IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

LLOYD BELL, individually and)	
as Executor of the Estate of)	
Betty Whitley Bell, Deceased,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
V.)	1:17CV111
)	
AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL)	FILED UNDER SEAL
INDUSTRIES, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

OSTEEN, JR., District Judge

Before this court is Northwell Health, Inc.'s ("Northwell")
Motion for Reconsideration of its Motion to Intervene and Extend
Protective Order. (Doc. 388.) Also before this court is a Motion
to Vacate the Preliminary Protective Order of September 25, 2020,
(Doc. 368), filed by Defendant American International Industries
("AII") and joined by Defendant Whittaker, Clark & Daniels, Inc.
("WCD"), (Doc. 373). Lastly before this court are motions to
seal the motion to vacate and related briefing. (Docs. 370, 375,
378, 381.) The motion for reconsideration and motion to vacate
will be granted; the motions to seal will be denied.



I. BACKGROUND

Betty Whitley Bell ("Mrs. Bell") worked most of her career as a hairdresser and used Clubman brand talc powder for over thirty years, beginning in the 1970s, (Doc. 294-9 at 6-8), and continuing through 2009, (id. at 7-8). All purchased the Clubman brand in the late 1980s. (Doc. 294-3 ¶ 8.) Mrs. Bell was diagnosed with mesothelioma in July 2015. (Doc. 322-2 at 2; Doc. 205-11 at 5-6.)

In September 2015, Mrs. Bell filed workers' compensation claims with the North Carolina Industrial Commission, asserting that she was exposed to asbestos during prior employment with two textile employers—Hoechst Celanese Corporation and Pillowtex Corporation. (Doc. 322-2.) Mrs. Bell's claims were eventually dismissed without prejudice. (Doc. 333-3.)

Mrs. Bell filed this case in February 2017, arguing that exposure to asbestos in Clubman talc powder caused her mesothelioma. (Doc. 1.) Mrs. Bell passed away in June 2017. (Doc. 39-2 at 2.) The executor of her estate, Lloyd Bell, was substituted as Plaintiff in this action after Mrs. Bell passed. (Doc. 40.)

 $^{^{1}}$ All citations in this Memorandum Opinion and Order to documents filed with the court refer to the page numbers located at the bottom right-hand corner of the documents as they appear on CM/ECF.



In April 2019, Plaintiff filed a new workers' compensation claim against Mrs. Bell's two former textile employers seeking death benefits.² (Doc. 322-5.) The claims were again dismissed without prejudice. (Doc. 333-11.)

In January 2020, the peer-reviewed Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine published an article titled "Mesothelioma Associated With the Use of Cosmetic Talc." (Doc. 274-1 at 2.) Dr. Jacqueline Moline was the article's lead author. (Id.) The article analyzed medical records and deposition transcripts for thirty-three anonymous individuals diagnosed with mesothelioma for whom Dr. Moline had conducted a "medico-legal evaluation as part of tort litigation." (Id.) The article stated that each of the thirty-three individuals had no known asbestos exposure other than talcum powder. (Id.) The article claimed to be "the first large case series to identify cosmetic talcum powder contaminated with asbestos as the cause of malignant mesothelioma in cosmetic talc users." (Id. at 5.) Prior to drafting the article, Dr. Moline received Institutional Review Board ("IRB") approval from her employer, Northwell. (Doc. 2652-1 at 2; Doc. 392-1 at 2-4.) That approval referenced federal regulations governing human subject research and waived

 $^{^2}$ Both this and the prior workers' compensation filings were subject to a state statute that criminalizes the making of false statements to obtain benefits. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 97-88.2(a).



the requirement that Dr. Moline obtain informed consent from the individuals whose cases she planned to study. (Doc. 392-1 at 2-3.)

Dr. Moline's work has been influential. For example, after her article had been published online, she testified to Congress about her findings. (Doc. 331-11.) In her congressional testimony she used a pseudonym-"Ms. D"—to discuss one of the thirty-three individuals analyzed for her article. (Id. at 5-6.)

Dr. Moline had been retained as an expert in this case. (See, e.g., Doc. 188-8.) Because the facts of Mrs. Bell's case paralleled the description of Ms. D in Dr. Moline's congressional testimony, AII suspected that Mrs. Bell was one of the thirty-three anonymous individuals that the article had studied. (Doc. 188 at 6-7.) If so, AII believed that would undermine the article's express premise and the related expert testimony that none of the individuals had any known exposure to asbestos other than talcum powder because Mrs. Bell and her estate filed workers' compensation claims alleging occupational exposure to asbestos from textile workplaces. (Id. at 3.) In a deposition for a different mesothelioma case, AII asked Dr. Moline for specifics about the thirty-three individuals. (See Doc. 188-1 at 6.) Dr. Moline declined to answer due to confidentiality concerns. (Id.) The plaintiff's counsel, who



also represents Plaintiff in this case, advised AII that if it sought information regarding the thirty-three individuals, then it would have to subpoena Northwell. (<u>Id.</u> at 6-7.) AII did so, (Doc. 168-1), and Plaintiff moved to quash the subpoena, (Doc. 168).

After AII provided Northwell with a HIPAA authorization form signed by Plaintiff, (Doc. 179-6), Northwell produced a single five-page document (the "Northwell Document"), (Doc. 182-5). The document is a spreadsheet containing information on all thirty-three individuals the article studied, but importantly the entire document is redacted except for the row headings and the column listing Mrs. Bell's information. (Id. at 4-8.) Upon learning that this document had been disclosed, Plaintiff filed an emergency motion for a protective order pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) to preclude discovery and inquiry into the identities of the thirty-three individuals. (Doc. 182 at 5-9, 12.) The motion also sought for all copies of the Northwell Document to be destroyed and not disseminated in this case or any other forum. (Id. at 12.) The motion was set for hearing. (Text Entry 09/18/2020.)

On September 25, 2020, the Magistrate Judge held that the Northwell Document could be used in this case but that it, and the information therein confirming Mrs. Bell was one of the



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

