporting papers~~; Answering Affidavits and supporting papers 30 - 41 (#3 & 4); Replying Affidavits and supporting papers 42 - 48 (#3); 49 - 50 (#4); ~~Other~~; (and after hearing counsel in support and opposed to the motion).

In this action to recover damages for medical malpractice, defendants David Buchin, M.D., Huntington Hospital and North Shore - Long Island Jewish Health System, Inc., move for an order pursuant to CPLR 4401, 4404(A) and 5501(c) vacating the jury verdict and granting a new trial:

A. On the grounds that the verdict was against the weight of the evidence; and/or

Vandewater v Buchin, et al.

Index No. 63854/2014

Page 2

B. Due to prejudicial and improper comments made by counsel for the plaintiff during his summation; and/or

C. On the issue of damages or in the alternative a substantial remittitur of the damages award on the grounds that the jury verdict of \$8,000,000.00 for pain and suffering deviates materially from what would be reasonable compensation for the plaintiff's injuries.

Defendants Cory A. Muscara, M.D., Cory A. Muscara, M.D., P.C., d/b/a Family Medicine Associates of West Babylon separately move for an order:

A. Pursuant to CPLR 4404(A) setting aside the jury verdict on the grounds that the plaintiff did not prove a *prima facie* case that Dr. Muscara departed from good and accepted medical practice in his treatment of the plaintiff by not conducting a differential diagnosis on September 10, 2013; or

B. Setting aside the verdict and ordering a new trial on the grounds that no credible interpretation of the trial evidence met the burden of establishing that Dr. Muscara departed from good and accepted medical treatment in his treatment of the plaintiff by not conducting a proper differential diagnosis on September 10, 2013; or

C. Setting aside the verdict on the grounds that counsel for the plaintiff proffered a theory in his closing argument that had not been pleaded, was unsupported by the evidence, and was premised, in part, upon untrue and misleading information with respect to Dr. Muscara; or

D. Reducing the damages verdict or ordering a new trial on the issue of damages.

The plaintiff has opposed these motions in all respects.

OVERVIEW

On August 12, 2013, Dr. Buchin performed a gastric sleeve gastrectomy on the plaintiff. Following the surgery, the plaintiff developed complications. He was evaluated post operatively by Dr. Buchin and was treated by Dr. Muscara, (his primary care physician), but his condition worsened. On October 13, 2013, the plaintiff reported to P.A. Vitale, (who worked with Dr. Buchin), by telephone, that he was experiencing fever, chills and sweats. The plaintiff was directed to go to Huntington Hospital where a CT scan was performed revealing a gastric perforation. On October 18, 2013, Dr. Buchin performed surgery to repair the gastric perforation. Thereafter, the plaintiff had what could be characterized as a "difficult" recovery period.

Vandewater v Buchin, et al.
Index No. 63854/2014
Page 3

good and accepted medical practice in the treatment of the plaintiff by not properly responding to his telephone call on October 7, 2013; and (C) Dr. Muscara departed from good and accepted medical practice in his treatment of the plaintiff by not conducting a proper differential diagnosis on September 10, 2013. The jury assessed Dr. Buchin 90% at fault and Dr. Muscara 10% at fault.

The jury awarded the plaintiff \$8,000,000.00 for pain and suffering, including loss of enjoyment of life from August 22, 2013, until October of 2014.

THE DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO SET ASIDE THE VERDICT

Dr. Buchin argues that the evidence adduced at trial demonstrated that the plaintiff did not exhibit any symptomology suggestive of a hole or gastric leak during his post operative visits to Dr. Buchin's office. Further, the plaintiff's expert, Dr. Fischella, repeatedly contradicted himself as to when the gastric hole developed. In addition, during the time the plaintiff had the gastric leak he reported to Dr. Buchin that he felt the healthiest that he had ever been.

Dr. Buchin opines that there was no rational process by which the jury could have found against him. Moreover, the verdict was against the weight of the evidence as the plaintiff's evidence was inconsistent, contradictory and belied by proof set forth at trial.

Dr. Muscara argues that the verdict against him should be set aside since the plaintiff failed to adduce the elements of a *prima facie* case sounding in medical malpractice. Dr. Muscara claims that the plaintiff's family practice expert, Dr. Carol Rupe proffered conclusory and unsubstantiated testimony regarding departures in the differential diagnosis and causation which do not meet the criteria under New York law.

Further, according to the plaintiff's bariatric expert, Dr. Fisichella, the plaintiff was asymptomatic until October 13, 2013, thereby disputing the existence of the signs, symptoms and findings that Dr. Rupe testified should have led to a differential diagnosis of a perforated viscus on September 10, 2013. Thus, no rational interpretation of the evidence could lead to the conclusion that Dr. Muscara departed from accepted medical practice in his differential diagnosis on September 10, 2013, or that such departure was a substantial factor in causing injury to the plaintiff.

In opposition, the plaintiff contends that the jury verdict was based upon evidence which was both legally sufficient to establish a cause of action in medical malpractice and in accord with the weight of the evidence.

"A motion to set aside a jury verdict and for judgment as a matter of law will be granted only if there is no valid line of reasoning and permissible inferences which could possible lead a rational jury to the conclusion reached on the basis of the evidence presented at

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.